

## Appendix B

# Assessment of Main Modifications to the Places for Everyone Submission Plan

PfE Policy Reference	Policy Title	Main Modification Reference	Summary of PfE Changes	Reason for change	Level of Change
JP-Strat 1	Core Growth Area	MM4.7	Modify Policy JP-Strat1 (second paragraph) as follows: "Complementary to, but not at the expense of, its economic function it will see a significant increase in the number and range of homes in areas with good connections to employment, training and education facilities. <del>These homes will be supported by necessary green spaces and social infrastructure and will be of an appropriate design. In total sufficient land has been identified in the Core Growth Area for almost 98,000 new homes.</del> "	To clarify that reference to the available land supply is moved from Policy JP-Strat1 to the reasoned justification at paragraph 4.26 in order to demonstrate that sufficient land is available to deliver the ambitions of the spatial strategy for the Core Growth Area.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 1	Core Growth Area	MM4.7	Modify Policy JP-Strat1 (third paragraph) as follows: "Infrastructure provision will support the growth and continued capacity of the Core Growth Area <del>having particular regard to the Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 refresh and accompanying Delivery Plans.</del> "	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 2	City Centre	MM4.9	Modify Policy JP-Strat2 (first paragraph), as follows: "The role of the City Centre as the most significant economic location in the country outside London will be strengthened considerably. The City Centre will continue to provide the primary focus for business, retail, leisure, culture and tourism activity in Greater Manchester, but the increasingly important residential role of the City Centre will be expanded <del>considerably by a range of high density new homes, supported by necessary infrastructure.</del> Development will generally be high density. It will enable people to take advantage of the access to education and training and the extensive public transport offer, reducing the need to travel to work whilst supporting economic growth and reducing levels of poverty."	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Minor level of change
JP-Strat 2	City Centre	MM4.9	Modify Policy JP-Strat2 (fourth paragraph) as follows: " <del>Over the period 2020-2037, land to accommodate around 2,200,000 sqm of office floorspace, around 56,000 new dwellings and minimal industry and warehousing (just over 35,000 sqm) has been identified within the City Centre.</del> "	To clarify that reference to the available land supply is moved from Policy JP-Strat2 to the reasoned justification at paragraph 4.29.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 3	The Quays	MM4.12	Modify Policy JP-Strat3 (first paragraph), as follows: "The Quays will continue to develop as an economic location of national significance, characterised by a wide mix of uses. Its business, housing, leisure and tourism roles will all be significantly expanded, in a mutually supportive way, reinforcing the area's interest, vibrancy and unique identity <del>to reduce levels of unemployment and poverty in our communities.</del> Development will generally be high density. The high environmental quality of the Quays (including its public realm, green infrastructure, wildlife sites and heritage assets) will be protected and enhanced as <u>one of its essential distinguishing features</u> , and excellent, distinctive design will continue to be a priority."	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 3	The Quays	MM4.12	Modify Policy JP-Strat3 (second paragraph), as follows: " <del>Over the period 2020-2037, land to accommodate around 192,000 sqm of office floorspace, around 12,500 new dwellings and minimal industry and warehousing (around 6,000 sqm) has been identified within the Quays. The new homes will be a range of high density homes, close to major sources of jobs and education, supported by the necessary infrastructure and amenities.</del> Major improvements in accessibility by public transport, cycling and walking will be sought, including much better links to key rail stations and greater connectivity with the City Centre."	To clarify that reference to the available land supply is moved from Policy JP-Strat3 to the reasoned justification at paragraph 4.32 to demonstrate that sufficient land is available to deliver the ambitions of the spatial strategy for the Quays.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 4	Port Salford	MM4.13	Modify Policy JP-Strat4 (third paragraph), as follows: "The development of Port Salford must <u>be delivered together with</u> <del>ensure that</del> necessary transport infrastructure <del>is delivered</del> , including highway improvements to accommodate the likely scale of traffic generation, in a way that is compatible with <u>committed</u> proposals for the enhancement of the wider motorway network and the provision of appropriate sustainable travel opportunities to meet the needs of the employees accessing the site. <u>The growth of Port Salford will be managed to reflect the creation of additional capacity in the transport network and in accordance with the requirements of policy JPA29.</u> "	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change

JP-Strat 5	Inner Areas	MM4.16	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat5, as follows:          "The continued regeneration of the inner areas will be promoted and will be linked to reducing levels of deprivation and poverty and supporting the improved health and wellbeing of the communities. High levels of <del>well-designed</del> new development will be accommodated in this highly accessible and sustainable location, <del>prioritising the use of previously developed land.</del></p> <p>New development will be <del>of high quality, predominantly,</del> residential (in a mix of size, type and tenure). It <del>will be supported by necessary infrastructure, including high quality open space and improved access to the wider green infrastructure network, together with improved transport and social infrastructure.</del></p> <p><del>Where a mix of uses is being proposed, it will seek to protect the amenity of existing and new residents and it will seek to protect and enhance the location's historic and natural environment and assets.</del></p> <p><del>New development and</del> will be integrated with existing communities, enhancing the quality of places and their local character, <del>including through good quality design, enhanced green infrastructure (and access to it) and improvements in air quality. Infrastructure provision will facilitate the growth and continued capacity of the Inner Area, including high quality open spaces and improved access to the wider green infrastructure network."</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 5	Inner Areas	MM4.16	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat5 (fifth paragraph), as follows:  <del>"Over the period 2020-2037, land to accommodate around 270,000 sqm of office, around 132,000 sqm of industry and warehousing and around 30,000 new dwellings has been identified within the inner areas."</del></p>	To clarify that reference to the available land supply is moved from Policy JP-Strat5 to the reasoned justification at paragraph 4.41 to demonstrate that sufficient land is available to deliver the ambitions of the spatial strategy for the Inner Areas.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 6	Northern Areas	MM4.18	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat6, as follows:          "A significant increase in the competitiveness of the northern areas will be sought. There will be a strong focus on <del>making as much use as possible of suitable previously-developed</del> <del>prioritising the re-use of (brownfield) land through urban regeneration, enhancing the role of the town centres and</del> <del>diversifying increasing the mix, type, quality and range of residential offer. This will be complemented by improvements to transport connectivity and the selective release of Green Belt and previously safeguarded land in key locations</del> the allocation of sites for development identified in Chapter 11 of this plan, that will help to boost economic opportunities and diversify housing provision (<del>GM-Strat7 and GM-Strat8</del>). Improving transport connections and accessibility by public transport, cycling and walking will be a priority to ensure access to key employment opportunities. In supporting the principles of inclusive growth, the significant increases in economic growth in this location will help to reduce deprivation.</p> <p><del>Development in this location will be of good quality and design, supported by the necessary infrastructure and amenities including improved access to green spaces.</del></p> <p><del>Development in this location, particularly that on land which is being proposed to be released from the Green Belt, will seek to identify opportunities to protect and enhance the natural and historic environments to improve the local character."</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design. Also, by referring to the Green Belt changes being as those set out in chapter 11 and focussing on making as much use as possible of suitable previously-developed (brownfield) land through urban regeneration.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 7	North East Growth Corridor	MM4.20	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat7 (first and third paragraphs), as follows:          "Lying within the area and policy framework covered by JP-Strat 6, the North-East Growth Corridor, which extends eastwards from Junction 18 of the M62 <del>and incorporates the Atom Valley MDZ</del>, will deliver a nationally-significant area of economic activity <del>and growth which. This</del> which will be supported by a significant increase in the residential offer in this location, including in terms of type, quality and mix, thereby delivering truly inclusive growth over the lifetime of the Plan."</p> <p>"Specifically this Plan allocates <del>two</del> <del>three</del> major sites within the area, <del>and makes associated changes to the Green Belt boundaries, as identified in Chapter 11 to support this growth:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy JP Allocation 1.1 'Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)'</li> <li>• Policy JP Allocation 1.2 'Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)'</li> <li>• Policy JP Allocation 2 'Stakehill' "</li> </ul>	<p>To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.</p> <p>To clarify, ensuring that the policy is justified and provides an effective framework for local plans.</p>	Potentially significant level of change

JP-Strat 7	North East Growth Corridor	MM4.20	Modify Policy JP-Strat7 (second paragraph), as follows: "Over the period 2020-2037, land to accommodate almost 1 million sqm of new employment floorspace and around 19,000 new dwellings has been identified within the whole Growth Corridor."	To clarify that reference to the available land supply is moved from Policy JP-Strat7 to the reasoned justification at paragraph 4.50.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 7	North East Growth Corridor	MM4.20	Modify Policy JP-Strat 7 (fourth and fifth paragraphs) as follows: "In addition to these two allocations, there is considered to be a potential opportunity for further expansion of the economic and residential offer in the eastern most part of this key gateway location. As such the Key Diagram identifies the High Crompton Broad Location. The land will remain in the Green Belt until such time that a review of this Plan and / or the Oldham Local Plan can demonstrate that it is necessary. The opportunity presented by the High Crompton Broad Location would serve to meet future employment and housing needs and demand of businesses and local communities in this part of the conurbation well beyond the end of the Plan period. Well designed, sustainable development at this Broad Location would diversify further the employment and housing offer in Oldham by ensuring truly inclusive growth could be achieved which would help to reduce further the levels of deprivation and poverty.  The development of the area must ensure that necessary infrastructure is delivered to accommodate the likely scale of development."	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is justified and provides an effective framework for local plans.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 8	Wigan-Bolton Growth Corridor	MM4.23	Modify Policy JP-Strat8, (second and fourth paragraphs) as follows: "New highway infrastructure will is intended to connect Junction 26 of the M6 and Junction 5 of the M61 including public transport provision. Measures to improve the provision of bus services and to increase the use of rail lines are also planned, will be implemented, potentially including a Wigan to Bolton Quality Bus Transit corridor, conversion of the Atherton line to allow for metro/tram-train services, and the electrification of the Bolton to Wigan line."  "The majority of this new development will be on previously developed land, within the urban area. However, in order to meet the overall spatial strategy, Specifically this Plan allocates the following sites as identified in Chapter 11, within the area, and makes associated changes to the Green Belt, to further support the success of the growth corridor ... "	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 8	Wigan-Bolton Growth Corridor	MM4.23	Modify Policy JP-Strat8 (third paragraph) as follows: "Over the period 2020-2037, land to accommodate just over 1million sqm of new employment floorspace and approximately 13,000 new dwellings has been identified within the area."	To clarify that reference to the available land supply is moved from Policy JP-Strat8 to the reasoned justification at paragraph 4.56.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 8	Wigan-Bolton Growth Corridor	MM4.23	Modify Policy JP-Strat8 (fifth paragraph), as follows: "... The development of land at Bolton Royal Hospital a health innovation cluster, including a health village on land at Royal Bolton Hospital."	To clarify that the references are appropriately phrased particularly in respect of emerging proposals for the land at Royal Bolton Hospital.	Minor level of change

JP-Strat 9	Southern Areas	MM4.25	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat 9, as follows:          "The economic competitiveness, distinctive local neighbourhood character and environmental attractiveness of the southern areas will be protected and enhanced. There will be a strong emphasis on <del>prioritising the re-use</del> making as much use as possible of suitable previously developed <del>of (brownfield)</del> land and promoting the roles of the areas' town centres and its other key assets, including education and training facilities enabling people to gain access to employment opportunities. <del>There will be an increase in the mix, type, quality and range of residential offer and a strengthening of its economic role. This will be complemented by improvements to transport connectivity, local character and the selective release of Green Belt in key locations</del> <u>As identified in Chapter 11 of this Plan a number of sites have been specifically allocated through this Plan in support of the area's future growth.</u></p> <p>The economic potential of, and benefits of investment in Altrincham, Trafford's Main Town Centre and Manchester Airport, along with associated transport infrastructure will be maximised. There will be an emphasis on improving transport connections and accessibility by public transport, cycling and walking, ensuring access to key employment opportunities in this area. <del>Development in these areas will contribute to reducing poverty and will be inclusive.</del></p> <p><del>Development in these locations will be of good quality and design, supported by the necessary infrastructure and amenities and will seek to identify opportunities to protect and enhance the natural and historic environments and to improve the local character."</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 10	Manchester Airport	MM4.27	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat10 (first paragraph), as follows:          "Lying within the area and policy framework covered by JP-Strat 9 this policy seeks to maximise the benefits of the continued operation and sustainable growth of Manchester Airport and its surrounding locality. Development which is in line with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Government policy and</li> <li>• Manchester's Local plan policies and</li> <li>• <del>Manchester Airport Group's Corporate Social Responsibility Strategy"</del></li> </ul>	To ensure unambiguous and clear policies.	Minor level of change
JP-Strat 10	Manchester Airport	MM4.27	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat10 (third paragraph) as follows:          "1. Completing the development of Airport City immediately around the airport, which will provide a total of around 500,000 sqm of office, logistics, hotel and advanced manufacturing space <u>(See Manchester Local Plan)</u>;          2. Continuing to develop Medipark and Roundthorn Industrial Estate as a health and biotech cluster, taking advantage of the research strengths of the adjacent Wythenshawe Hospital and the wider Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust <u>(See Policy JP Allocation 3.1 'Medipark' and Manchester Local Plan)</u>          3. Delivering <del>approximately around</del> 60,000 sqm of office floorspace around the new HS2 Station <u>(See Policy JP Allocation 3.2 'Timperley Wedge)</u>          4. Providing a <del>minimum of around 1,700</del> 1800 new homes to the west of the M56 at Timperley Wedge, up to <del>2037</del> 2039 <u>(See Policy JP Allocation 3.2 'Timperley Wedge)</u>;          5. Providing sufficient development opportunities to take full advantage of the introduction of HS2 and NPR into this location."</p>	To clarify by adding further detail to points 1 to 5 identifying the key documents where further information can be found.  Required as a result of the change to the plan period.	Minor level of change
JP-Strat 10	Manchester Airport	MM4.27	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat10 (fourth paragraph) as follows:          "This Plan allocates <del>three</del> <u>two</u> sites near the airport, and makes associated changes to the Green Belt boundaries, as identified in Chapter 11 to support these developments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy JP Allocation 3.1 'Medipark'</li> <li>• Policy JP Allocation 3.2 'Timperley Wedge'</li> <li>• <del>Policy JP Allocation 10 'Global Logistics'."</del></li> </ul>	Modifications needed following deletion of Policy JPA-10 Global Logistics.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 10	Manchester Airport	MM4.27	<p>Modify Policy JP-Strat10 (second paragraph), as follows:          "D. Improved local public transport services and connections such as Bus <u>priority</u> <del>Rapid Transit</del> links by a new spine road through the Timperley Wedge allocation towards Altrincham;"</p>	Amended to ensure consistency with Policy JPA 3.2 Timperley Wedge	Minor level of change

JP-Strat 11	New Carrington	MM4.29	Modify Policy JP-Strat11 ( first paragraph), as follows: "Lying within the area and policy framework covered by JP-Strat 9 this policy seeks to deliver a significant mixed use development. Overall, around 5,000 new dwellings <del>Over the period 2020-2037 land to accommodate around 4,300 dwellings and 350,000 sqm of employment floorspace has been identified and</del> will be delivered together with a new local centre."	To clarify the full amount of development expected to be delivered in the New Carrington area.	Minor level of change
JP-Strat 11	New Carrington	MM4.29	Modify Policy JP-Strat11 (second paragraph), as follows: "New development will be fully integrated with the existing communities of Carrington, Partington and Sale West, enhancing the quality of places and their local character, <del>including through good quality design, enhanced green infrastructure (and access to it)</del> and ensuring that maximum regeneration benefits are secured."	To clarify, ensuring consistency of approach across the strategic policies in relation to general matters such as infrastructure provision and quality of design.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-Strat 12	Main Town Centres	MM4.29	Modify Policy JP-Strat12 (fifth paragraph), as follows: <del>"A new town centre is proposed for designation at Salford Quays in the Publication Draft Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations. Should that designation become part of Salford's Adopted Local Plan, development in that location will be subject to this policy."</del>	To clarify, reflecting the status of Salford Quays town centre.	Minor level of change
JP-S1	Sustainable Development	MM5.1	Modify the second paragraph of Policy JP-S1, as follows: "In preparing plans, <del>P</del> preference will be given to making as much use as possible of suitable <del>using</del> previously-developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs."	To clarify, ensuring effectiveness and consistency with national policy.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	Modify by deleting criterion 4 of Policy JP-S 2, as follows: "4. <del>Keeping fossil fuels in the ground;</del> "	Delete criterion 4 as considered to be inconsistent with national policy.	Significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	Modify Policy JP-S2 by deleting criterion 5 and footnote 30, as follows: "5. <del>Planning for a balanced and smart electricity grid by identifying geographical locations which could support energy assets"</del>  Delete footnote 30: "30. <del>Such assets could be heating/ cooling networks, electricity generation or storage infrastructure or a mixed hybrid approach subject to local demand and connectivity."</del>	The requirements of criterion 5 were covered by criterion 7 which is now considered covered in the Local Area Energy Plans already developed for the nine districts.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	Modify by deleting criterion 7 of Policy JP-S 2, as follows: "7. <del>Development of Local Area Energy plans to develop cost effective pathways to achieve carbon targets;</del> "	Delete criterion 7 because the nine districts have already developed Local Area Energy Plans as well as a Greater Manchester wide Local Area Energy Plan. Reference to Local Area Energy Plans is included elsewhere in a new paragraph in the reasoned justification.	Significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JP-S 2, as follows: "8. An expectation that new development will, <del>unless it can be demonstrated that it is not practicable or financially viable;</del> "	Modify criterion 8 to be clear that any subsequent requirements will be subject to viability and/or practicability.	Potentially significant level of change

JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	<p>Modify criterion 8a of Policy JP-S2, as follows:</p> <p>"a. Be net zero (31) carbon from 2028 by following the energy hierarchy (with any residual carbon emissions offset), which applies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• from adoption – to regulated operational carbon emissions;</li> <li>• from 2028 - to all emissions 'in construction'.</li> </ul> <p>From 2025 development should also calculate and minimise carbon emissions from unregulated emissions alongside regulated emissions.</p> <p>Development proposals should set out how this has been achieved in an energy statement in accordance with the energy hierarchy, which in order of importance seeks to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimise energy demand;</li> <li>Maximise energy efficiency;</li> <li>Utilise Use renewable energy</li> <li>Utilise Use low carbon energy; and</li> <li>Utilise other energy sources.</li> </ol> <p>From 2025 any residual carbon emissions that cannot be fully mitigated on-site should be offset, in agreement with the relevant local planning authority through a financial contribution to a carbon offset fund.</p> <p>With an interim requirement that all new dwellings should seek a minimum 10% carbon reduction against Part L of the 2013 Building Regulations.32</p> <p>As an interim measure, development should be consistent with the 2022 Part L Building Regulations unless superseded by changes to building regulations and/or national or local planning policies.</p>	<p>Modify criterion 8a and replace with the Inspectors' suggested text, as set out in IN36. This is to ensure consistency between the reasoned justification and the policy in relation to regulated and unregulated emissions.</p> <p>New paragraph added to take account of changes to the Building Regulations that came into effect on 15 June 2022 and remove associated footnote 32. To avoid ambiguity and as advised by Inspectors', it is considered helpful to refer to Building Regulations and an 'interim' measure of adherence to the Building Regulations. This is also consistent with the reasoned justification, in particular paragraph 5.11. Modify footnote 31 in line with Inspectors' advice in IN36 AP139. The link to the UK GBC website has also been updated so its links to the correct webpage. Delete Footnote 32 as no longer necessary due to text now being included in the policy wording itself.</p>	Significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	<p>Modify criterion 8b of Policy JP-S2, as follows:</p> <p>"b. Incorporate adequate electric vehicle charging points, in line with Part S of the Building Regulations, unless superseded by relevant Local Plan policies, to future proof for the likely long-term demand, taking account of the potential maximum energy demand for the site;"</p>	<p>To clarify expectations for the number of EV charging points to be provided is in line with Part S of the Building Regulations.</p>	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	<p>Modify criterion 8d of Policy JP-S2, as follows:</p> <p>"d. In residential developments, Achieve energy demand reductions for residential development in terms of space heat demand; hot water energy demand and the delivery of on-site renewable energy generation, in accordance with Table 5.1."</p>	<p>To provide clarity on the targets for renewable energy and source heat demand by making reference to Table 5.1 and be in line with building regulations.</p>	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S2	Carbon and Energy	MM5.3	<p>Modify the last paragraph of Policy JP-S2 as follows:</p> <p>"Districts Local Plans may set out specific carbon emission reduction and energy demand targets within Local Plans targets, particularly if carbon neutral targets have been set sooner than 2038 or promote other measures through which energy efficiency of buildings and renewable energy generation can be achieved."</p>	<p>Consequential modification following modification to criterion 8d to be in line with building regulations.</p>	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S3	Heat and Energy Networks	MM5.5	<p>Modify Policy JP-S3 criterion 1 and 1c and deleting footnote 40, as follows:</p> <p>"1. Delivery of renewable and low carbon energy schemes will be supported with particular emphasis on the use of decentralised energy networks in areas identified as "Heat and Energy Network Opportunity Areas". These will be have been identified where:...</p> <p>...c.Sufficient density of existing heat demand occurs40; and...</p> <p>40See <a href="https://www.cse.org.uk/projects/view/1183">https://www.cse.org.uk/projects/view/1183</a>"</p>	<p>To clarify that the policy wording of Policy JP-S3 and the related reasoned justification in paragraph 5.20 and 5.22 is appropriately referenced and phrased. To ensure that Figure 5.1 is clearly referenced within the reasoned justification.</p> <p>Delete footnote 40. Web page has been removed.</p>	Minor level of change

JP-S3	Heat and Energy Networks	MM5.5	<p>Modify criterion 2 of Policy JP-S3 and delete footnote 41, as follows:  <del>"2. Within the identified "Heat and Energy Network Opportunity Areas", unless it can be demonstrated that there are more effective alternatives for minimising carbon emissions or such connection is not practicable or financially viable, there will be it is expected that</del></p> <p>a. <del>A requirement that n</del> New residential developments that are '10 dwellings or more' or other developments over 1,000 m2 floorspace shall <del>should evaluate the viability of:</del></p> <p>i. <del>Connect ing to an existing or planned heat/energy network or be designed to enable future connection (where within 500m of such a network) where such a network has been identified within the Heat Network Opportunity Areas); and/or</del></p> <p>ii. <del>Instal ing a site-wide or communal heat/energy network solution.</del></p> <p>b. <del>A requirement, where unviable to connect to an existing network or install a site-wide or communal heat/energy network, for new development to incorporate appropriate capability to enable future connection (e.g. adequate space in plant room for plate heat exchangers, capped off flow/return connections);-</del></p> <p>c. <del>A 'presumption in favour (41) of network connection' where new residential developments over 10 dwellings and other developments over 1,000 sq m floorspace are within 500m of an existing heat network, or where a network is being delivered;-</del></p> <p>d. <del>An expectation that new industrial development will demonstrate that opportunities for using waste heat locally have been fully examined, and included in proposals unless proven to not be viable;..."</del></p> <p>"41 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, National Planning Policy Framework, (2021), Paragraph 14"</p>	To clarify, making the Policy effective and consistent with national policy.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S3	Heat and Energy Networks	MM5.5	<p>Delete criterion 3 and associated footnotes 42 and 43 from Policy JP-S3, as follows:</p> <p><del>"3.— In support of the above, all decentralised heat/energy network viability assessments are required to demonstrate consideration and analysis of:</del></p> <p>a. <del>Identification of existing and proposed heat/energy loads;</del></p> <p>b. <del>Identification of heat/energy supply sources;</del></p> <p>c. <del>Identification of opportunities to utilise renewable and low carbon energy sources;</del> d. <del>Identification of opportunities to utilise waste and secondary heat sources;</del></p> <p>e. <del>Impact of proposals and technology choices on local air quality;</del></p> <p>f. <del>Design according to national best practice in relation to efficient heat network design (e.g. CIBSE CP1 Heat Networks: Code of Practice for the UK (42), or equivalent); and</del></p> <p>g. <del>Adopting appropriate consumer protection standards (e.g. Heat Trust (43), or equivalent).</del></p> <p>42 Heat networks: Code of Practice for the UK  43 <a href="https://www.heattrust.org/index.php">https://www.heattrust.org/index.php</a>"</p>	To clarify by removing guidance regarding the interpretation of the policy from the body of policy and incorporating it into the associated reasoned justification.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S4	Resilience	MM5.8	Modify by deleting Policy JP-S4 in its entirety.	To clarify, ensuring that policies in the Plan are clearly written and unambiguous and avoid unnecessary duplication with the exception of Criterion 1 that is to be incorporated into Policy JP-P 1 at Criterion 8.	Significant level of change



JP-S5	Flood Risk and the Water Environment	MM5.10	Modify Policy JP-S5, first paragraph and criterion 1, as follows: "An integrated catchment-based approach will be taken to protect the quantity and quality of water bodies with reference to the North West River Basin Management Plan and managing flood risk, by:  1. Returning rivers to a more natural state, where practicable, <del>in line with the North West River Basin Management Plan,</del> "	Reference to the North West River Basin Management Plan has been moved from criterion 1 to the opening paragraph of JP-S5 because many of the policy's criteria help to deliver the measures within the North West River Basin Management Plan to achieve the objectives of the Water Framework Directive, not just criterion 1.	Minor level of change
JP-S5	Flood Risk and the Water Environment	MM5.10	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JP-S5 as follows: "4. Expecting developments to manage surface water runoff through sustainable drainage systems and as close to source as possible <del>(unless demonstrably inappropriate) so as to not exceed</del> Development should aim to achieve greenfield run-off rates unless it is demonstrated to be impracticable. District local plans should consider setting more detailed surface water drainage policies to reflect local circumstances, including or alternative surface water discharge rates specified in district local plans, such as in areas <del>these identified for areas with critical drainage issues;</del> "	To clarify the circumstances in which exceptions to the requirements of criterion 4 would apply.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S5	Flood Risk and the Water Environment	MM5.10	Modify by deleting criterion 7 of Policy JP-S5, as follows: "7. <del>Securing further investment in wastewater treatment to reduce the frequency of intermittent discharges of storm sewage; and "</del>	To clarify, removing unnecessary duplication as sufficiently covered by Policy JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation criteria 2, 3 and 5.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S5	Flood Risk and the Water Environment	MM5.10	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JP-S5, as follows: "8. <del>Conserving water and maximising water efficiency in new development. As a minimum, residential development should meet the mandatory water efficiency standard of 125 litres/person/day as set out in Building Regulations. District local plans may and should consider setting a tighter water efficiency standard of 110 litres/person/day where there is a clear local need with reference to national guidance on housing optional technical standards.</del> "	To clarify the water efficiency standards that development is expected to achieve	Significant level of change
JP-S6	Clean Air	MM5.12	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JP-S6, as follows: "2. Determining planning applications <del>in accordance with</del> having regard to the most recent development and planning control guidance..."	To clarify that applications will be determined 'having regard' to the IAQM and EPUK Guidance	Minor level of change
JP-S6	Clean Air	MM5.12	Modify criterion 3 of Policy JP-S6, as follows: "3. Requiring applications for developments that could have an adverse impact on air quality to submit relevant air pollution data so that adverse impacts on air quality can be fully assessed and development only permitted where they are acceptable and/or suitable mitigation can be provided; <del>and, if approved, to make appropriate provision for future monitoring of air pollution;</del> "	To clarify that adverse impacts on air quality can be fully assessed and development only permitted where they are acceptable and/or suitable mitigation can be provided	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S6	Clean Air	MM5.12	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JP-S6, as follows: "4. Restricting <del>and carefully regulating</del> developments that would generate significant point source pollution such as some types of industrial activity and energy generation;"	To clarify, ensuring consistency with national planning policy (NPPF para 188)	Minor level of change
JP-S6	Clean Air	MM5.12	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JP-S6, as follows: "5. Significantly expanding the <u>existing commercial</u> network of electric vehicle charging points, both for public and private use, including as part of new developments;"	Modify criterion 5 to clarify that this criterion relates to support being given to proposals for commercial EV charging infrastructure.	Minor level of change
JP-S6	Clean Air	MM5.12	Modify Policy JP-S6, as follows: "6. Implementing the <del>charging Clean Air Zone within the Plan area, as directed by Government Clean Air Plan</del> and associated measures;"	To clarify, making deletions that are in line with the government's agreement that the charging Clean Air Zone will not go ahead (30th May 2022). GM Local authorities are awaiting a government decision in relation to their revised Clean Air Plan (non-charging)	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S6	Clean Air	MM5.12	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JP-S6, as follows: "9. Controlling traffic and parking within and around schools, <del>and</del> early years sites <del>and other locations</del> that are particularly sensitive to air quality;"	To clarify that the criterion also applies to other locations that are particularly sensitive to air quality	Potentially significant level of change
JP-S7	Resource Efficiency	MM5.13	Modify Policy JP-S7, criterion 1, as follows: "1. Development and implementation of the <u>Resource Zero Waste Strategy</u> for Greater Manchester which promotes overall reduction in the level of waste produced and supports resource efficiency within the Plan area in order to gain the maximum value from the things we produce;"	To clarify that the policy wording of JP-S7 appropriately references the GM Zero Waste Strategy.	Minor level of change
JP-S7	Resource Efficiency	MM5.13	Modify Policy JP-S7, criterion 2, deleting as follows: "2. <del>Ensuring the design of all new development incorporates storage space to facilitate efficient recycling and where appropriate, processing of waste on site;</del> "	To clarify, avoiding duplication with policy JP-P1 criterion 10 and ensuring the plan is effective.	Potentially significant level of change

JP-J1	Supporting Long-Term Economic Growth	MM6.1	<p>Modify criterion 3 of Policy JP-J1, as follows:</p> <p><del>"G. Maximising the potential of the key growth locations set out in JP-Strat 1 to JP-Strat 12 whilst also securing investment that raises the competitiveness of our northern boroughs to deliver inclusive growth across the sub-region by ensuring that employment growth opportunities are well connected and accessible to all residents. Key locations that will help to maximise economic growth in an inclusive way include:</del></p> <p><del>i. The expanding City Centre, which will be further strengthened as the most significant economic location in the UK outside London, providing a high concentration of jobs that are highly accessible from across Greater Manchester and beyond. The city centre includes the Oxford Road corridor which will continue to develop as a world-class innovation hub with a very high concentration of research activity and enhanced business connections-</del></p> <p><del>ii. The Quays, delivering sustained growth as a major business location including an internationally important digital and creative cluster-</del></p> <p><del>iii. The Core Growth Area wider area of economic activity at the heart of Greater Manchester, stretching from the Etihad Campus in the east, through the City Centre and The Quays, to Trafford Park and the Trafford Centre, providing which provides an enormous and extremely diverse range of businesses and jobs (currently accounting for around one quarter of employment in Greater Manchester, with this proportion expected to grow), and with key clusters of growth sectors</del></p> <p><del>iv. Manchester Airport Enterprise Zone, with the expansion of the airport as the UK's primary international gateway outside London and the South East providing easy business connectivity across the world, and increased employment activity around the airport, Wythenshawe Hospital/Medipark, and the proposed HS2 station-</del></p> <p><del>v. The seven main town centres (Altrincham, Ashton-under-Lyne, Bolton, Bury, Oldham, Rochdale and Wigan), providing a stronger focus for local economic activity, and exploiting important advantages such as the direct mainline rail links to London from Stockport and Wigan, HS2 and the university in Bolton</del></p> <p><del>vi. Port Salford, providing sustainable freight connections by water and rail and acting as an international gateway via facilities at the Port of Liverpool that are capable of accommodating ships larger than those</del></p>	To ensure consistency with JP-Strat 1 to JP-Strat 12 and to remove ambiguity.	Minor level of change
JP-J2	Employment Sites and Premises	MM6.4	<p>Modify paragraph 2 of the policy, as follows:</p> <p>"...locations identified in JP-Strat 1 to JP-Strat 12 Policy JP-J1 'Supporting Long Term Economic Growth' and in complementary locations, ..." and</p> <p>"...This includes the selective removal of land from the Green Belt and other land previously safeguarded for development, as identified in chapter 11 of this plan, to provide the quality of well-connected employment land..."</p> <p>Further modify paragraph 2 of Policy JP-J2, as follows:</p> <p>"...as set out in Policy JP-J 3 'Office Development' and Policy JP-J 4 'Industry and Warehousing Development'. <del>We will work with Government and other stakeholders to increase the delivery of previously developed sites for employment use, and hence minimise the need for any further Green Belt release.</del>"</p>	To clarify that Policy JP-J2 is referring to sites removed from the Green Belt in the Plan that are allocated for employment development. To clarify that it is not the intention of Policy JP-J2 to suggest that district local plans will need to remove land from the Green Belt to allocate employment sites.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-J2	Employment Sites and Premises	MM6.4	<p>Modify the third paragraph of JP-J2 and to paragraphs 6.17 and 6.36, as follows</p> <p>"...locations, such as the Tame Valley and the core of Trafford Park, and associated transport infrastructure such as the Trafford Park Freight Terminal."</p>	To clarify by removing potential ambiguity within the Policy JP-J2.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-J2	Employment Sites and Premises	MM6.4	<p>Modify references to the 'prime growth sectors' to refer to 'key economic sectors' instead, as follows:</p> <p><del>"prime growth key economic sectors"</del></p>	To clarify with a correctional wording change to ensure the policy is consistent with NPPF paragraph 123 which refers to key economic sectors, rather than prime sectors.	Minor level of change
JP-J2	Employment Sites and Premises	MM6.4	<p>Modify references to 'key locations', 'strategic locations' and 'key economic locations' to 'key growth locations', at the locations in the Plan listed.</p>	To ensure consistency with JP-J1 point G and to remove ambiguity.	Minor level of change
JP-J3	Office Development	MM6.6	<p>Modify Policy JP-J3 as follows:</p> <p>"At least <del>1,900,000</del> 2,019,000 sqm of accessible new office floorspace will be provided in the Plan area over the period <del>2021-2037</del> 2022-2039, with a focus on..."</p>	Required as a result of the change to the plan period.	Minor level of change
JP-J3	Office Development	MM6.6	<p>Modify Policy JP-J3, criterion 3, as follows:</p> <p>"Manchester Airport Enterprise Zone and its environs, taking advantage of the extensive international connections..."</p>	To clarify the sustainable growth location of Manchester Airport ensuring consistency across the Plan, including Policy JP-Strat10.	Minor level of change

JP-J3	Office Development	MM6.6	Modify the second paragraph of Policy JP-J3, as follows: "The refurbishment of existing office accommodation will be encouraged including improving standards of accessibility, in accordance with Part M (Volume 2) Building Regulations."	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan and removing any ambiguity in the use of the terms "access", "accessible" and "accessibility".	Potentially significant level of change
JP-J3	Office Development	MM6.6	Modify the last paragraph of Policy JP-J3, as follows: " <del>Individual districts through Local Plans or other mechanism(s) may restrict the changes of use of existing office space to non-employment uses such as housing where this could compromise the continued supply of a diverse range of office floorspace.</del> "	To clarify, making the policy justified and consistent with national policy.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-J4	Industry and Warehousing Development	MM6.10	Modify Policy JP-J4 as follows: "At least <del>3,330,000</del> 3,513,000 sqm of new, accessible, industrial and warehousing floorspace will be provided in the Plan area over the period <del>2024-2037</del> 2022-2039.  To achieve this, a high level of choice and flexibility will be provided in the supply of sites for new industrial and warehousing floorspace., with a focus on:- 1. <del>Offering a range of opportunities</del> 2. <del>Making the most of the key locations identified in Policy JP-J 1 'Supporting Long-Term Economic Growth'</del> 3. <del>Significantly increasing the supply of high-quality sites across the northern parts of Greater Manchester to help increase the competitiveness of that area, including a major strategic opportunity at Northern Gateway</del> Individual sites providing more than 100,000 sqm of industrial and warehousing floorspace should, where there is likely to be demand and it is appropriate to the location, incorporate: A. <del>Opportunities for manufacturing businesses, particularly advanced manufacturing;</del> B. <del>Units capable of accommodating small and medium-sized enterprises;</del> C. <del>Overnight parking for heavy goods vehicles; and</del> D. <del>Promote and support access by sustainable modes of transport."</del>	Required as a result of the change to the plan period and the updated 2022 land supply.  To clarify by rationalising the Policy, avoiding repetition and potential ambiguity in the Plan and ensuring the policy is justified.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-H1	Scale, Distribution and Phasing of New Housing	MM7.3	Modify Policy JP-H1 as follows: "A minimum of <del>164,880</del> 175,185 net additional dwellings will be delivered over the period <del>2024-37</del> 2022-2039, or an annual average of around 10,305."	Required as a result of the change to the plan period.	Minor level of change
JP-H1	Scale, Distribution and Phasing of New Housing	MM7.3	Delete the second paragraph of Policy JP-H1, as follows: " <del>Table 7.1, defines the land supply, demonstrating that brownfield land will be the predominant source of land over the plan period.</del> "	To clarify that Table 7.1 is removed from the main body of Policy JP-H1 and forms part of the reasoned justification for the policy, and to ensure consistency with proposed modifications to paragraph 11.2 and the new paragraph that follows paragraph 11.2.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-H1	Scale, Distribution and Phasing of New Housing	MM7.3	Modify by adding the following before the final paragraph of the policy text, as follows: " <u>The delivery rates in Table 7.2 are the minimum number of net additional dwellings each district is expected to identify a sufficient supply of sites for, through their local plans.</u> "	To clarify that the "delivery rates" in Table 7.2 are intended to be the minimum number of net additional dwellings each district is expected to identify a sufficient supply of sites for in their local plans, in accordance with NPPF 68.	Minor level of change
JP-H1	Scale, Distribution and Phasing of New Housing	MM7.3	Modify the final paragraph of Policy JP-H1, as follows: " <u>The phasing of development is set out in Table 7.2. Each local planning authority will be required to identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites in their district to provide a minimum of five years' worth of housing against the minimum delivery rates for the district set out in Table 7.2, irrespective of any shortfalls or surpluses in other districts and in the Plan area overall.</u>  Each local authority will monitor delivery rates within their area and will take action as necessary to ensure that delivery rates are maintained as anticipated in this plan. If this regular monitoring reveals significant deviation from the phasing in this plan, the factors resulting in these changes will be determined and consideration will be given to what action would be appropriate, including development management action and review of the policies in this plan. <del>Any shortfall or surplus will be distributed over the remainder of the full plan period when calculating five-year supply.</del> This work would feed into the regular reviews of this plan, although individual authorities may wish to take specific local action outside the formal review process to ensure that they can maintain delivery rates."	To clarify that each local planning authority will be required to identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites in their district to provide a minimum of five years' worth of housing against the minimum delivery rates for the district set out in Policy JP-H1 Table 7.2.	Potentially significant level of change

JP-H1	Scale, Distribution and Phasing of New Housing	MM7.3	Modify Table 7.2 with updated version, as follows: Table 7.2 Distribution and Phasing of new dwellings 2021-2037 <u>2022-2039</u> [Full table in Composite Plan]	Required as a result of the change to the plan period.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-H2	Affordability of New Housing	MM7.5	Modify criteria 2 and 3 of Policy JP-H2, as follows:  <del>"2. Aiming to deliver our share of at least 50,000 additional affordable homes across Greater Manchester up to 2037, with at least 60% being for social rent or affordable rent. Maximising the delivery of additional affordable homes, including through local plans setting targets for the provision of affordable housing for sale and rent as part of market-led developments based on evidence relating to need and viability</del> 3. Support provision of affordable housing, <del>either on or off site, as part of new developments (avoiding where possible clusters of tenure to deliver mixed communities), with locally appropriate requirements being set by each local authority."</del>	To clarify, providing a strategic framework for local plans in setting targets for affordable homes	Potentially significant level of change
JP-H3	Type, Size and Design of New Housing	MM7.7	Modify the second paragraph of Policy JP-H3 as follows: <del>"The precise mix of dwelling types and sizes will be determined through district local plans, masterplans and other guidance, in order to reflect local circumstances and deliver an appropriate mix of dwellings across the plan area as a whole. Residential developments should provide an appropriate mix of dwelling types and sizes reflecting local plan policies, and having regard to masterplans, guidance and relevant local evidence."</del>	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased.	Minor level of change
JP-H4	Density of New Housing	MM7.9	Modify Policy JP-H4 and footnote 35 as follows: "New housing development should be delivered at a density appropriate to the location, reflecting the relative accessibility of the site by walking, cycling and public transport <u>and the need to achieve efficient use of land and high quality design, in accordance with</u> <u>Regard should be had to the minimum densities set out below:</u> "  "And where it would not compromise the overall delivery of new homes in the district".  "In order to achieve an appropriate mix of housing across the plan area, <del>the densities above should typically be delivered as follows</del> <u>developments should include the provision of houses and/or apartments having regard to the following and the need to achieve high quality design:</u> A. 35-70 dwellings per hectare: primarily houses B. 70-120 dwellings per hectare: mix of houses and apartments C. 120+ dwellings per hectare: primarily apartments, incorporating houses and/or ground-floor duplexes where practicable  <del>Definitions and interpretation</del> <del>• Where more than one density applies to the same part of the site, the highest density should be used. Different densities may apply to different parts of a site.</del> <del>• Distances should be measured from the boundary of the designated centre or GMAL area.<sup>85</sup> All distances are measured in a straight line.</del> <del>• The designated centres are as defined in district local plans.</del> <del><sup>85</sup> GMAL is an abbreviation of Greater Manchester Accessibility Layer, which measures the accessibility of locations across Greater Manchester by walking and public transport. Areas are scored on a scale of 1-8, with 8 being the most accessible. GMAL scores are published online at data.gov.uk.</del>	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased and allows a degree of flexibility. Definitions and interpretation now form part of the reasoned justification.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-G1	Landscape Character	MM8.2	Modify Policy JP-G1 name as follows: <del>"Valuing Important Landscapes</del> <u>Landscape Character</u> "	To ensure clarity of interpretation and avoid ambiguity from the original name of the policy 'Valuing Important Landscapes' as it is acknowledged that these landscapes are not all valued in so far as paragraph 174(a) of the NPPF is concerned.	Minor level of change
JP-G1	Landscape Character	MM8.2	Modify Policy JP-G1, paragraph 1, as follows: <del>"Development within a Landscape Character Type, as shown on Figure 8.1, should reflect and respond..."</del>	To ensure clarity of interpretation over the areas to which the policy applies.	Minor level of change

JP-G1	Landscape Character	MM8.2	Modify Policy JP-G1, paragraph 2, as follows: "The interface of new development with the surrounding countryside/landscape is of particular important. <del>These transitional areas require Transitional areas around new development and the interface of new development with the surrounding countryside/landscape are also of particular importance, requiring well-</del> considered and sensitive treatment."	To clarify the term 'interface of new development' within Policy JP-G1.	Minor level of change
JP-G2	Green Infrastructure Network	MM8.4	Modify Policy JP-G2, paragraph 2, as follows: "The protection, management and enhancement of Green Infrastructure will contribute to the development of a Local Nature Recovery <del>Network</del> Strategy for Greater Manchester. <u>This Strategy will feed into the development of a Nature Recovery Network locally and nationally.</u> "	To ensure clarity of interpretation and avoid ambiguity between the LNRS and an NRN.	Minor level of change
JP-G2	Green Infrastructure Network	MM8.4	Modify Policy JP-G2 by deleting paragraph 3, as follows:  " <del>The following opportunity areas (as broadly illustrated on Figure 8.3 'Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas') are identified as having particular potential for delivering improvements to our Green Infrastructure Network:-</del> a. <del>Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area (Salford and Wigan with connections to Warrington);-</del> b. <del>Croal Irwell Valley (Bolton, Bury, Manchester and Salford with connections to Blackburn with Darwen and Rossendale);-</del> c. <del>South Pennine Moors (Oldham, Rochdale and Tameside with connections to Calderdale, Kirklees and High Peak);-</del> d. <del>West Pennine Moors (Bolton and Bury with connections to Blackburn with Darwen and Chorley);-</del> e. <del>Mersey Valley (Manchester and Trafford with connections to Stockport, High Peak and Cheshire East);-</del> f. <del>Red Moss and Middle Brook Valley (Bolton);-</del> g. <del>Hulton Park (Bolton);-</del> h. <del>Cutacre Country Park (Bolton, Salford and Wigan);-</del> i. <del>Lower Medlock Valley (Manchester);-</del> j. <del>Moston Brook Corridor (Manchester and Oldham);-</del> k. <del>Rech Valley (Rochdale);-</del> l. <del>Hollingworth Lake and Surrounds (Rochdale);-</del> and m. <del>Carrington (Trafford) "</del>	To avoid confusion within the policy wording of JP-G2 and ensure clarity of interpretation in so far as the broadly illustrated opportunity areas are concerned, given these are not clearly defined boundaries.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-G2	Green Infrastructure Network	MM8.4	Modify Policy JP-G2, paragraph 4, as follows:  "Development within and around the Green Infrastructure Network should be consistent with delivering major green infrastructure improvements within them and should contribute to improvements. <del>Where Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas overlap or are in close proximity to development allocations proposed in this plan appropriate measures to achieve this have been included. Further opportunities for delivering strategic green infrastructure enhancements and additional opportunities will be identified in the appropriate source(s) over time as the overall green infrastructure network evolves.</del> "	To avoid confusion and avoid repetition with text moved to the reasoned justification, ensuring consistency with other proposed modifications.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-G2	Green Infrastructure Network	MM8.4	Modify Policy JP-G2 by inserting a new paragraph between paragraph 4 and 5 of Policy JP-G2, as follows:  " <u>Development which involves the removal of land from the Green Belt (including allocations proposed in this plan) will be required to offset the impact of removing land from the Green Belt through identifying and delivering compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site. Details of specific sites and projects will be established in discussion with the relevant Local Authority.</u> "	To clarify and ensure consistency with the requirements of paragraph 142 of the NPPF in respect of compensatory improvements to environmental quality and accessibility of remaining green belt, ensuring the Plan is fully justified and unambiguous.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-G2	Green Infrastructure Network	MM8.4	Modify Policy JP-G2, paragraph 5, as follows: "Wherever practicable, opportunities to integrate new and existing green infrastructure into new development will be taken to protect, enhance and expand the green infrastructure network <del>in accordance with the above priorities.</del> Where new or improved green infrastructure is delivered as part of a development, the developer should make appropriate provision for its long-term management and maintenance."	To clarify and reflect consequential changes to the Plan / Policy JP-G2 wording.	Minor level of change

JP-G3	River Valleys and Waterways	MM8.6	Modify Policy JP-G3, criterion 8, as follows: "Where compatible with the requirements of commercial and freight use, increase the use of canals and watercourses for active travel, with improved and extended rights of way alongside the water providing walking and cycling routes for both recreation and commuting, thereby increasing access to natural green space; and"	To clarify and provide certainty that there should be no conflict between the ongoing commercial and freight requirements of canals (particularly the Manchester Ship Canal)	Minor level of change
JP-G4	Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands	MM8.7	Modify Policy JP-G4, paragraph 1, as follows: "The distinctive flat, open landscape and network of habitats of ecologically valuable lowland wetlands and mosslands, as identified by the Mosslands and Lowland Farmland Landscape Character Type in Figure 8.1, will be protected, enhanced and restored, with a strong emphasis on reconnecting local communities to the natural and historic environments."	To clarify interpretation of the policy and avoid ambiguity over where these areas are identified.	Minor level of change
JP-G5	Uplands	MM8.9	Modify Policy JP-G5, paragraph 1, as follows: "Our upland areas, as identified by the Open Moorlands and Enclosed Upland Fringes Landscape Character Types in Figure 8.1, contain important component parts of the green infrastructure network, including significant areas of blanket bog priority habitat, Sites of Biological Importance (SBIs), Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs), woodlands and habitats vulnerable to climate change. In making planning decisions and carrying out other associated activities, we will seek to:"	To clarify interpretation of the policy and avoid ambiguity over where these areas are identified.	Minor level of change
JP-G5	Uplands	MM8.9	Modify Policy JP-G5 by inserting an additional criterion 7, as follows:  "7. Ensure that new development does not have an adverse impact on protected habitats of the South Pennine Moors SAC, the Peak District Moors SPA and the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 SPA from urban edge effects, loss of and/or disturbance to functionally linked habitats and recreation disturbances. This will be implemented by:  a) Within 400m of the SAC and SPAs boundaries, no development will be permitted, unless, as an exception, the development and/or its use would not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC or SPAs. b) Within 2.5km of the SAC and SPAs boundaries, applications for new development should be accompanied by an assessment to determine if the development site provides foraging habitats for the qualifying bird species of the SPAs. If foraging habitats are found on site, appropriate avoidance and/or mitigation measures will be required. c) Within 7km of the SAC and SPAs boundaries, new residential development will be required to mitigate recreation disturbance impacts on the SAC and SPAs through: i. the provision of on-site suitable alternative natural greenspace or financially contribute to off-site provision of such greenspace; and ii. A financial contribution to the implementation of a Strategic Access, Monitoring and Management Strategy for the SAC and SPAs.  With regards to allocations within this Plan, Criterion 7 (c) applies to the PfE allocations listed below:  • Policy JP Allocation 12 'Beal Valley' • Policy JP Allocation 14 'Broadbent Moss' • Policy JP Allocation 15 'Chew Brook Vale' • Policy JP Allocation 16 'Cowlshaw'	To clarify, ensuring the effectiveness of the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) work to reduce the impact of the plan on European designated habitat sites, including the approach to mitigation in the three impact zones on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs and the allocations to which Criterion 7 (c) applies to.	Significant level of change
JP-G6	Urban Green Space	MM8.10	Modify Policy JP-G6, paragraph 1 bullet point 2, as follows: "we will work with developers and other stakeholders to deliver new high quality urban green spaces which meet accessibility standards."	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan and removing any ambiguity in the use of the terms 'access', 'accessible' and 'accessibility'.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-G7	Trees and Woodland	MM8.12	Modify the first paragraph of Policy JP-G7 and add a sentence after it, as follows  "In making planning decisions and carrying out other associated activities, We will work to deliver the aims and objectives of the Greater Manchester Tree and Woodland Strategy, aiming to significantly increase tree cover, protect and enhance woodland, and connect people to the trees and woodland around them, including by:-  This will be done through local planning and associated activities such as:"	To clarify which elements of Policy JP-G7 are relevant to local plans and development management and what the implications are for development.	Minor level of change

JP-G7	Trees and Woodland	MM8.12	Modify Policy JP-G7 by adding a new sentence after criterion 11, as follows: "And through development as follows:"	To clarify which elements of Policy JP-G7 are relevant to local plans and development management and what the implications are for development.	Minor level of change
JP-G7	Trees and Woodland	MM8.12	Modify criterion 12 of policy JP-G7, as follows:  Where development would result in the loss of existing trees, requiring replacement on the basis of two new trees for each tree lost, or other measures that would also result in a net enhancement in the character and quality of the treescape and biodiversity value in the local area with a preference for on-site provision; and...	To address the issue that 2 new trees will not always have the same value as the one being lost, and for consistency between the Salford Local Plan and PfE in relation to this.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-G8	Standards for Greener Places	MM8.14	Modify by deleting Policy JP-G8 in its entirety	To clarify and avoid ambiguity and repetition within other parts of the plan; the policy does not set out specific development management or plan-making requirements and it is considered the text would be better placed elsewhere in the Plan / reasoned justification to Policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
JP-G9	A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity	MM8.16	Modify Policy JP-G9, paragraph 1, as follows: <del>"Across the plan as a whole, a</del> Through local planning and associated activities a net enhancement of biodiversity resources will be sought, including, where relevant, by:"	To clarify which parts of the policy relate to the development of local plans.	Minor level of change
JP-G9	A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity	MM8.16	Modify Policy JP-G9, criterion 4, as follows:  "Protecting sites designated for their nature conservation and/or geological importance, with the highest level of protection given to international and then national designations <del>in accordance with legislation and national policy;</del> "	To clarify and ensure the reference is appropriately phrased.	Minor level of change
JP-G9	A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity	MM8.16	Modify Policy JP-G9, paragraph 2, as follows:  "Development will be expected to:  a. Follow the mitigation hierarchy of: i. Avoiding significant harm to biodiversity, particularly where it is irreplaceable, <del>and including through</del> consideration of alternative sites with less harmful impacts <del>where appropriate</del> , then ii. <del>Adequately</del> Mitigating <del>(within the local area)</del> any harm to biodiversity, then iii. <del>Adequately</del> Compensating <del>(within the local area)</del> for any remaining harm to biodiversity b. Avoid fragmenting or severing connectivity between habitats; c. Achieve a measurable net gain in biodiversity of no less than 10%; d. Make appropriate provision for long-term management of habitats and geological features connected to the development; <del>and</del> e. <del>Provide robust evidence in accordance with relevant government and other guidance, including field surveys wherever development of 'best and most versatile' agricultural land is proposed or to establish the status of the land within the Agricultural Land Classification.</del>  New criterion after criterion d: <u>Where appropriate, mitigate air pollution impacts on Manchester Mosses SAC; mitigate urban edge, functionally linked land and recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs; and assess and potentially mitigate boat movement, water pollution, and light spillage and shading impacts on the Rochdale Canal SAC; and</u>  New criterion after criterion d: <u>Development proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the appropriate biodiversity/ecological assessment(s) in the PfE evidence base and/or any updated or appropriate biodiversity/ecological assessments submitted as part of the planning application process"</u>	To clarify, avoid repetition and reflect the wording of NPPF paragraph 180(a), ensure the effectiveness of the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) work to reduce the impact of the plan on European designated habitat sites, and ensure that development proposals take appropriate account of ecological and biodiversity survey work.	Significant level of change

JP-G9	A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity	MM8.16	Modify Policy JP-G9 by deleting paragraph 3 in its entirety, as follows  "Whilst off-site habitat enhancement and creation required as part of the mitigation hierarchy (or to achieve a measurable net gain in biodiversity of no less than 10%) should be local to the site regard should be had to supporting strategic biodiversity priorities and initiatives."	To avoid ambiguity and repetition as a result of other consequential changes to the policy.	Significant level of change
JP-G10	The Green Belt	MM8.19	Modify Policy JP-G10, paragraph 1, as follows: "The Green Belt is as defined on the Policies Map and illustrated on Figure 8.6 will be afforded strong protection in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework The Green Belt as shown in Figure 8.6 'The Green Belt 2021', will continue to be managed positively to serves the five purposes set out in national policy."	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased and the application of this policy in relation to NPPF paragraph 138 and ensure consistency and provide clear wording that cannot be misinterpreted.	Minor level of change
JP-G10	The Green Belt	MM8.19	Modify Policy JP-G10, paragraph 2, as follows: "Positive and The beneficial use of the Green Belt will be supported enhanced where..."	To clarify the application of the policy in relation to NPPF paragraph 145.	Minor level of change
JP-G10	The Green Belt	MM8.19	Modify Policy JP-G10 by deleting paragraph 3 in its entirety, as follows: "Within the allocations identified on the Policies Map, Green Belt policies will be strictly applied to the development areas removed from the Green Belt by this plan except in the case of planning applications complying with the relevant allocations policies (see 11 'Allocations')."	To ensure consistency with national planning policy.	Minor level of change
JP-G11	Safeguarded Land	MM8.22	Modify by deleting Policy JP-G11 in its entirety.	The policy relates to only one piece of safeguarded land which is also covered by allocation policy JPA3.2. Removing policy avoids confusion and ensures clarity of interpretation.	Significant level of change
JP-P1	Sustainable Places	MM9.2	Modify criterion 1A of Policy JP-P1, as follows: "Responds to Conserves and enhances the natural environment, landscape features, historic environment and local history and culture."	To clarify by ensuring the policy more accurately aligns with the NPPF.	Minor level of change
JP-P1	Sustainable Places	MM9.2	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JP-P1, as follows: "4. Resilient, capable of dealing with major environmental and economic events"	To clarify, ensuring that policies in the Plan are clearly written and unambiguous and avoid unnecessary duplication.	Minor level of change
JP-P1	Sustainable Places	MM9.2	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JP-P1, as follows: "8. Safe, including by designing out crime and terrorism, and reducing opportunities for anti-social behaviour and by ensuring that developments make appropriate provision for response and evacuation in the case of an emergency or disaster."	To clarify, ensuring that policies in the Plan are clearly written and unambiguous and avoid unnecessary duplication.	Minor level of change
JP-P1	Sustainable Places	MM9.2	Modify criterion 11 of Policy JP-P1, as follows: "11. Incorporating accessibility inclusive design standards within all spaces with support for tackling inequality and poverty to form part of creating sustainable places"	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan and removing any ambiguity in the use of the terms "access", "accessible" and "accessibility"	Minor level of change
JP-P2	Heritage	MM9.3	Modify the first paragraph of Policy JP-P2, as follows: "Through this Plan we We will proactively manage and work with partners to positively conserve, sustain and enhance its historic environment and heritage assets and their settings. Opportunities will be pursued to aid the promotion, enjoyment, understanding and interpretation of heritage assets, as a means of maximising wider public benefits and reinforcing Greater Manchester's distinct character, identity and sense of place."	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan. To improve the clarity of the policy and improve the effectiveness of its application	Minor level of change
JP-P2	Heritage	MM9.3	Modify the first and second sentences of the second paragraph of Policy JP-P2, as follows: First sentence "Local Plans will set out the key elements which contribute to the district's identity, character and distinctiveness and which should be the priority for safeguarding conserving and enhancing in the future and demonstrate a clear understanding of the historic environment and the heritage values of sites, buildings or areas and their relationship with their surroundings." Second sentence "This knowledge should be used to inform the positive management and integration of our heritage by:"	To clarify by ensuring the policy more accurately aligns with the NPPF and improves the effectiveness of its application	Potentially significant level of change
JP-P2	Heritage	MM9.3	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JP-P2, as follows: "2. Utilising Ensuring that the heritage significance of a site or area is considered in accordance with national planning policy in the planning and design process, providing and opportunities for interpretation and local engagement are optimised;"	To improve the clarity of the policy and improve the effectiveness of its application To clarify by ensuring the policy more accurately aligns with the NPPF.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-P2	Heritage	MM9.3	Modify the second sentence of the third paragraph of Policy JP-P2, as follows "... These include historic town centres, places of worship, historic transport routes including the canal network, industrial buildings and structures including textile mills, farmsteads and other sites, buildings, and areas of identified archaeological, architectural, artistic and/ or historic value."	To improve the clarity of the policy and improve the effectiveness of its application.	Minor level of change



JP-P2	Heritage	MM9.3	<p>Modify the fourth and fifth paragraphs of Policy JP-P2, as follows:  <del>"Development proposals affecting a designated heritage asset (or an archaeological site of national importance) and a conservation area should conserve those elements which contribute to its significance including those identified in any conservation area appraisal as making a positive contribution to the area. Harm to such elements will only be permitted where this is clearly justified and outweighed by the public benefits of the proposal.</del></p> <p><del>Development proposals should identify assets of archaeological interest and use this information to avoid harm or minimise it through design and appropriate mitigation. Where applicable, development should make provision for the protection of significant archaeological assets and landscapes. The protection of undesignated heritage assets of archaeological interest equivalent to a scheduled monument should be given equivalent weight to designated heritage assets.</del></p> <p><u>Development proposals affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets and/or their settings will be considered having regard to national planning policy."</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency with national planning policy.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-P2	Heritage	MM9.3	<p>Modify the end of the sixth paragraph of the Policy JP-P2, as follows  <del>"...Development proposals which will help safeguard the significance of and secure a sustainable future for Greater Manchester's heritage at risk will be supported in principle, provided they are not contrary to national policy or other policies in the development plan."</del></p>	To improve the clarity of the policy and improve the effectiveness of its application.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-P3	Cultural Facilities	MM9.5	<p>Modify the opening sentence of Policy JP-P3, as follows:  <del>"Through this Plan we We will proactively develop and support cultural businesses and attractions in our cities and towns through a range of measures, where appropriate, including:"</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan.	Minor level of change
JP-P3	Cultural Facilities	MM9.5	<p>Modify Policy JP-P3 criteria 7 and paragraph 9.15, as follows:  <del>"7. Considering the designation identification of 'Creative Improvement Districts' where there is evidence that the designation identification will enhance the local economy and provide facilities and workspace for the creative industries;"</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring flexibility and responsive support measures can be readily identified to creative industries, as appropriate.	Minor level of change
JP-P4	New Retail and Leisure Uses in Town Centres	MM9.6	<p>Modify the first and second paragraphs of Policy JP-P4, as follows:  <del>"The existing upper levels of the hierarchy of centres for retail and leisure uses will be maintained and enhanced. These upper levels of the hierarchy of centres are:"</del></p> <p>A. City Centre (within Manchester and Salford)</p> <p>B. Main town centres:  1. Altrincham (Trafford)  2. Ashton-under-Lyne (Tameside)  3. Bolton (Bolton)  4. Bury (Bury)  5. Oldham (Oldham)  6. Rochdale (Rochdale)  7. Salford Quays (Salford)  <del>7. Wigan (Wigan) "</del></p> <p><del>A new town centre is proposed for designation at Salford Quays in the Publication Draft Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations. Should this designation become part of the Salford Local Plan, Salford Quays will be classed as a Main Town Centre for the purposes of this policy."</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that policies in the Plan are clearly written and unambiguous, whilst reflecting the status of Salford Quays as a main town centre.	Minor level of change
JP-P4	New Retail and Leisure Uses in Town Centres	MM9.6	<p>Modify by transferring paragraph 9.21 of the supporting text (in its entirety) into a new paragraph at the end of Policy JP-P4, as follows:  <del>"The boundaries of the centres and detail of other centres at lower levels of the hierarchy are defined in district local plans. Appropriate large-scale retail and leisure development will be accommodated within the centres in the upper levels of the hierarchy. The need for the expansion of any existing centres, or the provision of new centres, will be identified in district local plans."</del></p>	To clarify Policy JP-P4 by correcting a drafting error and re-instating policy content that existed in an earlier version of the plan (GMSF 2019).	Minor level of change

JP-P6	Health	MM9.8	Modify criterion C of Policy JP-P6, as follows:  "C. Be supported by a Health Impact Assessment for all developments which require to be screened for an Environmental Impact Assessment, and other proposals <del>where the local planning authority considers it appropriate</del> which, due to their location, nature or proximity to sensitive receptors, are likely to have a notable impact on health and wellbeing."	To provide clarity on the threshold for the requirement for a Health Impact Assessment and by ensuring that policies in the Plan are clearly written and unambiguous.	Minor level of change
JP-P6	Health	MM9.8	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JP-P6, as follows: "1. Requiring, where appropriate, the provision of new or improved health facilities as part of new developments <del>that would significantly increase demand proportionate to the additional demand that they would generate;</del> "	To clarify, ensuring that Policy JP-P6 effective and consistent with national policy.	Minor level of change
JP-P7	Sport	MM9.10	Modify criteria 2 and 3 of Policy JP-P7, as follows:  <del>"2. Developing a common standard for the provision of designated play areas to meet the needs of the population-</del> 3. Where appropriate setting out more comprehensive and detailed recreation <u>al standards and standards for provision for designated play areas</u> in district local plans, having regard to existing and future needs"	To clarify, ensuring that Policy JP-P7 provides an effective strategic framework to inform the preparation of local plans.	Minor level of change
JP-P7	Sport	MM9.10	Modify criteria 4 and 7 of Policy JP-P7, as follows:  "4. Requiring new development to <del>support the achievement of strategic and local plan standards by providing provide</del> new and/or <del>improving improved</del> existing facilities commensurate with the demand they would generate, <del>ensuring that they meet accessibility standards</del> The provision of sports facilities will be determined by individual local authorities through an evidence based approach  7. Encouraging the incorporation of a sports facilities mix in all education settings, <u>that meet both curriculum and local community sport needs as identified by an up to date Local Authority Sports Needs Assessment, and made available for community use where possible,</u> <del>ensuring they meet accessibility standards."</del>	To clarify by ensuring that Policy JP-P7 more accurately aligns with NPPF 98 and advice from Sport England	Potentially significant level of change
JP-C1	An Integrated Network	MM10.4	Modify Policy JP-C1, as follows: "5. Ensuring that development and transport investment fully considers the needs of all people and those modes which make most efficient and sustainable use of limited road space, by following the <del>Global Street Design Guide( 125)</del> hierarchy <u>set out below</u> (highest priority first):"	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased,	Minor level of change
JP-C2	Digital Connectivity	MM10.5	Modify the first paragraph of Policy JP-C2 and criterion 2, as follows: "We support the provision of affordable, high quality, digital infrastructure. <del>Developers are expected to work and share costs with telecoms operators as appropriate to maximise coverage and enable consumers to make informed choices. It is expected that internet connections will work immediately when residents move into new properties"</del>  2. Requiring all new development to have full fibre to premises connections, unless technically infeasible <u>and/or unviable</u> , and to incorporate multiple-ducting compliant with telecoms standards, to facilitate future-proof gigabit-capable network connections. <u>It is expected that internet connections will be operational and immediately accessible to network providers when occupiers move into new properties; and"</u>	To provide further clarity in respect of the expectations for developers including in relation to issues of costs and viability.	Minor level of change
JP-C3	Public Transport	MM10.6	Modify Policy JP-C3, first paragraph, as follows: "Major improvements to the public transport network are at various stages of development and an ambitious programme for delivering public transport interventions is set out within Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan. In order to help deliver major improvements to public transport, we will support a range of measures, including:"	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased.	Potentially significant level of change

JP-CX (New Policy)	The Strategic Road Network	MM10.8	Modify by inserting a new policy after paragraph 10.55, as follows: New policy - "The Strategic Road Network We will work with Department for Transport, National Highways, Transport for the North and TfGM to ensure a co-ordinated approach to the planning and delivery of potential interventions on the SRN and at interfaces with the local street network, as Local Plans, site Masterplans and planning applications come forward in accordance with Department for Transport, National Highways, and other UK Government policy and guidance as applicable."	To clarify, following agreement with National Highways, by including an additional policy relating to the Strategic Road Network	Significant level of change
JP-C4	Streets for All	MM10.9	Modify first sentence of the second paragraph of Policy JP-C4, as follows: "We will work with Department for of Transport, Highways England, Transport for the North and TfGM seek to ensure:"	To clarify removing any ambiguity about what is sought and by whom from the Policy	Minor level of change
JP-C4	Streets for All	MM10.9	Modify Policy JP-C4, criterion 1c, as follows: "c. Delivering new and improved walking and cycling routes and facilities as part of the delivery of the " <del>Bee Network</del> " an integrated sustainable transport network;"	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased	Minor level of change
JP-C5	Walking and Cycling	MM10.10	Modify Policy JP-C5, criterion 1, as follows: "1. Creating safe, attractive and integrated walking and cycling infrastructure, connecting every neighbourhood and community with reference to using national and locally adopted design guidance;"	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased.	Minor level of change
JP-C5	Walking and Cycling	MM10.10	Modify Policy JP-C5 criterion 4, as follows: "4. Creating, where needed, dedicated separate space for people walking and cycling, with pedestrians and cyclists given priority at junctions and crossings which form part of the Bee Network;"	To clarify that the reference is appropriately phrased.	Minor level of change
JP-C5	Walking and Cycling	MM10.10	Delete Policy JP-C5 criterion 7, as follows: "7. Ensuring that new developments are planned and constructed with walking and cycling as the primary means of local access, and fully integrated into the existing walking and cycling infrastructure in accordance with JP-C7:"	To avoid duplication of policy criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-C6	Freight and Logistics	MM10.12	Modify by inserting a new criterion after criterion 4 in Policy JP-C6, as follows: "Enabling the provision of overnight parking and rest areas, with appropriate facilities, for heavy goods vehicle drivers, where there is likely to be demand, and it is appropriate to the location."	To clarify, resulting in a consequential change to the Plan by including reference to the provision of overnight parking facilities for heavy goods vehicles on employment sites in defined circumstances.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-C7	Transport Requirements of New Development	MM10.14	Modify the first paragraph of Policy JP-C7, as follows: "Planning applications will be accompanied by a Transport Assessment/Transport Statement and Travel Plan where appropriate. We will require new development to be located and designed to enable and encourage walking, cycling and public transport use, to reduce the negative effects of car dependency, and help deliver high quality, attractive, liveable and sustainable environments."	To clarify, by moving this part of the policy to ensure it is effective.	Minor level of change
JP-C7	Transport Requirements of New Development	MM10.14	Modify by replacing bullet 2 of criterion 1 in Policy JP-C7, as follows: "Ensuring that new developments are planned and constructed with walking and cycling as the primary means of local access, and fully integrated into the existing walking and cycling infrastructure" "Ensuring that the layout, design and landscaping of development prioritises the provision of safe, secure and attractive access to local services and facilities for pedestrians, cyclists, and people with a disability; and"	To improve the clarity of the policy.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-C7	Transport Requirements of New Development	MM10.14	Modify criterion 7 of Policy JP-C7, as follows: "Complying with any parking standards set out in local plans including making adequate parking provision for disabled car drivers and passengers; Making adequate car parking provision, including for disabled car drivers and passengers."	To improve the clarity of the policy.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-C7	Transport Requirements of New Development	MM10.14	Modify by inserting a new criterion after criterion 11 in Policy JP-C7, as follows: "Providing for overnight parking and rest areas, with appropriate facilities, for heavy goods vehicle drivers, where the development is likely to generate demand, and it is appropriate to the location."	To clarify, resulting in a consequential change to the Plan by including reference to the provision of overnight parking facilities for heavy goods vehicles on employment sites in defined circumstances.	Potentially significant level of change

JP-C7	Transport Requirements of New Development	MM10.14	<p>Modify by inserting new criteria after criterion 14 in Policy JP-C7, as follows:</p> <p>New Criterion  <u>"Planning applications will be accompanied by a Transport Assessment / Transport Statement and Travel Plan where appropriate, in order to assess impacts and determine the most appropriate mitigation on the SRN and local transport network. Where a transport assessment is required, this should start with a vision of what the development/allocation is seeking to achieve and then test a set of scenarios to determine the optimum design and transport infrastructure to realise this vision. Consultation should be undertaken, at pre-application stage, with the relevant local highway authorities to agree which committed developments / allocations and which potential transport interventions should be considered, with reference to Appendix D, as appropriate."</u></p> <p>New Criterion  <u>"Planning applications which are required to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment will need to consider air quality impacts on Holcroft Moss, within the Manchester Mosses Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Any proposals that would result in increased traffic flows on the M62 past Holcroft Moss of more than 100 vehicles per day or 20 Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs) per day must devise a scheme-specific range of measures to reduce reliance on cars, reduce trip generation and promote ultra-low emission vehicles and provide a contribution towards restoration measures in accordance with the Holcroft Moss Habitat Mitigation Plan."</u></p>	<p>To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective. It is considered necessary to replace the final sentence with reference to a new appendix which lists the potential interventions that should be considered during the TA process.</p> <p>To reflect the Revised HRA and implement the delivery of the Habitat Mitigation Plan to reduce air quality impacts on the Manchester Mosses SAC from traffic on the M62 which runs adjacent to the Holcroft Moss portion of the site.</p>	Potentially significant level of change
JP-C7	Transport Requirements of New Development	MM10.14	<p>Modify by deleting final paragraph of Policy JP-C7 as follows:  <del>"District Local Plans may set out mechanisms through which new development will be required to make a proportionate financial contribution to the delivery of new transport infrastructure and/or services, necessary to support its successful functioning in accordance with relevant national policy, guidance and regulations."</del></p>	To avoid duplication of policy with JP-D1.	Potentially significant level of change
JP-D1	Infrastructure Implementation	MM12.1	<p>Modify Policy JP-D1, as follows:</p> <p><del>"To make this happen we will: To ensure the effective development and implementation of the infrastructure needed to support the delivery of the vision and objectives set out in this document:</del></p> <p><u>We will:</u></p> <p>1. Take a long term, strategic, holistic and integrated approach to place shaping, supported by devolved resources and powers. Utilising the spatial locations set out in this Plan a place-based approach will be undertaken to overcome barriers, achieving prosperity and opportunity;</p> <p><u>We will work with infrastructure providers to:</u></p> <p>2. Promote collaboration and synchronisation of investment plans <del>between ourselves and the main infrastructure providers.</del> <u>Key infrastructure providers include the NHS Greater Manchester Integrated Care Partnership (formerly Clinical Commissioning Groups), the NHS, Highways England, Network Rail, Transport for Greater Manchester, United Utilities, the Environment Agency, National Grid, Cadent, United Utilities and digital/telecommunication providers;</u></p> <p>3. <del>Work directly with the infrastructure providers and regulators (including Ofcom, Ofwat and Ofgem) to ensure that future investment plans are consistent with have regard to this Plan.</del> <u>The involvement of regulators (including Ofcom, Ofwat and Ofgem) will be critical in this regard;</u></p> <p>4. <del>Establish a new long-term funding mechanism for transport and site specific infrastructure to ensure timely delivery and capture of developer contributions;</del></p> <p><u>Minimise disruption to highways and businesses during major infrastructure upgrades and pipe subway construction; and</u></p>	<p>To clarify and improve the effectiveness (soundness) of the policy.</p> <p>To ensure that the policy's requirements are clear and unambiguous Policy JPD1 has been restructured into three parts, incorporating all previous modifications, and with some rewording of criteria to reflect the new sub headings.</p> <p>Modifications clarify that references are appropriately phrased and ensure that the plan text is up to date.</p> <p>Specific modifications provide further clarity in respect of the content of the infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy required by the policy and brings in a specific reference to the need to reach agreement with the relevant local planning authority.</p> <p>The modifications ensure consistency with the tests for planning obligations set out under NPPF paragraph 57.</p> <p>Modifications finally ensure there is no unnecessary overlap / inconsistencies within the plan, and with other statutory or regulatory regimes.</p>	Potentially significant level of change

JP-D1	Infrastructure Implementation	MM12.1	<p>[Continued...]</p> <p><u>Promote the provision and use of shared routing, trenching and programming particularly in areas where there is extreme pipe and cable congestion under the streets to reduce disruption.</u></p> <p><u>We will, through local plans, other local planning documents and development management decisions:</u></p> <p>5. Encourage early dialogue between developers and infrastructure providers to identify the infrastructure needs arising from new development and ensuring that these are addressed through building design, utility networks and connections in time to serve the proposed development;</p> <p>6. Require applicants to prepare an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy for strategic sites, and major sites <u>to be agreed by the local planning authority for sites</u> where build out will be delivered by different developers or in phases. This strategy must outline what needs to be provided by when and who will fund and deliver it; <u>and</u></p> <p>7. Ensure that development does not lead to capacity or reliability problems in the surrounding area by requiring applicants to demonstrate that there will be adequate utility infrastructure capacity <u>to support the delivery and occupation of their proposed development, from first occupation until development completion. Where potential capacity problems are identified and no improvements are programmed by the relevant infrastructure provider, we will require the developer to contribute to and/or facilitate necessary improvements where this would be necessary to mitigate the impact of development.</u></p>	<p>To clarify and improve the effectiveness (soundness) of the policy.</p> <p>To ensure that the policy's requirements are clear and unambiguous Policy JPD1 has been restructured into three parts, incorporating all previous modifications, and with some rewording of criteria to reflect the new sub headings.</p> <p>Modifications clarify that references are appropriately phrased and ensure that the plan text is up to date.</p> <p>Specific modifications provide further clarity in respect of the content of the infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy required by the policy and brings in a specific reference to the need to reach agreement with the relevant local planning authority.</p> <p>The modifications ensure consistency with the tests for planning obligations set out under NPPF paragraph 57.</p> <p>Modifications finally ensure there is no unnecessary overlap / inconsistencies within the plan, and with other statutory or regulatory regimes.</p>	Potentially significant level of change
JP-D1	Infrastructure Implementation	MM12.1	<p>[Continued...]</p> <p><del>As a minimum, applicants should identify and plan for:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• Minimising the demand for energy, water and utility services by requiring sustainable building design and the incorporation of demand management measures within all new development and conversions where practicable;</del></li> <li><del>• Electricity supply to serve the construction phase and the intended use for the site, and identify, in conjunction with electricity providers the estimated load capacity of the building and the substations and routes for supply;</del></li> <li><del>• Reasonable gas and water supply, considering the need to conserve natural resources;</del></li> <li><del>• The provision of multiple ducting to support full fibre digital connections from different providers;• Heating and cooling demand and the viability of its provision via decentralised energy networks. Designs must incorporate access to existing networks where feasible and viable; and</del></li> <li><del>• Where potential capacity problems are identified and no improvements are programmed by the relevant infrastructure provider, we will require the developer to contribute to and/or facilitate necessary improvements.</del></li> </ul> <p><del>8. Work with infrastructure providers to minimise disruption to highways and businesses during major infrastructure upgrades and pipe subway construction; and</del></p> <p><del>9. Promote the provision and use of shared routing, trenching and programming particularly in areas where there is extreme pipe and cable congestion under the streets to reduce disruption."</del></p>	<p>To clarify and improve the effectiveness (soundness) of the policy.</p> <p>To ensure that the policy's requirements are clear and unambiguous Policy JPD1 has been restructured into three parts, incorporating all previous modifications, and with some rewording of criteria to reflect the new sub headings.</p> <p>Modifications clarify that references are appropriately phrased and ensure that the plan text is up to date.</p> <p>Specific modifications provide further clarity in respect of the content of the infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy required by the policy and brings in a specific reference to the need to reach agreement with the relevant local planning authority.</p> <p>The modifications ensure consistency with the tests for planning obligations set out under NPPF paragraph 57.</p> <p>Modifications finally ensure there is no unnecessary overlap / inconsistencies within the plan, and with other statutory or regulatory regimes.</p>	Potentially significant level of change

JP-D2	Developer Contributions	MM12.3	<p>Modify the first paragraph of Policy JP-D2, as follows:          "...These will be secured through the most appropriate mechanism, including, but not limited to, planning conditions, <del>legal contracts</del> Section 106 planning obligations, agreements made under Section 278 of the Highways Act 1990 (as amended), or CIL (or any subsequently adopted planning gain regime)."</p>	To clarify the policy, avoid any potential ambiguity and to be consistent with paragraph 12.16 of the reasoned justification.	Minor level of change
JP-D2	Developer Contributions	MM12.3	<p>Modify by deleting the second paragraph of Policy JP-D2 and replacing with revised text, as follows:</p> <p><del>"Applicants should take account of policies in development plans and other relevant documents when developing proposals and acquiring land. It is expected that viability assessments should only be undertaken where there are clear circumstances creating barriers to delivery. If an applicant wishes to make the case that viability should be considered, they should provide clear evidence at the planning application submission stage, demonstrating the specific issues that would create barriers to delivery in a transparent manner and reflecting national guidance."</del></p> <p><u>"If an applicant wishes to make a case that a development is not viable, they should provide clear evidence at the planning application stage, identifying the specific issues and/or changes in circumstance which would create barriers to delivery in a transparent manner and reflecting national guidance."</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency with national guidance in respect of the consideration of viability as part of the planning application process	Minor level of change

Borough	PfE Policy Reference	Policy Title	Main modification Reference	Summary of PfE Changes	Reason for change	Level of Change in the context of the IA
<b>Allocations</b>						
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Deletion of the first paragraph as follows: <del>Any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan relating to the area to come forward in the plan period that has been previously approved by the LPA(s). It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. This should include the delivery of highways infrastructure, surface water drainage, grey infrastructure including utilities provision, green and blue infrastructure, broadband and electric vehicle charging points, recreation provision and social infrastructure and ensure coordination between phases of development.</del>	To clarify and it ensure consistency as JP-D1 requires an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Add Criterion as follows: Development at this allocation will be required to: <u>Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, design code and infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy, in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation', that has been agreed with the local planning authorities;</u>	To provide clarity	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Modify bullet point 1i as follows: "Deliver a total of around 1,200,000 sqm of industrial and warehousing space (with around <del>700,000</del> <u>935,000</u> sqm being delivered within the plan period). This should comprise a mix of high quality employment premises in an attractive business park setting in order to appeal to a wide range of business sectors including the development of an Advanced Manufacturing Park;"	To reflect update to 2022 land supply and the change to the plan period	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Modify bullet point 1iii as follows: Deliver around 200 new homes, which includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes and the provision of plots for custom and self-build housing ( <u>subject to local demand, having regard to Bury's self-build register and other relevant evidence</u> ), in the west of the allocation off Castle Road ensuring that an appropriate buffer is incorporated to separate this part of the allocation from the wider employment area and that appropriate highways measures are in place to prevent the use of residential roads by traffic associated with the wider employment area; and	Response to AP94 to provide clarity with regard to the self-build register.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Modify bullet point 1iv as follows: iv. <u>Deliver An appropriate range of supporting and ancillary services and facilities, such as a new local centre, hotel, leisure and conference facilities. These should be in accessible locations and of a genuinely ancillary scale that is appropriate to the main employment use of the allocation.</u>	To provide clarification in terms of the types, scale and location of such facilities.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Modify Criterion 2 as follows: <u>2. Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7;</u> <del>Make provision for significant new and improved highways infrastructure to enable the proposed level of development to be accommodated, including:</del> i. <del>Improvements to Junction 3 of the M66;</del> ii. <del>Improved links between Junction 3 of the M66 and Junction 19 of the M62;</del> iii. <del>Other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement, including a contribution towards the mitigation proposed at Croft Lane, Hollins Lane/Hollins Brow</del>	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Delete Criterion 3	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Delete Criterion 4	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Modify criterion 6 as follows: "Provide <u>Make</u> financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development <u>in accordance with policy JP-P5;</u> "	To improve consistency of policy wording.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Delete Criterion 7	These requirements are covered by Policy JP-D1 and JP-S2	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Amend Criterion 9 as follows: 9. <u>Retain and enhance existing recreation facilities (including Castlebrook High School playing fields and Pike Fold Golf Course) or, where necessary, make provision for replacement facilities that are equivalent or better in terms of quantity and quality and in a suitable location. Retain, enhance and replace existing recreation facilities, where required, and make provision for new recreation facilities to meet the needs of the prospective residents in accordance with local planning policy requirements;</u>	To provide clarification in terms of the intended approach towards the retention, enhancement and/or replacement of existing recreational facilities within the site and the specific recreation facilities to which the requirement would apply. However, reference to the provision of new recreational facilities to meet the needs of prospective residents is adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policy JP-P7)	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Amend Criterion 10 as follows: 10. Make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible multi-functional green and blue infrastructure <del>to provide health benefits to workers and residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment and providing linkages to the site's wider drainage strategy in accordance with Policy JP-G-2 'Green Infrastructure Network' and Policy JP-G-8 'Standards for Greener Places'. This should include including the integration and enhancement of existing features such as Hollins Brook/Brightly Brook, SBI and Whittle Brook and Castle Brook;</del>	To provide clarification in terms of requiring the provision of green and blue infrastructure to refer to the need to integrate and enhance specific features within the site.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Add Criterion as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarity to ensure compensatory improvements to Green Belt in accordance with JP-G2	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Add Criterion as follows: <u>"Strengthen the boundary of the Green Belt to the north of the site around Pilsworth Cottages, Brightly Brook and Pilsworth Fisheries such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;"</u>	Clarity to ensure that boundary of the site will comprise physical features that are that are readily recognizable and likely to be permanent.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Delete Criterion 11	Adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policies JP-G9)	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Delete Criterion 13	It is considered that flood risk is adequately covered by JP-S5	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Delete Criterion 14	Adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policies JP-G2)	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Modify by deleting criterion 15 of Policy JPA1.1 and paragraph 11.33, as follows: <del>"15. Carry out a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment for planning applications of 1,000 sqm / 50 dwellings or more;"</del>	To clarify that as part of the updated HRA, it is considered that this requirement and associated justification is no longer necessary in JPA 1.1 as the matter is appropriately addressed through modifications to JP-G9 (see PfE response to IN9, Q9.10)	Significant level of change



Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Amend Criterion 17 as follows: <del>Take appropriate account of heritage assets, and their settings including Protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting within the allocation, including the Grade II Listed buildings Brick Farmhouse and Lower Whittle Farmhouse Grade II Listed Buildings and other non-designated heritage assets in accordance with Policy JP-P2; and the wider historic character of the surrounding setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process; and</del>	To provide appropriate reference to heritage assets and to ensure consistency with Policy JP-P2 and national policy	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Add Criterion as follows:  <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.1	Heywood/Pilsworth	MMCB2	Add new criterion as follows: <u>"Undertake hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of any peat identified in the south-western part of the site to inform the potential for restoration and the comprehensive masterplanning of the site which should ensure that the loss or deterioration of any irreplaceable habitat is avoided"</u>	To make provision for the investigation of peat on the site.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Amendment to Picture 11.5 site allocation boundary to show a single site boundary as set out in GMCA26:	To amend error	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete the first paragraph as follows: <del>Any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA(s). It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. This should include the delivery of highways infrastructure, surface water drainage, grey infrastructure including utilities provision, green and blue infrastructure, broadband, electric vehicle charging points, recreation provision and social infrastructure and ensure coordination between phases of development.</del>	To clarify and it ensure consistency as JP-D1 requires an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Add Criteria as follows:  Development at this allocation will be required to:  <u>Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, design code and infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy, in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation', that has been agreed with the local planning authorities;</u>	To provide clarity	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Amend Criteria 1 as follows: Deliver a broad mix of around 1,550 homes to diversify the type of accommodation across the Simister, Bowlee and Birch and Langley areas. This should include an appropriate mix of house types and sizes, accommodation for older people, plots for custom and self-build ( <u>subject to local demand having regard to the Councils' self-build registers and other relevant evidence</u> ) and a mix of housing densities with higher densities in areas of good accessibility and potential for improved public transport connectivity and lower densities adjacent to existing villages where development will require sensitive design to respond to its context;	To provide clarity with regard to the self-build register.	Potentially significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Add new Criteria as follows: <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7;</u>	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Amend Criteria 2 as follows: <del>Facilitate the required supporting transport services and infrastructure including:-</del> <del>i. An upgrade of the local highways network</del> <del>ii. <u>Make provision for</u> traffic restrictions on Simister Lane to prevent this route from being a form of access/egress to and from the allocation <u>except by public transport</u>;</del> <del>iii. Improved public transport provision through the allocation (including Bus Rapid Transit corridors) and close to the allocation (including potential Bus Rapid Transit or Metrolink extension to Middleton) in order to serve the development; and</del> <del>iv. Other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement.</del>	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 3.	Covered by Policy JP-D2	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Amend criterion 5 to incorporate provision for secondary education (previously set out in criterion 6) as follows: <u>"Make provision for a new two-form entry primary school and make financial contributions for off-site additional secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development, in accordance with policy JP-P5;</u>	To include requirements for primary and secondary education in a single criterion.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 6	To include requirements for primary and secondary education in a single criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 8.	Covered by Policy JP-D1 and JP-S2	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Add new Criteria as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarity to ensure compensatory improvements to Green Belt in accordance with JP-G2	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Add new Criteria as follows: <u>Strengthen the boundary of the Green Belt to the north-west of the site to ensure that it comprises physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;</u>	Clarity to ensure that boundary of the site will comprise physical features that are that are readily recognizable and likely to be permanent.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 10.	The JPA1.2 site does not include any existing recreational facilities within it. In addition, the provision of new recreational facilities to meet the needs of prospective residents is adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policy JP-P7).	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 11.	The requirements to make provision for green and blue infrastructure and the long-term management and maintenance are adequately covered elsewhere in the Plan (Policy JP-G2)	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Amend Criteria 12 as follows: <del>12. <u>Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of</u> impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation, including the Bradley Hall Farm SBI, in accordance with Policy JP-G9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'</del> Minimise	To make it clear that biodiversity net gains can potentially be achieved outside of the allocation rather than solely within the allocation	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 13.	Covered by Policy JP-S5	Potentially significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 14.	Covered by Policy JP-S5	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Delete Criteria 15.	The requirements to make provision for green and blue infrastructure and the long-term management and maintenance are adequately covered elsewhere in the Plan (Policy JP-G2)	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Modify by deleting criterion 16 of Policy JPA1.2 as follows: <del>“16. Carry out a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment for planning applications of 1,000 sqm / 50 dwellings or more;” and,</del>	To clarify that as part of the updated HRA, it is considered that this requirement and associated justification is no longer necessary in JPA 1.2 as the matter is appropriately addressed through modifications to JP-G9 (see PfE response to IN9, Q9.10)	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Amend Criteria 19 as follows: <del>19. Take appropriate account of heritage assets, and their settings, including Heaton Park in accordance with Policy JP-P2; and Protect and enhance the heritage and archaeological assets within the vicinity of the allocation and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan’s evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.</del>	To provide appropriate reference to heritage assets and to ensure consistency with Policy JP-P2 and national policy.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA1.2	Simister and Bowlee	MMCB5	Add new criteria as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 1 of JPA2 as follows: 1. Deliver around 150,000 sqm of high quality, adaptable, <del>employment industrial and warehousing</del> floorspace within a ‘green’ employment park setting, with a focus on suitable provision for advanced manufacturing and/or other key <del>growth economic</del> sectors, taking advantage of its accessible location and proximity to Junction 20 of the M62, and complementing the other opportunities within the North-East Growth Corridor;	To clarify the type of employment uses that will be required. Response to AP96.  Change from prime to economic sectors to provide consistency with Policy JP-J1 and paragraph 123 of the NPPF.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 2 of JPA2 as follows: 2. Provide around 1,680 high quality homes, including larger, higher value properties, to support the new jobs created within the North-East Growth Corridor and create a sustainable and high-quality extension to the urban area. <u>This includes making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u>	To clarify affordable housing requirements, in response to AP96.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 3 of JPA2 3. Achieve excellent design and sustainability through masterplanning and the use of design codes for the whole site to ensure comprehensive development. <u>This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1.</u> The scale of the residential development on the northern part of the allocation provides an opportunity to adopt a ‘garden village’ approach to create a locally distinctive residential offer;	To clarify requirement of infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy, in response to AP96.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 4 of JPA2 as follows: <del>4. Ensure that the design of the scheme preserves or enhances the setting of Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including the listed St John’s Church and war memorial in accordance with policy JP-P2 in line with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan’s evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process;</del>	Clarification of requirements relating to heritage assets.	Potentially significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 6 of JPA2 as follows: 6. Have regard to views from Tandle Hill Country Park to the east which lies within Pennine Foothills (West /South Pennines) landscape character type. This should reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of this landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1 in terms of the design, landscaping and boundary treatment in order to minimise the visual impact as much as possible;	Clarification of which landscape character type the allocation falls under.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 7 of JPA2 as follows: 7. <del>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2. This should include improvements to the retained areas of Green Belt</del> Retain a strategic area of Green Belt between the A627(M) spur and Thornham Lane and in the south of the site to maintain separation between the urban areas of Rochdale and Middleton;	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to add new Criterion after Criterion 7 as follows: <u>Define and or/strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt around the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognizable and likely to be permanent, in particular separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south;</u>	Clarification of the need to strengthen Green Belt boundaries.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 8 of JPA2 as follows: 8. <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and with Policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to delete Criterion 9 of JPA2: <del>9. Contribute to the proposed new railway station at Slattocks which is currently being investigated by TfGM and ensure that new development is designed in a way to maximise the benefits of the new rail station through the creation of high-quality walking and cycling routes;</del>	Criterion deleted as transport intervention included in Appendix D as above in Criterion 8.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to delete Criterion 10 of JPA2: <del>10. Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short-journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles;</del>	Criterion deleted as transport intervention included in Appendix D as above in Criterion 8.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to delete Criterion 11 of JPA2: <del>Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to delete Criterion 12 of JPA2: <del>Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment;</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic polices, and in Criterion 8 above.	Potentially significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 15 of JPA2 as follows: Deliver high quality landscaping and green and blue infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area. <u>This should include making provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of the Rochdale Canal Site of Special Scientific Interest, along with the existing brooks and ponds within the site, in accordance with policy JP-G9. This should include good quality boundary treatment, particularly on the boundary separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south to provide an attractive defensible Green Belt boundary;</u>	Consequential modification as part relating to Green Belt boundaries has been modified and moved to its own criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to delete Criterion 16 of JPA2 as follows: <del>16. Retain and where possible enhance areas of biodiversity within the area, notably the Rochdale Canal Site of Special Scientific Interest, along with the existing brooks and ponds within the site;</del>	Consequential modification as requirements for biodiversity and landscaping including in other criteria.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 17 of JPA2 as follows: 17. Carry out a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment <u>in relation to the Rochdale Canal</u> for planning applications of 1,000 sqm / 50 dwellings or more;	To clarify the requirement for a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment relates to the Rochdale Canal.  As part of the updated HRA and the proposed modifications to JP-G9, (see PfE response to IN9, Q9.10), the reference to air pollution impacts on designated European sites is no longer considered necessary in the justification to JPA 2.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify Criterion 18 of JPA2 as follows: 18. <u>Development of the residential element of the site will be expected to make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5. Contribute and make provision for additional primary and secondary school places to serve the development. In addition, the</u> <del>This will include provision of land and financial contributions to deliver the expansion of Thornham St John's Primary School located within the allocation will also be required;</del>	To provide clarification relating to the requirement relating to additional primary and secondary school places.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to delete Criterion 19 of JPA2: <del>19. Given the scale of the new housing provision it will be necessary for the proposal to deliver social infrastructure to ensure that the needs of new and existing communities are properly met; and</del>	Deleted as generic policy which is adequately covered by thematic policies.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA2	Stakehill	MMCB7	Modify to add new Criterion after Criterion 20 of JPA2 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	Modify criterion1 of Policy JPA3.1, as follows: "1. Deliver about 86,000 sqm B4- Class E (g) focused floorspace;"	To clarify, reflecting changes to the Use Class Order	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA3.1 as follows: "2. Development should be configured to take advantage of transport infrastructure in the area including <del>the need to accommodate and contribute to the delivery of the proposed Metrolink Manchester Airport Line Western Leg extension;</del>	To clarify criterion removing 'contribute' which will be addressed under amended criterion 4	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA3.1 as follows: "3. Deliver a new spine road through the site with connections to the existing road network <u>and JP3.2 Timperley Wedge.</u>	To clarify anticipated role of the spine road.	Minor level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	<p>Modify policy JPA3.1 by deleting criterion 4 and 5 as follows:</p> <p><del>4. Facilitate improvements to the surrounding Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks, including entry / egress and links to the strategic highway network, to enable safe access to and from the area;</del></p> <p><del>5. Improve access to the site by providing links to local cycling and walking networks where appropriate;</del></p> <p>and replace with:  New Criterion: <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7.</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	<p>Modify policy JPA3.1 by deleting criterion 7 as follows:</p> <p><del>7. Ensure development within the site should not impact the listed buildings of Newall Green or the adjacent playing field</del></p> <p>and replace with:  New Criterion: <u>Take appropriate account of heritage assets, and their settings, including the listed buildings of Newall Green, in accordance with Policy JP P2</u></p> <p><u>and</u>  <u>7. Take appropriate account of the adjacent playing field in accordance with policy JP-P7</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring policy is consistent with Policy JP-P2 and Policy JP-P7.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	<p>Modify policy JPA3.1 criterion 8 as follows:</p> <p><del>"8. Incorporate suitable site mitigation to account for</del> <u>Take appropriate account of the historic landscape features within the site"</u></p>	To clarify, reflecting National Policy	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	<p>Modify policy JPA3.1 criterion 9 as follows:</p> <p><del>"9. Reflect the sequential approach to</del> <u>Provide a flood risk management strategy, focusing more sensitive development furthest from Fairywell Brook."</u></p>	To clarify, reflecting National Policy	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.1	Medipark	MMBC11	<p>Modify policy JPA3.1 by adding the following new criterion:</p> <p><u>"Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in accordance with policy JP-G2."</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA3.2, as follows:</p> <p><del>1. Be in accordance with a <u>Masterplan or SPD that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and approved</u> agreed by the Local Planning Authority. <u>The Masterplan must include a robust phasing and delivery strategy, as required by policy JP-D1.</u></del></p> <p><u>This will be prepared in partnership with key stakeholders and to ensure the whole allocation site is planned and delivered in a coordinated and comprehensive manner with proportionate contributions to fund necessary infrastructure;</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the preparation and content of the masterplan including a phasing and delivery strategy.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows:</p> <p>2. Deliver around 2,500 homes of which 1,7800 will be in the plan period as set out on the <u>Indicative Allocation Policy Plan (Picture 11.10);</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the amount of housing expected to be delivered in the plan period with consequential changes made to the reason justification	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Delete criterion 4 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows:</p> <p><del>4. Deliver a range of house types, sizes, layouts and tenures through a place-led approach;</del></p>	To clarify, this is adequately covered by thematic policy JP-H3	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows:</p> <p>7. Make <del>specific</del> <u>appropriate</u> provision for self-build custom build plots, subject to local demand as set out in the Council's self-build register;</p>	To clarify that the Councils self build register does not set specific requirements for the provision of self-build plots.	Minor level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 8. Deliver around 60,000 sqm <del>E (g(i))</del> -B4 office employment land within a mixed employment residential area set out in the <u>Indicative Allocation Policy Plan (picture 11.10)</u> ; of which <del>4530,000</del> 30,000 sqm will be in the Plan period;	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the employment land and to reflect the updates plan period.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Delete criteria 9-10 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 9. <del>Create legible streets and space within the employment area with attractive buildings that respond positively to the landscape and provide accessible linkages to residential areas and the local centre;</del> 10. <del>Create employment and training opportunities for local people, in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a Local Labour Agreement in accordance with Local Plan policies;</del>	Policies are adequately covered by JP-J1.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Delete criteria 11-12 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 11. <del>Co-ordinate the phasing of development with the delivery of infrastructure on the site, ensuring sustainable growth at this location;</del> 12. <del>Make a proportionate contribution, by means of an equalisation mechanism, to infrastructure delivery. Detailed requirements will be set out in the masterplan/SPD;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance. These criteria are now covered by the modified criterion 1	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 13 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 13. <del>Deliver accessible streets which prioritise cycling, walking and public transport over the private car;</del> Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C4 and JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 15 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 15. Accommodate <del>and contribute to</del> the delivery of the Manchester Airport Metrolink Line Western Leg extension including Metrolink stop(s);	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the Metrolink Western Leg extension requirements.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 16 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 16. Deliver a new spine road through the site with connections to the existing road network and local access to development sites, incorporating separate pedestrian and cycling space <u>as well as</u> <del>and provision for future bus priority infrastructure rapid transit</del> to improve east west connections between Altrincham and Manchester Airport;	To clarify, reflecting recommendations of the Timperley Wedge Locality Assessment	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Delete criterion 17 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 17. <del>Make the necessary improvements to the Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks to enable the proposed level of development, and mitigate the impact of increased vehicle numbers, including: i. Road Widening at Dobbinetts Lane ii. Junction improvement to Thorley Lane/Runger Lane iii. New roundabout junction at Thorley Lane/Green Lane/Clay Lane iv. Contributions to improvements at M56 Junction 3 and Terminal 2 roundabout</del>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D – covered by the amended Criterion 13.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 18 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 18. Provide a <del>new</del> local centre <u>comprising a range of shops and services to meet local needs with convenience shopping facilities as a hub for local services in the region of 3,000 sqm of net retail floorspace</u> close to the Davenport Green stop of the Metrolink Western Leg extension;	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the local centre.	Minor level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 19 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: <del>19. Provide additional primary school places, including a new primary school and contribute to the provision of secondary school places; Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development (where appropriate) and make provision for a new primary school, located close to the local centre, in accordance with JP-P5;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the location of the new school.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Delete criterion 20 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: <del>20. Provide and contribute to new health facilities to support the new community;</del>	To clarify, the policy is adequately addressed by JP-P6.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 21 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: <del>21. Create defensible</del> Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt around/within the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent; utilising, where appropriate, existing landscape features;	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the strengthening of Green Belt boundaries	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Delete criterion 22 of Policy JPA3.2 and replacement with wording as follows: <del>22. Mitigate any impact on and improve the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt land; Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt within and in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan and reflecting a proposed modification to Policy JP-G2 with regards to compensatory improvements in the Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criteria 23 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 23. Provide a significant area of enhanced and publicly accessible green infrastructure (including new public rights of way) within a rural park to remain in the Green Belt identified on the Indicative Allocation Policy Plan (picture 11.10), ensuring protection of heritage assets in this area;	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 24 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 24. Create wildlife corridors and steppingstone habitats within the development areas to support nature recovery networks, provide ecosystem services and publicly accessible green infrastructure. Including the following green links which will be defined through the Masterplan and Trafford Local Plan: i. From Clay Lane through to Brooks Drive and Fairywell Brook ii. Through Davenport Green Ponds SBI to Medipark	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance. in relation to the location of the wildlife corridors which will be defined in the Masterplan and Trafford Local Plan.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 25 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 25. Provide a range of types and sizes of open space within the allocation boundary in accordance with the Council's open space standards policies, including local parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace, equipped and informal play areas; outdoor sports pitches and allotment plots, ensuring arrangements for their long-term maintenance;	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 26 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 26. Protect Manor Farm identified in the Indicative Allocation Policy Plan (picture 11.10) and <del>promote its</del> enhance its sports facilities to meet local needs <del>use for future sports provision including, where appropriate, new access and car parking;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to what is expected at Manor Farm.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 27 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 27. <del>Promote improvements to</del> Accommodate land for leisure facilities (Use Class E(d) and F2 (c)) at Hale Country Club as identified on the Indicative Allocation Plan (picture 11.10);	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to Hale Country ClubThe Hale Country Club will remain within the allocation as a private club, but development will not need to provide improvements. and to update the Use Class Order reference.	Minor level of change



Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	New criterion added to Policy JPA3.2 as follows: <u>Seek to relocate Bowdon Rugby Club either within or in close proximity to the allocation and redevelop the existing Rugby Club site for residential use as shown on the Indicative Allocation Plan (picture 11.10)</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to Bowden Rugby Club. The existing Rugby Club site is considered to be appropriate for residential use, so the additional criterion is necessary.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criteria 28 and deletion of criteria 29 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 28. <del>Protect and enhance natural environment assets within the site and surrounding areas including SBIs, woodland and hedgerows; Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of the Ponds at Davenport Green and Davenport Green Wood SBIs, in accordance with Policy JP-G9;</del> 29. <del>Deliver a clear and measurable net gain in biodiversity, including provision for long-term management of habitats and geological features which may include SUDs systems of high biodiversity value created as part of the overall flood risk and drainage strategy;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance and is consistent with policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 30 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows: 30. <del>Protect and enhance the habitats and corridors along Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve 'good' status as required under having regard to the North West River Basin management plan (2019);</del>	To clarify that the policy is effective as the North West River Basin Management Plan is not a statutory plan	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Modify criterion 33 of policy JPA3.2 as follows: 33. <del>Ensure new development is place-led, creative and contextual in its response, respecting the local character, heritage and positive local design features of the area;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Delete criterion 34 of Policy JPA3.2, as follows: 34. <del>Be in accordance with the Council's adopted Design Guide embracing strategic design principles, including creating connected communities, redefining streets, delivering inclusive characterful design and responding to heritage;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance. The Council does not yet have a Design guide	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	Deletion of criteria 36 and 37 of Policy JPA3.2 and replacement with following text: 36. <del>Conserve and enhance the historic environment in line with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020 in the Plan's evidence base and any updated (HIA) submitted as part of the planning application process; Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets and their settings, including the Deer Park, listed buildings and areas of high archaeological potential in the south west of the site, in accordance with Policy JP-P2;</del> 37. <del>Protect and enhance archaeological features and, where appropriate, carry out archaeological evaluation in the form of geophysics, field walking and trial trenching for areas specified in the Timperley Wedge Heritage Assessment 2020 to understand where especially significant archaeology must be preserved in situ;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to heritage assets and to ensure the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P2.	Significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Deletion of criteria 38-41 of JPA3.2 as follows:</p> <p><del>38. Mitigate the impacts of climate change and utilise the most energy and water efficient technologies to achieve zero carbon by 2028;</del></p> <p><del>39. Explore and deliver the most appropriate solutions to providing decentralised low carbon heat and energy as part of new residential and employment development. This will include exploring the potential for the development of district heat, cooling and energy networks, energy centres, the implementation of renewable and low carbon heat and energy technologies in design and the co-location of potential heat and energy customers and suppliers</del></p> <p><del>40. Ensure new development maximizes on-site renewable energy measures in line with the energy hierarchy, for example, via solar PV and other low carbon technologies, linked to the provision of and supply to electric vehicle charging infrastructure;</del></p> <p><del>41. Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, full fibre broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant Places for Everyone or Local Plan policies;</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is consistent with policies JP-S2 and JP-S3.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Modify criterion 42 of Policy JPA3.2, as follows:</p> <p><del>42. Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development in accordance with an allocation wide flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy, which forms part of the Masterplan/delivery strategy (Criterion 1) The allocation wide drainage strategy should be prepared after having fully assessed site topography, flood risk, existing water features and naturally occurring flow paths to identify where water will naturally accumulate. The strategy will demonstrate how each phase interacts with other phases of development and further detail will be set out in the Masterplan / SPD.</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the requirement for a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Deletion of criteria 43-45 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows</p> <p><del>43. Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water runoff. Planning applications will be expected to apply the full surface hierarchy and ensure water is managed close to where it falls by mimicking the natural drainage solution;</del></p> <p><del>44. Demonstrate that development proposals will not adversely affect existing water supply infrastructure that passes through the site. This will include consideration of any changes in ground levels and management of the construction process;</del></p> <p><del>45. Seek to actively reduce the impact of potential flood risk both within and beyond the site;</del></p>	To clarify, this is adequately covered by thematic policy JP-S5	Significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Modify criterion 46 of Policy JPA3.2, as follows:</p> <p><del>46. Incorporate appropriate noise and air quality mitigation, such as woodland buffers, particularly along the M56 motorway, the Metrolink and HS2/NPR corridor in line with Environmental (Noise) Regulations;</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Potentially significant level of change

Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	MMCB14	<p>Modify criteria 47-49 and deletion of criteria 50 of Policy JP Allocation 3.2, as follows:</p> <p>47. The land identified to the south and west of the <u>proposed</u> HS2 Airport station as shown on the <u>Indicative Allocation Policy Plan</u>, although removed from the Green Belt, it is <u>safeguarded and</u> is not allocated for development at the present time;</p> <p>48. <del>The land is safeguarded in accordance with Policy JP-G 11 "Safeguarded Land"; Permanent development of this land will only be permitted following an update to a plan that proposes its development; and</del></p> <p>49. Any future allocation <u>should have regard is subject to an assessment that the land directly contributes to the Greater Manchester HS2 / NPR Growth Strategy (as maybe updated and/or superseded) as part of a plan review. And it should only be developed after completion of development set out in the Timperley Wedge masterplan and following the delivery of HS2 Airport station; and</u></p> <p>50. <del>Should a HS2 Airport station not be developed, the land will return to Green Belt following a Plan review.</del></p>	To clarify and to ensure the policy is effective and consistent with NPPF, in particular 143(d).	Potentially significant level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	Additional Modification	<p>Modify by inserting new text above criterion 1 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows:</p> <p><u>Subject to all relevant policies in this Plan, Ddevelopment of this site will be required to:</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Minor level of change
Cross Boundary	JPA3.2	Timperley Wedge	Additional Modification	<p>Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA3.2 as follows:</p> <p>2. Deliver around 2,500 homes of which 1,700 will be in the plan period as set out <u>ign</u> the Allocation Policy Plan (picture 11.10);</p>	To amend typo.	Minor level of change
Bolton	JPA4	Bewshill Fam	MMBo2	<p>Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA4, as follows:</p> <p><del>3. Contribute to the existing Logistics North local link demand responsive transport service</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7; and</u></p>	<p>The Logistics North Local Link demand responsive transport service no longer operates. The generic requirement is now addressed through criterion 3 and policy JP-C7.</p> <p>To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D</p>	Potentially significant level of change
Bolton	JPA4	Bewshill Fam	MMBo2	<p>Add a new criterion to Policy JPA4 as follows:</p> <p><u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2; and</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA4	Bewshill Fam	MMBo2	<p>Add new criterion to Policy JPA4 as follows:</p> <p><u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u></p>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA5	Chequerbent North	MMBo4	<p>Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA5 as follows:</p> <p><del>3. Provide good quality access to the site by motor vehicle, public transport, walking and cycling</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change

Bolton	JPA5	Chequerbent North	MMBo4	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA5, as follows: 4. <del>Provide financial contribution to mitigate</del> <u>Mitigate</u> impacts on the <del>Local Road Network Highway Network</del> including <u>any necessary</u> improvements to Chequerbent roundabout <u>and/or</u> other improvements identified through a transport assessment;	To clarify, some mitigation may also be required on the SRN, to reflect the approved proposals at Hulton Park which may bring forward alternative highway solutions and to provide flexibility in line	Potentially significant level of change
Bolton	JPA5	Chequerbent North	MMBo4	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA5, as follows: 5. <del>Require</del> <u>Provide</u> high quality landscaping particularly to the west along Snydale Way and to the north along the M61; trees and hedgerows along the eastern boundary should be retained for screening;	To clarify, correcting a typographical error	Minor level of change
Bolton	JPA5	Chequerbent North	MMBo4	Add new criterion to policy JPA5 as follows: <u>Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including Chequerbent Embankment Ancient Monument, in accordance with policy JP-P2.</u>	To reflect the post submission designation of Chequerbent Embankment Scheduled Ancient Monument	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA5	Chequerbent North	MMBo4	Add new criterion to Policy JPA5 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2; and</u>	To clarify, ensuring policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA5	Chequerbent North	MMBo4	Add new criterion to Policy JPA5 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA6, as follows: 1. Provide a location for around 440,000 sqm of <del>employment industrial and warehousing</del> floorspace, <del>consisting of a mix of large scale warehousing and advanced manufacturing;</del>	To clarify and to provide flexibility, the reference to advanced manufacturing and large scale warehousing is too prescriptive.	Potentially significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA6, as follows: 2. Be in accordance with <del>an agreed</del> <u>a comprehensive masterplan agreed by the local planning authority</u> that shows phasing within the site, and which areas should or should not be developed <u>in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u>	To clarify, providing consistency across the Plan	Minor level of change

Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	<p>Delete criterions 3, 4 and 5 of Policy JP6AP, as follows:</p> <p><del>3. Ensure that good quality road access is provided, allowing a link from the A6 to Westhoughton;</del></p> <p><del>4. Take advantage of the site's location near Junction 6 of the M61, whilst making sure that it has no significantly adverse affect on the motorway or other surrounding roads;</del></p> <p><del>5. Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment;</del></p> <p>Replace with new criterion (3) as follows:  <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u></p>	<p>Delete criterion 3: The link road is not required to bring the allocation forward. Criterion 3 was intended to protect an alignment in the future and can be addressed though the reasoned justification.</p> <p>Delete criterion 4: The text 'take advantage of the site's location near junction 6 of the M61' reflects a reason the site is proposed to be allocated rather than being a requirement of development.</p> <p>The text 'making sure it has no significantly adverse effect on the motorway or surrounding roads' is addressed elsewhere in PfE, for example, new criterion 3 of Policy JPA6 and Policy JP-C7</p> <p>Delete criterion 5: These generic requirements are addressed elsewhere in PfE, for example, Policy JP-C7 and JP-D2</p> <p>New criterion: To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D</p>	Potentially significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	<p>Modify criterion 6 of Policy JPA6, as follows:</p> <p><del>6. Ensure that the siting and scale of buildings and the landscape planting scheme minimises the impact on long range views and that a high quality scheme of landscaping is implemented to minimise the prominence of the development and its impact upon the surrounding landscape and views;</del></p>	<p>To make the policy clearer and therefore more effective in ensuring that both measures are considered in mitigating impacts on the surrounding landscape and views.</p>	Potentially significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	<p>Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA6 and insert a new criterion (6) as follows:</p> <p><del>7. Protect the Site of Biological Importance at Four Gates from development and incorporate very high levels of landscaping, including the retention of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and mitigate against its environmental impacts; Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of Four Gates Site of Biological Importance, in accordance with policy JP-G9.</del></p> <p><u>New criterion</u>  <u>Make provision for green and blue infrastructure including, where practicable, the retention and enhancement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds in accordance with policy JP-G 2;</u></p>	<p>Modification of criterion 7: Policy JP-P1 criterion 16 D addresses generic landscaping within schemes. The requirement relating to woodlands, hedgerows and ponds has been moved into a new criterion. To ensure the plan is effective in protecting biodiversity including specifically Four Gates SBI, consistent with Policy JP-G9.</p> <p>New criterion: The new criterion requires development to enhance, as well as retain, existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds. This will help mitigate against the environmental impacts of the development. To ensure effectiveness in setting out the approach to blue and green infrastructure, consistent with JP-G2.</p>	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	<p>Insert a new criterion to Policy JPA6, as follows:</p> <p><u>Define and strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt around the site, particularly at Westhoughton Golf Course, such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.</u></p>	<p>To enhance the effectiveness of Policy JPA6 in ensuring the Green Belt boundaries around the allocation are clearly defined, readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.</p>	Significant level of change

Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	Insert a new criterion to Policy JPA6, as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2</u>	Notwithstanding that it was not referred to in AP103 this change is considered necessary to clarify and ensure consistency with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	Delete criterion 8 of Policy JPA6, as follows: <del>8. Take into account the effects of air and noise pollution from the major highways in and around the site;</del>	This generic criterion is sufficiently addressed by other policies including JP-C4 (criterion 1k) and JP-S6	Significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 6	MMBo6	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA6, as follows: 9. Ensure that there is no undue adverse impact of light, <del>air and noise</del> pollution from the development and its associated operations; <del>and</del>	The generic requirements relating to air and noise pollution are addressed by other policies including JP-C4 (criterion 1k) and JP-S6.	Potentially significant level of change
Bolton	JPA6	West of Wingates/ M61 Junction 7	MMBo6	New criterion: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend first paragraph as follows: Any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. <del>This should include the delivery of highways infrastructure, surface water drainage, grey infrastructure including utilities provision, green and blue infrastructure, broadband, electric vehicle charging points, recreation provision and social infrastructure and ensure coordination between phases of development.</del>	To clarify and it ensure consistency as JP-D1 requires an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Modify bullet point 1 as follows: "Deliver a broad mix of around 3,500 homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Bury and Radcliffe areas. This includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes, accommodation for older people, plots for custom and self-build ( <u>subject to local demand as set out in the Council's self-build register</u> ) and higher densities of development in areas with good accessibility and with potential for improved public transport connectivity, particularly in the southern areas of the allocation. It is expected that around <del>4,900</del> <u>2,100</u> of these homes will be delivered during the plan period;	Consequential modification as a result of the change to the plan period and to provide clarity regarding self build.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Modify bullet point 2.iii. as follows: iii. Other <u>new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7;</u> <del>Other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement, including works in and around Radcliffe town centre; and</del>	To improve consistency in the approach towards transport interventions.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Modify the last paragraph in bullet point 2 as follows: Residential development within the allocation will be <u>controlled to ensure that the rate of housing delivery is coordinated with the implementation of the above infrastructure (or key elements of it) limited until the above infrastructure (or key elements of it) is implemented as necessary mitigation</u>	To provide clarity with regard to the delivery of infrastructure.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Delete criterion 3.	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Delete criterion 4.	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 6 as follows: 6. Make provision for two new two-form entry primary schools to meet the needs <u>generated by the development of the prospective school aged residents, in accordance with policy JP-P5;</u>	To improve consistency in policy wording	Minor level of change

Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 7 as follows: 7. <u>Make provision for a new secondary school, or in the event that secondary school provision is delivered in an alternative way, make a financial contribution towards secondary school provision to meet the needs generated by the development of the prospective school aged residents, in accordance with policy JP-P5;</u>	To clarify education requirements and to improve consistency in policy wording	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 8 as follows: 8. <u>Make provision for two new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities required to serve purely local needs and ensure they are integrated with existing communities;</u>	To provide clarity regarding the scale of local centres required	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Delete criterion 9	It is considered that these requirements are covered by Policy JP-D1 and JP-S2	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 11 as follows: 11. <u>Make provision for the replacement of existing recreation space at Warth Fold that is equivalent or better in terms of quantity and quality and in a suitable location; Make provision for recreational facilities to meet the needs of the prospective residents in accordance with local planning policy requirements;</u>	To provide clarification in terms of the intended approach towards the replacement of existing recreational facilities within the site and to ensure that this is consistent with national policy. The modification also removes a requirement that is dealt with elsewhere in the plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 12 as follows: 12. <u>Provide a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment and providing linkages to the sites wider drainage strategy in accordance with Policy JP-G 2 'Green Infrastructure Network' and Policy JP-G 8 'Standards for Greener Places'. This should include including the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset;</u>	To provide clarification in terms of requiring the provision of green and blue infrastructure to refer to the need to integrate and enhance specific features within the site.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Add new criterion after criterion 12, as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt within the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2</u>	To secure compensatory improvements to the remaining Green Belt in line with national policy.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Add new criterion after criterion 12, as follows: <u>Define and/or strengthen the boundary of the retained area of Green Belt within the site to ensure that it comprises physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that boundaries to the retained Green Belt are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent (as required by para.143(f) of the NPPF)	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 13 as follows: 13. <u>Minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation, including the Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of SBIs at Elton Reservoir; Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal (East); Elton Goyt; Withins Reservoir; Black Lane Marl Pits; and Radcliffe Wetlands in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity';</u>	To make it clear that biodiversity net gains can potentially be achieved outside of the allocation rather than solely within the allocation and to also refer to specific existing features of ecological interest within the site.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Delete criterion 15.	It is considered that flood risk is adequately covered by JP-S5	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Delete criterion 16.	The requirement for long term management and maintenance under part 16 is adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policy JP-G2)	Significant level of change

Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Amend criterion 17 as follows: 17. <del>Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets</del> <u>Protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage and archaeological assets</u> and their setting, including the Old Hall Farmhouse Grade II <del>Listed</del> <u>Building, in accordance with Policy JP-P2; and</u> <del>in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.</del>	To ensure a consistent approach across all site allocation policies and to ensure consistency with Policy JP-P2 and national policy.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA7	Elton Reservoir	MMBu3	Add new criterion as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Modify criterion 2 as follows: 2. <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7;</u> <del>Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the allocation and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area;</del>	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Delete criterion 4	It is considered that these requirements are covered by Policy JP-D1 and JP-S2	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Modify Criterion 6 as follows: 6. Retain and enhance <u>existing recreation facilities and/or replace, where necessary, make provision for replacement facilities that are equivalent or better in terms of quantity and quality and in a suitable location.</u> <del>existing recreation facilities and make provision for new recreation facilities to meet the needs of the prospective residents in accordance with local planning policy requirements;</del>	To provide clarity regarding the recreational facilities	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Amend Criterion 7 as follows: 7. Retain and enhance the wildlife corridor and green infrastructure <del>elements to the west and south of the allocation and introduce appropriate mitigation measures to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment in accordance with Policy JP-G 2 'Green Infrastructure Network' and Policy JP-G 8 'Standards for Greener Places;</del>	To ensure consistency with Policy JP-G2.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Delete criterion 8	Adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policies JP-G9 and JP-G2 respectively)	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Delete criterion 9	Flood risk is adequately covered by JP-S4	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Delete criterion 10	Flood risk is adequately covered by JP-S5	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Delete criterion 11	Adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policies JP-G9 and JP-G2 respectively)	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Add new criterion as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2; and</u>	Clarity to ensure compensatory improvements to Green Belt in accordance with JP-G2	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA8	Seedfield	MMBu5	Add new criterion as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change



Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Amend first paragraph as follows: Any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been <del>previously</del> approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. <del>This should include the delivery of highways infrastructure, surface water drainage, grey infrastructure including utilities provision, green and blue infrastructure, broadband, electric vehicle charging points, recreation provision and social infrastructure and ensure coordination between phases of development.</del>	To clarify and it ensure consistency as JP-D1 requires an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Amend criterion 1 as follows: 1. Deliver a broad mix of around 1,250 homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Walshaw area. This includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes, accommodation for older people, and provision of plots for custom and self-build housing ( <u>subject to local demand as set out in the Council's self-build register</u> );	Change to provide clarity regarding self-build	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 2. Replace with new criterion as follows: <u>Make provision of a new strategic through road to enable an alternative to Church Street, Bank Street and High Street that is designed to be suitable for buses and incorporates active travel and is in line with local design standards;</u>	To provide clarity with regard to the required transport elements of the policy	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 3 and replace with new criterion as follows: <u>Make provision for a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, incorporating Leigh Lane and Dow Lane;</u>	To provide clarity with regard to the required transport elements of the policy	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 4 and replace with new criterion as follows: <u>Make provision for other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7;</u>	To provide consistency by referencing transport interventions set out in Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Modify criterion 6 as follows: Make provision for a new one-form entry primary school <del>within the allocation and make financial contributions for off-site additional secondary school provision to accommodate additional demand on school places</del> meet needs generated by the development in accordance with Policy JP-P5;	To improve consistency in policy wording.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 7	Requirements for a contribution towards education provision are adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policy JP-P5).	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Amend criterion 8 as follows: 8. Make provision for a new local centre in an accessible location which includes a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities <u>required to serve purely local needs</u> and ensure that it is integrated within existing communities;	To provide clarity regarding the scale of the local centre.	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 9	Covered by Policy JP-D1 and JP-S2	Potentially significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Amend criterion 11 as follows: 11. Make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation <del>to provide health benefits to residents to create a visually attractive environment and provide linkages to the sites wider drainage strategy in accordance with Policy JP-G-2 'Green Infrastructure Network' and Policy JP-G-8 'Standards for Greener Places'. This should include</del> including the integration and enhancement of the existing green infrastructure corridors and assets at Walshaw and Elton Brooks;	To ensure consistency with Policy JP-G2 whilst also referring to specific features of the site.	Significant level of change

Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Add new criterion: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarity to ensure compensatory improvements to Green Belt in accordance with JP-G2	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Add new criterion: <u>Define and/or strengthen the boundary of the Green Belt to the south-east of the site to ensure that it comprises physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;</u>	Clarity to ensure that boundary of the site will comprise physical features that are that are readily recognizable and likely to be permanent.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 12	These matters are adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policies JP-G9 and JP-G2 respectively).	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 13	Flood risk is adequately covered by JP-S5	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 14	SuDS is adequately covered by JP-S5	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Delete criterion 15	These matters are adequately dealt with elsewhere in the Plan (Policies JP-G9 and JP-G2 respectively).	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Amend criterion 16 as follows: 16. <del>Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets. Protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting, including the Christ Church Grade II* Listed Building in accordance with Policy JP-P2; and, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.</del>	To ensure a consistent approach across all site allocation policies and to ensure consistency with Policy JP-P2 and national policy.	Significant level of change
Bury	JPA9	Walshaw	MMBu7	Add new criterion as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Manchester	JPA10	Global Logistics	MMM2	Delete JPA10 Global Logistics and its associated RJ in its entirety.	In response to Inspectors recommendations to delete the entire policy	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA12, as follows: "Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and design code as agreed by the local planning authority. <u>This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u> "	To clarify requirement for an infrastructure and phasing delivery strategy, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA12, as follows: "Deliver around 480 homes, providing a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including the delivery of high-quality family housing <u>and affordable homes in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u> "  Delete criterion 3 of Policy JPA12, as follows: " <del>Provide for affordable homes in line with local planning policy requirements;</del> "	To clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA12, as follows: "Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highway authority. <u>The main point of access will be from Oldham Road (directly into the allocation) linking to a new internal spine road that will connect the site to the Broadbent Moss allocation to the south, and be delivered as part of the comprehensive development of both sites;</u> "  Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA12, as follows: "Safeguard <u>a an accessible route for walking and cycling connections</u> from the proposed spine road through the northern part of the site....."	To clarify requirements regarding access, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Delete criterion 6 of Policy JPA12, as follows: “ <del>Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements, that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off site highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities;</del> ”	Replaced with new criterion below referencing Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7 to ensure consistency across the plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA12, as follows: “ <del>Contribute</del> <u>Provide a proportionate and evidence-based contribution</u> to the delivery of the new Metrolink stop and...”	To clarify, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA12, as follows: “Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, <u>as part of the multi-functional green-infrastructure network</u> to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, developing on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network. <del>This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way), with high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas, to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation;</del> ”	To clarify and avoid unnecessary duplication with JP-G2 and JP-C7, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Add a new criterion to policy JPA12, as follows: “ <u>Make provision for other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7.</u> ”	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA12, as follows: “ <del>Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Pennines Foothills South / West Pennines;</del> <u>Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Pennine Foothills South / West Pennines landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1;</u> ”	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 10 of Policy JP12, as follows: “ <del>Have regard to the findings of the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study, including mitigation measures to mitigate harm to the Green Belt;</del> <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u> ”	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding requirements to provide for compensatory improvements in accordance with policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 11 of Policy JPA12, as follows: “Ensure the protection from development of a large green wedge, between the main development area and the Metrolink line to the east and its enhancement as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network, <del>and contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment;</del> ”	Consequential change as a result of modification to criterion 10 above.	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 12 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Make provision for Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of within the site, notably the existing Shawside SBI, including areas of areas of priority habitat, including Deciduous Woodland and Lowland Fens, and the Twingates local nature reserve, in accordance with policy JP-G9; following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network which should ensure the requirements of wading bird populations are met;”</del>	To clarify requirements regarding biodiversity on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Delete criterion 13 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Provide further species surveys on in accordance with the recommendations of an up-to-date Phase 1 Habitat Survey phase 1 habitats, having particular regard to further surveys that may be needed for amphibians, badgers, water voles, and bats and to inform any planning application. Regard should be also had to the river course and broadleaved woodland;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Add a new criterion to JPA12, as follows: <del>“Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to policy JP-G5, criterion 7 (c);”</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 14 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Protect and enhance the habitats and corridor along the River Beal to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve ‘good status’ as proposed under the EU-Water Framework Directive; “</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 15 of policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities, including the expansion and/or improvement of existing facilities at Heyside Cricket Club, commensurate with the demand generated in accordance with relevant local planning policy requirements; This includes the expansion of, and improvement to existing, facilities at Heyside Cricket Club;”</del>	To clarify requirements for open space, sport and recreation provision, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 16 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Make provision for onsite, and/or financial contributions towards additional primary and/or secondary school provision places to meet needs generated by the development the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, in accordance with Policy JP-P5 and subject to the requirements of the agreed masterplan for the allocation; either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority;”</del>	To clarify requirements for onsite, and/or financial contributions towards, additional schools places thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Delete criterion 17 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision; “</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Modify criterion 18 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed; Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including the listed buildings of Birshaw House and New Bank in accordance with policy JP-P2;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Delete criterion 19 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment feeding into a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems, integrated as part of the multifunctional green infrastructure network and delivered in line with the GM Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD’s features should be explored; “</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	MMO2	Add a new criterion at the end of the policy (after criterion 22),as follows: <del>“Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).”</del>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“.....as part of any development, to offer the potential to link the site to Shaw Town Centre and further improve connectivity to the local area and beyond;”</del>	To amend typo	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 21 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Have regard to the Groundwater Source Protection Zone in the design of the development to ensure there are no adverse impacts to groundwater resources or groundwater quality and to ensure compliance with the Environment Agency’s approach to groundwater protection and any relevant position statements; and“</del>	Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA12	Beal Valley	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 22 of Policy JPA12, as follows: <del>“Incorporate necessary remediation measures in areas affected by contamination and previously worked for landfill purposes; and“</del>	Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Deliver around 30 homes, providing a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including a mix of high-quality family housing and affordable homes in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;”</del>  Delete criterion 2 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Provide affordable homes in line with local planning policy requirements;“</del>	To clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Provide for appropriate access to and from the site in liaison with the local highway authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site; Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7; “</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 4 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the min-development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider-landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation;”</del>	To clarify and avoid unnecessary duplication with JP-G2 and JP-C7, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys; Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1;”</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 6 of policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment;”</del>	To clarify and avoid unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 7 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Provide further species surveys on in accordance with the recommendations of an up-to-date Phase 1 Habitat Survey, having particular regard to further surveys that may be needed for amphibians, birds, and bats and extended phase 1 habitat surveys to inform planning applications;”</del>	To clarify and avoid unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 8 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside;”</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 9 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities, commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P7, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Modify criterion 10 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Have regard to the findings of the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study, including mitigation measures to mitigate harm to the Green Belt; Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt around the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;”</del>	To clarify that as part of any development there will be the need to create a new defensible Green Belt boundary	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Modify criterion 11 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment; Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;”</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding requirements to provide for compensatory improvements in accordance with policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Modify criterion 12 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority;”</del> <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5;</u>	To clarify and improve the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 13 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 14 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). An up-to-date Heritage Impact Assessment will be required for any planning applications. Development should be in keeping with the local character of Woodhouses in terms of materials, design and landscaping;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 15 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Take into consideration the findings of the Greater Manchester Historic Environment Assessment Screening Exercise, and provide an up to date archaeological desk based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed; and “</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Delete criterion 16 of Policy JPA13, as follows: <del>“Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUDs features should be explored.”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	MMO4	Add new criterion to Policy JPA13 after criterion 16, as follows: <del>“Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).”</del>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA13	Bottom Field Farm	Additional Modification	Insert “and” at the end of criterion 11 of Policy JPA13.	Consequential change resulting from modifications to the policy criteria.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify second sentence of criterion 1 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1;”</del>	To clarify requirement for an infrastructure and phasing delivery strategy, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Deliver around 1,450 homes providing a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including a mix of high-quality family housing. This includes making provision for affordable homes in accordance with relevant local plan requirements and incorporating higher density apartments adjacent to the proposed Metrolink stop. It is estimated that around 500-376 of these homes will be delivered post 2037-2039;”</del>  Delete criterion 3 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Provide for affordable homes in line with local planning policy requirements;”</del>	To clarify requirements for delivering a range of dwelling types and affordable housing on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Deliver around 21,000 sqm of industrial and warehouse floorspace employment floorspace extending the existing employment opportunities at Higginshaw Business Employment Area; “</del>	To clarify the type of employment floorspace to be delivered on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	<p>Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA14, as follows:  <del>“The main points of access to the site will be via Vulcan Street and the new connections to Shaw and Oldham via the Beal Valley allocation, linking to a new internal spine road that will be delivered as part of the comprehensive development of the site. The spine road will provide a link to the residential area to the east of the Metrolink line, through delivering an appropriate crossing; The main points of access will be from Ripponden Road to the east and Oldham Road via the proposed spine road to be constructed as part of the development of JPA12 Beal Valley allocation. The spine road from allocation JPA12 will be extended across the site, including the part to be retained in the Green Belt, to Ripponden Road with a bridge over the Metrolink line. The spine road will serve the residential development and provide a through route between Ripponden Road and Oldham Road to the west of allocation JPA12. The industrial and warehouse development will be accessed from the existing industrial estate;”</del></p>	To clarify requirements regarding access, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	<p>Delete criterion 6 of Policy JPA14, as follows:  <del>“Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.”</del></p>	Replaced with new criterion below referencing Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7 to ensure consistency across the plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	<p>Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA14, as follows:  <del>“Safeguard land for, and provide a proportionate and evidence-based contribution towards, the delivery of a new Metrolink stop and park and ride facility, along with the Beal Valley allocation, which in part will help to serve both allocations and improve their accessibility and connectivity;”</del></p>	To clarify, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	<p>Add new criterion to Policy JPA14 between criteria 7 and 8, as follows:  <del>“Make provision for other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	<p>Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA14, as follows:  <del>“Make provision for a local centre which provides a range of shops and services in accordance with relevant local plan requirements, in a suitable and accessible location within adjacent to the new Metrolink stop and new park and ride facility on the north-western part of the site, incorporating higher density apartments; “</del></p>	To clarify requirements regarding local centre, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	<p>Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA14, as follows:  <del>“Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the new Metrolink stop, the Beal Valley strategic allocation, bus network and surrounding area, as part of the multi-functional green-infrastructure network, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility. This will include an accessible cycle and walking connection between the employment and residential developments within the allocation; This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation;“</del></p>	To clarify and avoid unnecessary duplication with JP-G2 and JP-C7, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change



Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 10 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Pennines Foothills South / West Pennines. A Landscape Appraisal is required to inform any planning application; Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Pennine Foothills South / West Pennines landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1;”</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 11 of policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Have regard to the findings of the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study, including mitigation measures to mitigate harm to the Green Belt. Development will be required to create a new defensible Green Belt boundary around the development parcels identified to the east of the Metrolink line; Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the retained Green Belt within and adjoining the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.”</del>	To clarify that as part of any development there will be the need to create a new defensible Green Belt boundary	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 12 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt, as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment; Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;”</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding requirements to provide for compensatory improvements in accordance with policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 13 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Retain and enhance areas of biodiversity within the site, most notably the priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of a multifunctional green infrastructure network with the wider environment; Make provision for biodiversity including taking appropriate account of areas of priority habitat, including Deciduous Woodland and Lowland Fens, in accordance with policy JP-G9;”</del>	To clarify requirements regarding biodiversity on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Delete criterion 14 of policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Have regard to the recommendations of the Habitat Regulations Assessment and provide further species surveys on in accordance with the recommendations of an up-to-date Phase 1 Habitat Survey, phase 1 habitats, having particular regard to further surveys that may be needed for badgers, amphibians (including great crested newts) and bats surveys to inform any planning application;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Add new criterion to policy JPA14 between criteria 14 and 15, as follows: <del>“Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to policy JP-G5, criterion 7 (c).”</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 15 of Policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Protect and enhance the habitats and corridor along the River Beal to improve the existing water quality; and seek to achieve ‘good status’ as proposed under the EU Water Framework Directive;”</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Delete criterion 16 of policy JPA14, as follows: <del>“Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements;”</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P7, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Modify criterion 17 of Policy JPA14, as follows: " <del>Make provision for onsite, and/or financial contributions towards</del> <u>Contribute to offsite, additional primary and/or secondary school provision places to meet needs generated by the development the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, in accordance with policy JP-P5 and subject to the requirements of the agreed masterplan for the allocation either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority;</u> "	To clarify requirements for onsite, and/or financial contributions towards, additional schools places thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Delete criterion 18 of Policy JPA14, as follows: " <del>Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision;</del> "	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Delete criterion 19 of Policy JPA14, as follows: " <del>Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed;</del> "	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA14	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	MMO7	Add in new criterion to end of policy JPA14 after criterion 24, as follows: " <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u> "	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	Additional Modification	Modify the first sentence of criterion 1 of Policy JPA14, as follows: Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and <del>Design Code</del> <u>design code</u> as agreed by the local planning authority.	To amend typo	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 23 of Policy JPA14, as follows: "Incorporate necessary remediation measures in areas affected by contamination and previously worked for landfill purposes; <del>and</del> "	Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA14	Broadbent Moss	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 24 of Policy JPA14, as follows: "Incorporate noise and air quality mitigation to protect the amenity of any new and existing occupiers (both residential and employment), where new residential development adjoins Higginshaw Business Employment Area and the proposed extension; <del>and</del> "	Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Add a second sentence to criterion 1 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <u>. This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u> "	To clarify requirement for an infrastructure and phasing delivery strategy, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Insert new criterion to Policy JPA15 after criterion 1, as follows: " <u>Deliver around 138 homes, providing a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including the delivery of high-quality family housing and affordable homes in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u> "  Delete criterion 3 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Deliver around 90 homes with a mix of low density family and executive homes and affordable homes of 2 and 3 bedrooms, in line with local planning policy requirements;</del> "	To clarify requirements for delivering a range of dwelling types and affordable housing on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <u>Provide a range of commercial, leisure and retail facilities of up to <del>6,000</del> 3,000 sqm in accordance with relevant local plan requirements</u> , as part of a mix of uses, to support tourism and leisure facilities, connected to its gateway location to the Peak District National Park and capitalising on its proximity to Dove Stone Reservoir;"	To clarify the scale of commercial, leisure and retail facilities to be provided, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7 including Provide an improved access off the A669 / A635 and improvements to the existing access road up to the mill complex, including the river crossing over Chew Brook, up to adoptable standards;”</del></p> <p>Delete criterion 5 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Delete criterion 6 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Be informed by, and deliver the recommendations of, an appropriate visitor management plan to ensure that there is no adverse impact on Dove Stone Reservoir, the Peak District National Park and designated conservation areas. Development must have regard to the duty to care for the Peak District National Park under Section 62(2) of the Environment Act 1995;”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Modify the first sentence of criterion 7 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance pedestrian and cycling linkages with the neighbouring communities, including Greenfield, and Dove Stone reservoir and the surrounding countryside.”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Be designed to minimise the landscape impact having regard to the findings and recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Open Moorlands and Enclosed Upland Fringes (Dark Peak); Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Open Moorlands and Enclosed Upland Fringes (Dark Peak) landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1 and the site’s proximity to Dove Stone Reservoir and the Peak District National Park;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Retain and enhance biodiversity within and adjoining the site, notably the areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment; Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of areas of adjoining priority habitat, including Deciduous Woodland, in accordance with policy JP-G9;”</del></p>	To clarify requirements regarding biodiversity on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Delete criterion 10 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Provide further surveys on extended phase 1 habitats, bats and birds to inform any planning application;”</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	<p>Modify criterion 11 of Policy JPA15, as follows:  <del>“Ensure that development does not have an adverse impact on the integrity of the nearby Special Protection Area (SPA) and Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The recommendations from the Habitat Regulations Assessment must be considered; Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to policy JP-G5, criterion 7( c );”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 12 of Policy JPA15, as follows: "Be designed to relate positively to Chew Brook <u>along the northern boundary</u> , and other watercourses running through the site, integrating them as part of <u>a the multi-functional green infrastructure network, creating a green routes along the river / brook watercourses</u> , ensuring that development is set back to allow ecological movement, <u>and providing opportunities to improve the existing water quality;</u> "	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Delete criterion 13 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Provide for opportunities to protect and enhance the habitats and corridor along Chew Brook to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve 'good' status as proposed under the EU Water Framework Directive;</del> "	Consequential change resulting from modifications to related criteria.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 14 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Have regard to the findings of the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study, including mitigation measures to mitigate harm to the Green Belt; Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt around the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;</del> "	To clarify that as part of any development there will be the need to create a new defensible Green Belt boundary	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 15 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment; Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</del> "	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding requirements to provide for compensatory improvements in accordance with policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Delete criterion 16 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated in line with local planning policy requirements, and local surpluses and deficiencies;</del> "	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P7, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 17 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority; Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5;</del> "	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan and policy JP-P5	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Delete criterion 18 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision in liaison with the local authority and Public Health;</del> "	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 19 of Policy JPA15, as follows: " <del>Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) and addendum (2021) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up to date archaeological desk based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed; Have regard to the setting of heritage assets in close proximity to the site, including Hey Top Conservation Area and Greenfield House and New Barn Grade II Listed Buildings, and be informed by a Heritage Statement which identifies those buildings and structures on the site that are considered to be non-designated assets and should be retained as part of development proposals. The alteration, extension or demolition of any buildings contributing to the landscape or heritage interest of the site will require clear justification in relation to the significance and setting of the asset within and/or in close proximity to the site; and"</del> "	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Delete criterion 20 of Policy JPA15, as follows: <del>“Ensure high quality design that is environmentally and sustainably driven, including grey harvesting and recycling, maximising energy efficiency through good building design and fuel efficient technology, a reduction of car usage and household recycling facilities; and“</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	MMO9	Modify criterion 21 of Policy JPA15, as follows: <del>“Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, which takes account of any recommendations from the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Site Summary Report, and a comprehensive drainage strategy which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. <u>Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures, ensuring development is safe over its lifetime and does not increase flood risk elsewhere.</u> The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site <u>which should be integrated into as part of the proposed multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and include the de-culverting of Fletcher’s Brook and the creation of an open channel watercourse running through, and discharging downstream, of the site.</u> <del>Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures, ensuring development is safe over its lifetime and does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Natural sustainable drainage systems should be integrated to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUDs features should be explored.”</del></del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA15	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA15	Chew Brook Vale	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA15, as follows: <del>“Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and <del>Design Code</del> <u>design code</u> agreed by the local authority;”</del>	To amend typo.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Deliver around 460 homes, providing a range of dwelling types and sizes so as to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including the delivery of a mix of high-quality family housing <u>and affordable homes in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u>”</del>  Delete criterion 3 of Policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Provide for affordable homes in line with local requirements set out in local planning policy requirements;“</del>	To clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highway authority. The main points of access to the site will be Cocker Mill Lane to the southern part of the site, <u>with an emergency/controlled secondary access to Cowlshaw,</u> Kings Road to the <u>west where any access arrangements will need to take account of the nearby priority habitats and children’s play area</u> <del>central part of the site that lies to the north of Cowlshaw Farm and Denbigh Drive to the north where,</del> <u>with access will be limited to the small parcel at the north only.</u> <u>An emergency / controlled secondary access to the site should be provided via Cowlshaw;“</u></del>	To clarify requirements regarding access, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off site highways improvements, high quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities; <u>Make provision for other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u>”</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Modify criterion 6 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. This is to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Make provision for green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way where appropriate), landscaping and biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of Cowlshaw Ponds SBI, the areas of priority habitat near to Kings Road, areas of woodland and other features on the site so as to mitigate its environmental impacts, minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside;”</del></p> <p>Delete criterion 8 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Cowlshaw Ponds SBI and the area of priority habitat to the south of Crompton Primary School, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment;”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements regarding green infrastructure, landscaping and biodiversity, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Pennines Foothills South / West Pennines; Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Pennine Foothills South / West Pennines landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Delete criterion 9 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Provide further surveys on amphibians (including great crested newts), extended phase 1 habitat, breeding birds, badgers and bats to inform any planning application;”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Add new criterion to Policy JPA16 between criteria 9 and 10, as follows:  <del>“Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to policy JP-G5, criterion 7 (c);”</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Modify criterion 10 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities, including the retention, or relocation to elsewhere in the site, of the existing play area off Kings Road, commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in accordance with relevant local planning policy requirements. This includes the retention or relocation if required, and improvement of, the existing play area off Kings Road within the site;”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Modify criterion 11 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority;”</del> <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5.”</u></p>	To clarify and improve the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	<p>Delete criterion 12 of Policy JPA16, as follows:  <del>“Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision;”</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	Delete criterion 13 of Policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up to date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed; and “</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	MMO11	Delete criterion 14 of Policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network, and be in line with the GM Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUDs features should be explored.“</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA16	Cowlshaw	Additional Modification	Modify criterion 1 of policy JPA16, as follows: <del>“Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and Design Code design code agreed by the local planning authority;“</del>	To amend typo.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Add second sentence of criterion 1 of Policy JPA17, as follows: <del>“ . <u>This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u>“</del>	To clarify requirement for an infrastructure and phasing delivery strategy, thereby improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA17, as follows: <del>“Deliver around 175 homes, providing a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including the delivery of high-quality family housing and affordable homes in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;“</del>  Delete criterion 3 of Policy JPA17, as follows: <del>“Provide for affordable homes, in line with local planning policy requirements;“</del>	To clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA17, as follows: <del>“Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highway authority. As part of this, provision should be made to safeguard a route from the north western edge of the site through to Coal Pit Lane, linked to the internal infrastructure of the site Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highway authority, with the main point of access being from Ashton Road. In addition, ensure that vehicular access from the western edge of the site is safeguarded so as to facilitate the provision of a future link road that would run through the site from Ashton Road to Coal Pit Lane (Limeside) and that the layout of development and design of roads within the site are capable of accommodating the said link road;“</del>	To clarify requirements regarding access, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA17, as follows: <del>“Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site; Make provision for other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7; “</del>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Modify criterion 6 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation; Make provision for green infrastructure, landscaping and biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of the areas of priority habitat, including Deciduous Woodland, so as to mitigate the potential environmental impacts, minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside;”</del></p> <p>Delete criterion 8, as follows:  <del>“Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multifunctional green infrastructure network; “</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements regarding green infrastructure, landscaping and biodiversity, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys; Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Delete criterion 9 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Provide further species surveys on in accordance with the recommendations of an up-to-date Phase 1 Habitat Survey, having particular regard to further surveys that may be needed for amphibians, extended phase 1 habitats, badgers and bats to inform any planning application;“</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Delete criterion 10 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements;“</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P7, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Modify criterion 11 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Have regard to the findings of the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study, including mitigation measures to mitigate harm to the Green Belt. Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt to the south and west of the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.”</del></p>	To clarify that as part of any development there will be the need to create a new defensible Green Belt boundary	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Modify criterion 12 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt, as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment; Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;“</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding requirements to provide for compensatory improvements in accordance with policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	<p>Modify criterion 13 of Policy JPA17, as follows:  <del>“Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities, in liaison with the local education authority;“ Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5;”</del></p>	To clarify and improve the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change



Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Delete criterion 14 of Policy JPA17, as follows: “ <del>Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision;</del> ”	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Delete criterion 15 of Policy JPA17, as follows: “ <del>Conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) and addendum (2021). An up to date Heritage Impact Assessment will be required for any planning applications;</del> ”	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Delete criterion 16 of Policy JPA17, as follows: “ <del>Take into consideration the findings of the Greater Manchester Historic Environment Assessment Screening Exercise, and provide an up to date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed;</del> ”	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Delete criterion 17 of Policy JPA17, as follows: “ <del>Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network, and be in line with the GM Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUDs features should be explored; and</del> ”	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Modify criterion 18 of Policy JPA17, as follows: “ <del>Incorporate necessary remediation measures in areas which are affected by <u>previous coal mining contamination</u> and <u>have been previously worked for landfill</u> on the site purposes;</del> ”	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	MMO13	Insert new criterion at the end of Policy JPA17, as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA17	Land South of Coal Pit Lane	Additional Modification	Add ‘and’ at the end of criterion 18 of Policy JPA17.	Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.	Minor level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA18, as follows: “ <del>The main point of access to the site will be through the neighbouring former Centre for Professional Development site and onto Rosary Road, with the potential for a secondary emergency only access from St Cuthbert’s Fold;</del> ”	To clarify requirements regarding access, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Potentially significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA18, as follows: “ <del>Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding areas, including off site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities;</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u> ”	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding provision of other new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure and reference to Appendix D / policy JP-C7.	Potentially significant level of change

Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably Bankfield Clough SBI and the area of priority habitat, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating the delivery of functional ecological networks into multi-functional green infrastructure to enable free-movement of species of principal importance. Planning proposals should incorporate a suitable buffer between development plots and the SBI to protect its important features; Make provision for green infrastructure and biodiversity net gain across the site, incorporating a suitable landscaping buffer between the proposed houses and the adjoining Bankfield Clough SBI and Deciduous Woodland priority habitat, so as to mitigate the potential environmental impacts, minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside;”</del></p> <p>Delete criterion 6 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably Bankfield Clough SBI and the area of priority habitat, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating the delivery of functional ecological networks into multi-functional green infrastructure to enable free-movement of species of principal importance.”</del></p>	To clarify policy requirements regarding green infrastructure, landscaping and biodiversity, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys; Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding reference to landscape character types and policy JP-G1.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Delete criterion 7 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Provide further species surveys on extended phase 1 habitats and bats, to inform any planning application;”</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-G9, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Have regard to the findings of the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study, including mitigation measures to mitigate harm to the Green Belt; Define and/or strengthen the boundary of the Green Belt to the south of the site such that it will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;”</del></p>	To clarify that as part of any development there will be the need to create a new defensible Green Belt boundary	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment; Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the plan regarding requirements to provide for compensatory improvements in accordance with policy JP-G2.	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Delete criterion 10 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Provide for new and/or the improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements;”</del></p>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P7, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	<p>Modify criterion 11 of Policy JPA18, as follows:  <del>“Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority; Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5;”</del></p>	To clarify and improve the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change

Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Delete criterion 12 of Policy JPA18, as follows: <del>“Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision;“</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P6, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Modify criterion 13 of Policy JPA18, as follows: <del>“Conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). An up-to-date Heritage Impact Assessment will be required for any planning applications. Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including Enhancements between the development and Bank Top Farmhouse (Grade II listed building) to the south of the site, in accordance with Policy JP-P2 should include additional tree planting and native hedgerows to improve the interface between the farmhouse and any new development; and “</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-P2, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Delete criterion 14 of Policy JPA18, as follows: <del>“Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUDs features should be explored; and“</del>	To clarify and remove unnecessary duplication with JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Delete criterion 15 of Policy JPA18, as follows: <del>“Ensure that appropriate access is maintained for United Utilities to their onsite infrastructure;“</del>	To clarify policy requirements, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18	Significant level of change
Oldham	JPA18	South of Rosary Road	MMO16	Insert new criterion at end of Policy JPA18, as follows: <del>“Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).“</del>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify criterion 1 of JPA19 <del>“1. Deliver around 450 new homes predominantly in the western and southern parts of the site, with a focus on larger, higher value family housing to balance out the current offer within the borough and including significant provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses in spacious plots to reflect the grain and density of the surrounding residential areas, and affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;“</del>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify criterion 2 of JPA19 as follows: <del>“2. Retain and significantly enhance the existing recreational facilities as part of an integrated green and blue infrastructure network on the site. The residential development on the site will provide contributions towards the improvement of the facilities which will create a high quality recreational and sports 'hub' serving the local area and the borough as a whole. The development should incorporate existing public rights of way along with new routes for active travel into a high quality integrated green infrastructure network that links to existing public rights of way in the countryside to the west and north west of the site;“</del>	To clarify that all residential development on the site will be required to contribute to improvements to recreational facilities. Additional part regarding rights of way and green infrastructure moved to Criterion 2 from 9 and 12, in response to AP113.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify Criterion 3 of JPA19 to add additional sentence as follows:  <del>3. This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1</del>	To clarify that development will require an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy	Potentially significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify criterion 6 of JPA19 as follows: <del>Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and with Policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify by deleting criterion 7 of JPA19 in its entirety: <del>Contribute to the potential extension of the proposed bus rapid transit services between Heywood and Manchester;</del>	Criterion deleted as transport intervention included in Appendix D as above in Criterion 6.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify by deleting criterion 8 of JPA19 in its entirety: <del>Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify criterion 9 of JPA19 as follows: 9. <u>Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Pennine Foothills (West /South Pennines) landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1. This should take account of any visual impact from Ashworth Valley to the west given the high landscape and recreational value of that area and ensure there are high quality links/routes to the wider countryside;</u>	Clarification of which landscape character type the allocation falls under.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify to add new criterion to JPA19 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2.</u>	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify criterion 10 as follows: <del>Ensure that the design of the scheme preserves or enhances the setting of the listed Bamford United Reform Church immediately to the south of the site. Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process; Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets and their settings, including the listed Bamford United Reform Church, in accordance with Policy JP-P2</del>	To provide clarity for heritage requirements and consistency between allocations.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify criterion 11 of JPA19 as follows: <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5</u> <del>Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities; and</del>	Clarification of the requirement for school places provision.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify by deleting criterion 12 of JPA19 as follows: <del>Retain and enhance public rights of way across and around the site.</del>	Deleted as incorporated with other green infrastructure requirements in part 2.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA19	Bamford/ Norden	MMR2	Modify to add new criterion after criterion 12 of JPA19 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	Modify criterion 1 of JPA20 as follows: 1. <u>Deliver around 125 high quality homes, including provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses higher value family housing and affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA20 as follows:  2. <u>Create an area of accessible open space on the part of the site to be retained as Green Belt as part of a multi-functional green and blue infrastructure network. This will include a new Green Belt boundary to the west of the residential development that will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent and mitigation of the visual and noise impacts of the nearby railway.</u></p> <p><del>Built development will be limited to the eastern half of the site to minimise encroachment of built development into the Green Belt with the western part of the site being redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt;</del></p>	To clarify what will be required for the area of retained Green Belt, and to set out all requirements relating to landscaping of the site including Green Belt boundaries.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA20 as follows:  3. <del>The north east of the site will accommodate a temporary rail halt and associated parking</del> <u>Make land available within the site to facilitate the extension of the East Lancashire Railway (ELR) from Heywood to Castleton, and potentially a provision of tram-train infrastructure trial project if progressed in this location;</u></p>	Clarification that the developer will be required to make land available to facilitate expansion of East Lancashire Railway and tram train infrastructure.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify by deleting criterion 5 of JPA20 as follows:  5. <del>Provision should be made within the scheme for appropriate access by Network Rail and ELR to undertake any maintenance and improvements relating to the extended-ELR when required;</del></p>	Criterion 5 to be deleted as not required in allocation policy, and would be covered elsewhere.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify by deleting criterion 6 of JPA20 as follows:  6. <del>Address the risk of surface water flooding that affects part of the site through an appropriate sustainable drainage strategy (SuDS);</del></p>	Deletion of criterion 6 as adequately covered in thematic polices.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify criterion 7 of JPA20 as follows:  7. <del>Provide</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. This should include the provision of good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to Heywood Road/Manchester Road to the north east of the site, and to the existing footpaths on the adjoining golf course in order to facilitate safe and convenient links access to the centre of Castleton and the Castleton Bee Network scheme, the nearby railway Castleton station, bus stops and westwards to employment locations around Heywood;</u></p>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D. Also to combine all requirements relating to active travel into one criterion for transport for clarity.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify to delete criterion 8 of JPA20 as follows:  8. <del>Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;</del></p>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify to delete criterion 9 of JPA20 as follows:  9. <del>Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment;</del></p>	Criterion deleted as generic policy covered by thematic polices. Criterion 7 covers specific transport requirements for the development.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	<p>Modify criterion 10 of JPA20 as follows:  10. <u>Carry out a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment in relation to the Rochdale Canal for planning applications of 50 dwellings or more;</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that at the detailed planning stage a proper assessment can be made in relation to the lighting, shading, leaf fall and the resultant water quality impact on the Rochdale Canal SAC. Also, as part of the updated HRA and the proposed modifications to JP-G9, see PfE response to IN9, Q9.10, the reference to traffic generated impacts on designated European sites is no longer considered necessary in the RJ to Policy JPA 20	Minor level of change

Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	Modify to delete criterion 11 of JPA20 as follows: <del>11. Incorporate high quality landscaping, multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and acoustic attenuation to mitigate the potential visual and noise impact on the railway side of the land and provide appropriate boundary treatment in relation to the retained Green Belt; and</del>	Combined with Criterion 2 and modified wording to include all landscape requirements in one criterion for clarity.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	Addition of new criterion to Policy JPA20 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt within and/or in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	Modify Criterion 12 of JPA20 as follows: <del>12. Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet need generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5; Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities; and</del>	To provide clarification relating to the requirement relating to additional primary and secondary school places.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA20	Castleton Sidings	MMR4	Modification to add new criterion after criterion 12 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify criterion 1 of JPA21 as follows: <del>1. Deliver around 250 new homes, including higher value family housing provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses, and affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements, within an attractive riverside setting. This includes the provision of new homes within the converted Grade II* Listed Crimble Mill and on adjoining parts of the allocation retained in the Green Belt, in accordance with national policy relating to the partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed land in the Green Belt.</del>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify to re-order criterion 4 of JPA21 to become criterion 2 and to wording as follows: <del>2. 4. Achieve excellent design and sustainability through masterplanning and the use of design codes for the whole site to ensure comprehensive development;</del> <u>Secure the conversion and long term future of the listed mill buildings as part of a comprehensive, high quality development through masterplanning, design codes and a phasing and delivery strategy;</u>	To clarify the requirements relating to masterplanning which includes conversion of the mill buildings. Criteria re-ordered for legibility.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modification to re-order Criterion 2 to become Criterion 3 and modification to wording as follows: <del>3. 2. Protect and enhance the character and significance of the mill complex in order to secure the long term future of these nationally significant Listed Buildings. This will include detailed masterplanning to be informed by the Crimble Mill Historic Environment Assessment 2020;</del> <u>Protect and enhance the significance of the listed mill buildings and their setting, having regard to the Crimble Mill Historic Environment Assessment 2020;</u>	To clarify the requirements relating to heritage for the development. Criteria re-ordered for legibility.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modification to re-order Criterion 5 to become Criterion 4, and modification to wording as follows: <del>5.4. The detailed layout of any scheme should respect the setting of the Listed mill complex and</del> <u>Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Incised Urban Fringe Valleys landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1</u> having regard to the adjacent Queens Park, the River Roch and the wider river valley setting, including the incorporation of high-quality green and blue infrastructure;	Clarification of which landscape character type the allocation falls under. Criteria re-ordered for legibility.	Significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modification to add additional criterion after criterion 5 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify to delete Criterion 6 of JPA21 in its entirety: <del>6. Provide appropriate access on to the A58 to the south of the site along with secondary access onto Mutual Street;</del>	Criterion deleted as access grouped with other transport requirements in Criterion 7.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify criterion 7 of JPA21 as follows: <del>7. Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. This should include vehicular access from an improved Crimble Lane access from the A58 as well as from Mutual Street and/or Woodland Road. This should also include retaining and enhancing existing rights of way and general access through and around the site including:</u>  <u>1. New and improved walking and cycling access to the adjacent Queens Park;</u> <u>2. Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and</u> <u>3. Facilitating a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify to delete criterion 9 of JPA21 in its entirety: <del>9. Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include:-</del> <del>1. New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park;</del> <del>2. Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and</del> <del>3. Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way</del>	Criterion deleted as transport intervention included in Appendix D as above in Criterion 6.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify to delete Criterion 10 of JPA21 in its entirety: <del>10. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage; and</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify criterion 11 of JPA21 as follows: 11. Any proposal should provide <del>some</del> land adjacent to the existing <u>primary</u> school to the south of the site, to allow for the expansion of the school to accommodate the additional demand for places. Financial contributions will also be required to ensure provision of primary and secondary schools places to <del>serve</del> <u>meet</u> the <u>needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5; and</u>	To clarify the requirements in relation to the expansion of the existing primary school and general education contributions.	Minor level of change
Rochdale	JPA21	Crimble Mill	MMR6	Modify to add additional criterion after criterion 11 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to criterion 1 of JPA22 as follows: 1. Deliver around 300 new homes, including <u>provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses, and affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements</u> <del>higher value family housing, to meet needs within the local area and to attract and retain higher income households, taking advantage of its attractive setting next to Hollingworth Lake and the Rochdale Canal.</del>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to criterion 3 of JPA22 as follows: 3. Deliver a landscape-led development, <u>incorporating high-quality green and blue infrastructure, which maximises that takes advantage of the site's attractive setting next to Hollingworth Lake and the Rochdale Canal and reflects and responds to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Pennine Foothills (West /South Pennines) landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1 its Pennine fringe setting and takes account of views from and into the site, including the incorporation of high quality green and blue infrastructure;</u>	Clarification of which landscape character type the allocation falls under. Response to AP116.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modify to delete criterion 4 of JPA22 as follows: 4. <del>Incorporate a design and layout which complements the existing housing to the west of the site and the proposed new housing on the former Akzo Nobel site to the north;</del>	Criterion 4 deleted as unnecessary to include in the policy, and covered by Criterion 2 relating to masterplanning. Therefore this information has been moved to the reasoned justification.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modify to delete entirely criterion 5 of JPA22: 5. <del>Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough;</del>	Active travel requirements combined with Criterion 7 to create one Criterion for transport requirements, to provide clarity.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modify to delete entirely criterion 7 of JPA22: 7. <del>Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to Criterion 8 of JPA22 as follows: <del>Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. This should include facilitating high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to add new criterion between criteria 8 and 9 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modify Criterion 9 of JPA22 as follows: 9. Carry out a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment <u>in relation to the Rochdale Canal</u> for planning applications of 50 dwellings or more;	To clarify that the requirement for a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment relates to the Rochdale Canal.	Minor level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to add additional criterion after criterion 9 of Policy JPA22 as follows: <u>Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to JP-G5, criterion 7 (c);</u>	To clarify the requirement relating to mitigating recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC and SPAs in accordance with policy JP-G5.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to criterion 10 of JPA22 as follows: 10. Facilitate the delivery of a new primary school and associated outdoor playing space. <del>at the southern end of the site</del> <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5 and contribute to additional primary and secondary places to meet demand arising from the new development;</u> and	To provide clarity on the requirements relating to the delivery of a new primary school, and contributions for secondary school provision.	Significant level of change



Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to Criterion 11 of JPA22 as follows: <del>11. Deliver the provision of replacement visitor car parking to replace the existing spaces lost on the car park at the southern end of the site.</del> <u>Development will be required to retain and enhance the existing visitor car parking spaces on the site, or provide replacement visitor car parking spaces in a suitable location nearby for any existing spaces lost;</u>	To provide clarity to the developers about the requirements regarding car parking, that the development will be required to either retain the existing parking spaces, or provide replacement parking for any spaces lost.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA22	Land North of Smithy Bridge	MMR8	Modification to add new criterion after criterion 11 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to Criterion 1 of JPA23 as follows: 1. Deliver around 250 new homes, including <u>provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses, higher value family housing and affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to criterion 2 of JPA23 as follows: 2. Deliver a mix of housing density, with the potential for higher density development in the south west part of the site closest to the village centre and the Metrolink stop. The northern and eastern parts of the site could include larger, <del>higher value</del> housing to diversify housing choice in the local area;	Removal of the wording 'higher value', in response to AP119.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to criterion 4 of JPA23 as follows: 4. Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, <u>which is to be retained within the Green Belt. This should incorporate</u> <del>ing</del> water features and <del>safeguarding and enhancing</del> biodiversity;	Combined with Criterion 5 and modified wording to form one criterion relating to landscaping, to provide clarity.	Minor level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to add new criterion to JPA23 after criterion 4 relating to Green Belt boundaries as follows: <u>Define and/or strengthen the Green Belt boundaries such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;</u>	To clarify that the development will be required to strengthen Green Belt boundaries.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modify to add new criterion to JPA23 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt within and/or in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u>	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to delete criterion 5 of JPA23 in its entirety: <del>5. Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development;</del>	Deleted as part of Criterion 5 combined with Criterion 4 to make one for criterion relating to landscape requirements for clarity.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to criterion 6 of JPA23 as follows: <del>6. Ensure that the design of the scheme preserves and enhances the setting of the listed St Thomas Church immediately to the west of the site, provides a buffer zone to the east of Bradley Farmhouse and avoids the use of Bradley Lane for vehicular access; Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including the listed St Thomas Church and Bradley's Farmhouse, in accordance with Policy JP-P2;</del>	To provide clarity for heritage requirements and consistency between allocations.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to criterion 7 of JPA23 as follows: 7. Provide publicly available car parking to serve the Metrolink stop in Newhey and, <u>if necessary,</u> the residents on Huddersfield Road <del>to alleviate on street parking issues;</del>	To clarify the requirement for the provision of car parking.	Minor level of change

Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to delete Criterion 8 of JPA23 in its entirety: <del>8. Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey, the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network;</del>	Deletion of criterion as requirements for active travel included in part 10.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to delete Criterion 9 of JPA23 in its entirety: <del>9. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to Criterion 10 of JP23 as follows: <del>10. Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. This will include retaining and enhancing existing rights of way and creating a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey, the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycling / walking network;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and with policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to Criterion 11 of JPA23 as follows: <del>11. Provide safe and appropriate vehicular access to serve the proposed residential development and car parking via the existing access onto from Huddersfield Road; and</del>	To clarify that vehicular access will be required via existing access from Huddersfield Road.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to Criterion 12 of JPA23 as follows: <del>12. Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5</del> <u>Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities;</u>	Clarification of the requirement for contributions to primary and secondary school provision.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to add additional criterion after Criterion 12 of JPA23 as follows: <u>Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to JP-G5, criterion 7 (c);</u>	To clarify the requirement relating to mitigating recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC and SPAs in accordance with policy JP-G5.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA23	Newhey Quarry	MMR10	Modification to add new criterion after the final criterion of JPA23 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to criterion 1 of JPA24 as follows: <del>1. Deliver around 200 homes, including provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses and affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements, higher-value family homes, on the northern half of the site adjacent to existing residential areas. to be accessed primarily from Smithy Bridge Road to the east;</del>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to Criterion 3 of JPA24 as follows: <del>3. Safeguard the land between the developed part of the site and the River Roch to contribute to measures that deliver flood alleviation benefits for the River Roch catchment between Littleborough and Rochdale town centre. This should be accompanied by appropriate water management in the site itself, including sustainable drainage infrastructure (SuDS);</del>	Part relating to drainage to be deleted as this is adequately covered in thematic polices.	Significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to Criterion 4 of JPA24 as follows: 4. <u>Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Pennine Foothills (West /South Pennines) landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1</u> <del>Have regard to the river valley setting in terms of the design and layout, particularly in relation to the materials useds, the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure and the landscaping along the boundary of the site;</del>	Clarification of which landscape character type the allocation falls under.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to Criterion 5 of JPA24 as follows: 5. Protect and enhance archaeological features and where appropriate carry out archaeological evaluation for areas specified in the Roch Valley Historic Environment Assessment 2020 to understand where especially significant archaeology must be preserved in situ. <del>Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application;</del>	Second sentence to be deleted to clarify the archaeological requirements.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to delete Criterion 6 of JPA24: <del>6. Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north;</del>	Criterion 6 deleted as active travel requirements combined with general transport requirements in part 8.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to delete Criterion 7 of JPA24: <del>7. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;</del>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to Criterion 8 of JPA24 as follows: 8. <del>Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. This should include maintaining and enhancing pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and with policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to Criterion 9 of JPA24 as follows: 9. The layout of the <del>schemedevelopment</del> should be designed in a way so as not to preclude the <del>tofuture</del> delivery of the eastern section of a proposed potential residential relief road <del>between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporating</del> attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street (A664) to the west of the site; and	Amended to provide clarity to the requirements regarding the potential relief road.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modify Criterion 10 of JPA24 as follows: 10. <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5</u> <del>Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.;</del>	To provide clarification relating to the requirement relating to additional primary and secondary school places.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to add new criterion to JPA24 as follows: <u>Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to JP-G5, criterion 7 (c); and</u>	To clarify the requirement relating to mitigating recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC and SPAs in accordance with policy JP-G5.	Potentially significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA24	Roch Valley	MMR12	Modification to add new criterion add the end of JPA24, <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to Criterion 1 of JPA25 as follows: 1. <u>Deliver around 550 new homes, including provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses, incorporating a good mix of house types including higher value family housing and provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements;</u>	Clarification to specify type and size of new homes expected in the allocation, to replace 'higher value', in response to AP119.  In addition, clarification that allocation will be expected to make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local plan policy.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modify Criterion 2 of JPA25 to add additional sentence as follows: <u>2....This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1</u>	To clarify that development will require an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to Criterion 3 of JPA25 as follows: 3. <u>Provide access to the site primarily via Cowm Top Lane to the north Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7. This should include vehicular access to the site from Cowm Top Lane, as well as facilitating safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station;</u>	To provide consistency throughout the plan's allocations and with policy JP-C7 to refer to transport interventions listed in Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to delete Criterion 4 of JPA25 in its entirety: 4. <u>Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station;-</u>	Deleted as requirements for active travel included in general transport requirements in part 3.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to delete Criterion 5 of JPA25 in its entirety: 5. <u>Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage;-</u>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic policies	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to Criterion 6 of JPA25 as follows: 6. <u>Use the topography and contours within the site to dDeliver a well-designed scheme which incorporates good quality green and blue infrastructure that reflects and responds to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Urban Fringe Farmland landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1 having regard to the topography of the site, its prominent location adjacent to the M62 and A627(M) motorways, and existing biodiversity and greenspace corridors;</u>	Clarification of which landscape character type the allocation falls under. Response to AP122.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to delete Criterion 7 of JPA25 in their entirety: 7. <u>Carry out a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment for planning applications of 50 dwellings or more;-</u>	To clarify that as part of the updated HRA, the policy requirement and associated reasoned justification at paragraph 11.249 is no longer necessary in JPA25 as the matter is appropriately addressed through modifications to JP-G9 (See PFE response to IN9, Q9.10	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to delete Criterion 9 of JPA25 in its entirety: 9. <u>Ensure that development provides a positive visual impact given its prominent position adjacent to the M62 and A627(M) motorways;-</u>	Incorporated with part 6 with modified wording to make one criterion for landscape considerations. Response to AP122.	Potentially significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to delete Criterion 10 of JPA25 in its entirety: 10. <u>Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment;-</u>	Criterion deleted to avoid duplication as generic policy which is covered adequately by thematic polices, and in Criterion 8 above. Response to AP122.	Significant level of change

Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to Criterion 12 of JPA25 as follows: 12. <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with policy JP-P5</u> <del>Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities; and</del>	To clarify the requirements for primary and secondary school provision form the development. Response to AP122.	Significant level of change
Rochdale	JPA25	Trows Farm	MMR14	Modification to add additional criterion after criterion 12 of the policy as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Modify criterion 1 of policy JPA26 as follows: "1. Be in accordance with a masterplan/framework or <del>Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</del> <u>consistent with a phasing and delivery strategy prepared in accordance with policy JP-D1</u> that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and is considered acceptable by the city council, <del>or in the case of an SPD adopted by the city council;</del> "	To be clear that the allocation shall be in accordance with a masterplan / framework in accordance with policy JP-D1. Wording relating to engagement or approval of any masterplan is not required as this is adequately addressed through local planning policies.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Modify criterion 2 of policy JPA26 as follows: <del>"2. Provide an affordable housing scheme equivalent to at least 50% of the dwellings on the site (with an indicative affordable housing tenure split of 37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership), with some of this directed towards off site provision</del> <u>Provide at least 50% affordable housing in accordance with relevant local planning policies, with some of this directed towards off-site provision;</u> "	The detailed tenure split is set out in relevant local planning policies and so is not necessary in policy JPA26.  Reference to off-site provision has been retained given that policy H4 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (p85) could be interpreted to specify either on-site or off-site provision, but does not explicitly specify a mix.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Add new criterion below criterion 2 of policy JPA26 and modify criteria 3 and 4 as follows <u>"Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7; including:</u> 3. <u>a) Being</u> designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian and cycling routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops; 4. <u>b) Incorporating</u> attractive public rights of way through the site which connect into the wider pedestrian and cycling network providing access to local facilities;"	Ensures that sustainable transport issues and highways infrastructure will have to be provided having regard to Appendix D and policy JP-C7. Modifications to criteria 3 and 4 are consequential as a result of the insertion of the new criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Add new criterion below criterion 5 in policy JPA26 as follows: <u>"Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;"</u>	To be consistent with the requirements of PFE policy JP-G2 and paragraph 142 of the NPPF.	Significant level of change

Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Modify criterion 10 of policy JPA26 as follows: "Support the objectives for the Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area <u>in accordance with local planning policies and avoid harm to protected species;</u> "	Salford's Local Plan (Development Management Policies and Designations) includes policy on the GMWNIA which sets out objectives against which applications will be assessed.  To ensure consistency with other local and national planning policies / legislation relating to biodiversity, reference to avoiding harm to protected species has been deleted. A new criterion directly below criterion 10 has been added to the policy that relates to managing impacts on biodiversity.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Add new criterion below criterion 10 in policy JPA26 as follows: " <u>Make provision for biodiversity in accordance with policy JP-G9;</u> "	To ensure consistency with other planning policies relating to assessing the impacts on biodiversity.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Delete criterion 11 of policy JPA26 as follows: " <del>11. Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site, while ensuring that there is no adverse impact on the potential for flooding off-site;</del> "	Unnecessarily repeats thematic policies in PfE relating to sustainable drainage systems and flood risk which would apply in any case.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Modify criterion 12 of policy JPA26 as follows: "12. Provide a buffer for the overhead power lines that run across the site, <del>in accordance with National Grid requirements;</del> "	National Grid do not have standard buffer requirements from overhead power lines and therefore this part of the criterion has been removed. An appropriate buffer can be determined at the masterplanning / planning application stages in consultation with relevant consultees.	Minor level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Modify criterion 13 of policy JPA26, as follows: "13. Provide mitigation to address noise <u>and air</u> pollution from nearby roads;"	To clarify, making the policy justified and consistent with paragraph 174e of the NPPF, and given that the proposed amended boundary to site allocation JPA26 would bring part of the site within the air quality management area.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Delete criterion 14 of policy JPA26 as follows: " <del>14. Include new allotment plots to meet the local standard unless suitable alternative provision can be made in the local area; and</del> "	Allotment provision is covered by other local planning policies. A new criterion has been added to the allocation policy to require that development accords with local recreation standards (this includes allotments).	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Add new criterion below criterion 14 in policy JPA26 as follows: " <u>Contribute to the achievement of recreation space standards in accordance with local planning policies;</u> "	The criterion ensures that reference is made to all recreation standards set out in local planning policies. These requirements include allotment provision as was addressed under criterion 14 (to be deleted).	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Add new criteria below criterion 15 in policy JPA27 as follows: " <u>Employ methods throughout the construction process that will ensure the potential for archaeology is investigated and any finds safeguarded and properly recorded; and</u>	There is the potential for the survival of archaeological remains on the site.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA26	Land at Hazelhurst Farm	MMS3	Add second new criterion below criterion 15 in policy JPA26 as follows: " <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u> "	To ensure that any development is consistent with the Minerals DPD (or any successor) in relation to safeguarding resources.	Significant level of change

Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	Modify criterion 1 of policy JPA27 as follows: "1. Be in accordance with a masterplan/framework or <del>Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</del> <u>consistent with a phasing and delivery strategy prepared in accordance with policy JP-D1</u> that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and is considered acceptable by the city council, <del>or in the case of an SPD adopted by the city council;</del> "	To be clear that the allocation shall be in accordance with a masterplan / framework in accordance with policy JP-D1. Wording relating to engagement or approval of any masterplan is not required as this is adequately addressed through other local planning policies.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	Modify criterion 2 of policy JPA27 as follows: "2. <del>Provide an affordable housing scheme equivalent to at least 50% of the dwellings on the site (with an indicative affordable housing tenure split of 37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership), with some of this directed towards off site provision</del> <u>Provide at least 50% affordable housing in accordance with relevant local planning policies, with some of this directed to off-site provision;</u> "	The detailed tenure split is set out in relevant local planning policies and so is not necessary in policy JPA27. Reference to off-site provision has been retained given that policy H4 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (p85) could be interpreted to specify either on-site or off-site provision but does not explicitly specify a mix.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	Add new criterion below criterion 2 in policy JPA27 as follows: " <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u> "	To be consistent with the requirements of PFE policy JP-G2 and paragraph 142 of the NPPF.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	Modify criterion 9 of policy JPA27 as follows: "Support the objectives for the Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area <u>in accordance with local planning policies</u> and <del>avoid harm to protected species;</del> "	Salford's Local Plan (Development Management Policies and Designations) includes policy on the GMWNIA which sets out objectives against which applications will be assessed.  To ensure consistency with other local and national planning policies / legislation relating to biodiversity, reference to avoiding harm to protected species has been deleted. A new criterion has been added to the policy directly below criterion 9 which relates to managing impacts on biodiversity (which would include development affecting peat).	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	Add new criterion below criterion 9 in policy JPA27 as follows: " <u>Make provision for biodiversity in accordance with policy JP-G9;</u> "	To ensure consistency with other local and national planning policies relating to assessing the impacts on biodiversity.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	Add a second new criterion below criterion 9 in policy JPA27 as follows: " <u>Undertake hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of any peat identified in the southern part of the site to inform the potential for restoration and the comprehensive masterplanning of the site which should ensure that the loss or deterioration of any irreplaceable habitat is avoided.</u> "	To ensure that development will be consistent with the requirements of paragraph 180c of the NPPF given the presence of small areas of peat within the site. In addition it would ensure consistency with proposed modifications to JPA1.1 and is required to make the policy effective	Significant level of change

Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Add a third new criterion below criterion 9 of policy JPA27 and modify criteria 10 and 11 as follows:  <u>“Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7; including:</u></p> <p>40. <u>a) Ensure</u> good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services and facilities in Boothstown and the local area, bus services on the surrounding road network, the Bridgewater Canal and Chat Moss to the south, including through the provision of a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site; off-site pedestrian crossings and a footpath adjacent to the site on the south side of Leigh Road;</p> <p>44. <u>b) Secure</u> further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park;</p>	Ensures that sustainable transport issues and highways infrastructure will have to be provided having regard to Appendix D and policy JP-C7. Modifications to criteria 10 and 11 are consequential as a result of the insertion of the new criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Modify criterion 12 of policy JPA27 as follows:  <u>“12. Include a new neighbourhood equipped area of play and contribute to the achievement of recreation space standards in accordance with local planning policies;”</u></p>	Additional text to ensure that reference is made to all recreation standards set out in local planning policies. These requirements include allotment provision as was addressed under criterion 14 (to be deleted).	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Add new criterion below criterion 12 in policy JPA27 as follows:  <u>“Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary provision to meet needs generated by the development, in accordance with JP-P5;”</u></p>	To be consistent with the requirements for other allocations within the plan.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Delete criterion 13 of policy JPA27 as follows:  <del>“13. Retain or replace existing playing fields;”</del></p>	This can be adequately addressed through existing thematic policies.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Delete criterion 14 of policy JPA27 as follows:  <del>“14. Include new allotment plots to meet the local standard unless suitable alternative provision can be made in the local area;”</del></p>	Allotment provision is covered by other local planning policies. A new criterion has been added to the allocation policy to require that development accords with local recreation standards (this includes allotments).	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Modify criterion 18 of policy JPA27 as follows:  <u>“18. Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets around the site, and their settings, including Worsley Hall Garden Cottage; the Bothy; and Worsley Park, in accordance with policy JP-P2 Conserve and enhance the setting of nearby heritage assets and, informed by the findings and recommendations of the Heritage Assessment (2019) in the Plan's evidence base and any Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process; and”</u></p>	To be site specific with reference to heritage assets around the site and provide a cross reference to the relevant thematic policy in PfE.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA27	East of Boothstown	MMS5	<p>Add new criterion below criterion 19 in policy JPA27 as follows:  <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u></p>	To ensure that any development is consistent with the Minerals DPD (or any successor) in relation to safeguarding resources.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA28	North of Irlam Station	MMS7	<p>Delete policy JPA28 along with the supporting text and picture 11.40, in its entirety. Remove the allocation from the policies map as a consequential change.</p>	In response to the Inspectors' main modification to delete allocation JPA28 from the plan.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 1 to policy JPA29 as follows:  This will provide one of the most well-connected and market-attractive industrial and warehousing locations in the country, with a strong focus on logistics activities (<u>Use Class B8</u>) but also incorporating high quality manufacturing floorspace (<u>Use Classes E(g)(iii) and B2</u>).</p>	To provide clarity in relation to the Use Classes that will be provided as part of the allocation.	Minor level of change



Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Modify criterion 1 of policy JPA29 as follows: <del>“1. Be in accordance with a masterplan/framework or Supplementary Planning Document (SPD), consistent with a phasing and delivery strategy prepared in accordance with policy JP-D1 that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and is considered acceptable by the city council, or in the case of an SPD adopted by the city council. Central to the masterplan shall be the consideration of opportunities to restore habitats, strengthen ecological networks, and manage the carbon and hydrological implications of development, having regard to the presence of peat on this site.”</del>	To strengthen the provisions relating to peat, and therefore make the policy effective. In addition, it would ensure internal consistency, and ensure that the effects on the deep peat would be minimised and a suitable compensation strategy is delivered.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Delete criterion 2 of policy JPA29 as follows: <del>“2. Involve high levels of community engagement, including through regular liaison meetings convened by the landowner and/or developers.”</del>	The criterion does not relate to decision taking and it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve in terms of the effects on any development.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Add new criterion below criterion 4 of policy JPA29 and modify criteria 5, 6, 7 and 8 as follows: <u>“Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7; including:</u> 5. <u>a) Delivering</u> necessary highway improvements of a strategic and local nature to cater for the additional traffic created by the expansion of Port Salford in a way that is compatible with any proposals for the enhancement of the wider motorway network and ensures the safe and efficient operation of the local road network; 6. <u>b) Incorporating</u> suitable HGV parking provision to cater for the area’s anticipated use, including as appropriate stop over provision, and amenity facilities to serve the needs of HGV drivers; 7. <u>c) Providing</u> high quality walking and cycling routes from across the site to the bus stops on the A57 and the wider pedestrian and cycling network including Port Salford Greenway; 8. <u>d) Maximising</u> links to existing public transport services and support new routes and enhanced services as appropriate, including accommodating a potential extension of the Trafford Park Metrolink line to serve Port Salford;	Ensures that sustainable transport issues and highways infrastructure will have to be provided having regard to Appendix D and policy JP-C7.  Modifications to criteria 6, 7 and 8 are consequential as a result of the insertion of the new criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Delete criterion 10 of policy JPA29 and replace with a new criterion as follows: <del>“10. Conserve and where appropriate enhance, the significance of surrounding designated and non-designated heritage assets, including by: i. Designing and landscaping the development to minimise any adverse impacts on the setting of Barton Aerodrome and the listed buildings within it; ii. Where harm to Barton Aerodrome and any heritage asset within it is justified, opportunities shall be sought to better reveal the significance of the heritage asset securing repairs to them, improving public access to them and/or providing publicly accessible information about them; and iii. Being informed by the findings and recommendations of the Heritage Assessment (2019) in the Plan’s evidence base and any Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.”</del> <u>“Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including Barton Aerodrome and the listed buildings within it, in accordance with policy JP-P2.”</u>	To be site specific with reference to Barton Aerodrome, provide clarity and a cross reference to the relevant thematic policy in PFE.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Add new criterion below criterion 10 of policy JPA29 as follows: <u>“Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt to the north and south of the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.”</u>	To recognise that the current boundaries do not follow physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.	Significant level of change

Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Add a third new criterion below criterion 10 of policy JPA29 as follows: “ <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u> ”	To be consistent with the requirements of PfE policy JP-G2 and paragraph 142 of the NPPF.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Modify criterion 11 of policy JPA29 as follows: “ <del>11. Justify and provide full compensation for the loss of the golf course in accordance with paragraph 97 of the NPPF (February 2019) or any subsequent revision of national planning policy</del> <u>Manage the loss of recreation land and facilities in accordance with local planning policies;</u> ”	Local planning policy (including policy R3 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations) includes consideration of whether compensation is necessary and so the reference to local planning policies is sufficient.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Add new criterion below criterion 11 of policy JPA29 as follows: <u>Make provision for biodiversity in accordance with policy JP-G9. Where appropriate and necessary, the priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements will be the Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance and ecological enhancements to remaining areas of Green Belt to the site’s south-western and north-eastern boundaries;</u> ”	To strengthen the provisions relating to peat and ensure a suitable compensation strategy is delivered.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Modify criterion 12 of policy JPA29 as follows: “12. Incorporate high levels of landscaping, including the retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape (including on the remaining Green Belt separating the site from Irlam), <del>achieve a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity</del> and mitigate the environmental impacts of development including noise;”	The new criterion underneath criterion 11 of policy JPA29 cross references PfE policy JP-G9 (which includes requirements relating to biodiversity net gain).	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Modify criterion 13 of policy JPA29 as follows: “13. Support the objectives for the Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area <u>in accordance with local planning policies and avoid harm to protected species;</u> ”	Salford’s Local Plan (Development Management Policies and Designations) includes policy on the GMWNIA which sets out objectives against which applications will be assessed.  To ensure consistency with other local and national planning policies / legislation relating to biodiversity, reference to avoiding harm to protected species has been deleted. A new criterion is proposed directly below criterion 11 which relates to managing impacts on biodiversity.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Modify criterion 14 of policy JPA29 as follows: “14. <del>Be supported by breeding and winter bird surveys to understand and m</del> Minimise any adverse impact on bird species in this area. <del>Surveys of potential compensation areas should also be undertaken to</del> <u>and demonstrate that displacement is possible into the wider landscape;</u> ”	To be consistent with other allocations in the plan by deleting reference to survey works.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Delete criterion 15 of policy JPA29 as follows: “ <del>15. Protect and enhance surrounding habitats, including the Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance;</del> ”	Criterion is adequately addressed by new criterion relating to biodiversity and policy JP-G9.	Potentially significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	Delete criterion 16 of policy JPA29 as follows: “ <del>16. Be supported by a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment for any planning applications involving a floorspace of 1,000 sqm and above;</del> ”	To clarify that as part of the updated HRA, the policy requirement at criterion 16 is appropriately addressed through proposed modifications to PfE policy JP-G9.	Significant level of change

Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	<p>Delete criterion 18 of policy JPA29 as follows:  <del>“Minimise the loss of the carbon storage function of the peat and avoid any adverse impacts on the hydrology of surrounding areas of peat/mossland, whilst ensuring that there is no potential for future problems of land stability or subsidence;”</del></p> <p>Add new criterion below criterion 18 to read as follows:  <u>“Undertake hydrological and ground investigations to inform the comprehensive masterplan and use of suitable construction techniques to ensure any loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitat, and adverse impacts on the hydrology of undeveloped areas, is minimised. Where loss or deterioration is unavoidable, a suitable compensation strategy should be identified and delivered, including the potential restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats either within the site and/or in other parts of Chat Moss;”</u></p>	To strengthen the provisions relating to peat and ensure a suitable compensation strategy is delivered.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	<p>Delete criterion 23 of policy JPA29 as follows:  <del>“23. Give positive consideration to the incorporation of renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure, including the potential for solar panels on buildings; and”</del></p>	This criterion relates to renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure which are covered more comprehensively elsewhere in the plan.	Significant level of change
Salford	JPA29	Port Salford Extension	MMS8	<p>Add new criterion below criterion 24 of policy JPA29 as follows:  <u>“Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).”</u></p>	Consequential change as a result of the addition of a new criterion relating to minerals safeguarding.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	<p>Modify criterion 1 of policy JPA30 as follows:  Deliver around 160,000 square metres of employment floorspace, <del>primarily</del> within the E(g)(ii) - Research and Development, E(g)(iii) - Light <del>and</del> Industrial and B2 - General Industrial use classes;</p>	To remove reference to “primarily” within the specified use classes to provide clarity as to the uses to be delivered on site in line with AP129 and to delete the erroneous word ‘and’..	Minor level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	<p>Modify criterion 2 of policy JPA30 as follows:  2. Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, phasing strategy and design code approved by the Local Planning Authority for the whole site, developed through engagement with the local community, Council and other appropriate stakeholders, <u>in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u></p>	To clarify the requirements of the policy by making reference to policy JP-D1 and to ensure consistency across the plan.	Minor level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	<p>Delete criterion 3 of policy JPA30 as follows:  <del>3. Be informed by a detailed earthworks and remediation strategy;</del></p>	Requirements of the criteria are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	<p>Modify criterion 4 of policy JPA30 as follows:  4. <del>Be informed by an assessment of archaeology;</del> <u>Employ methods throughout the development process that will ensure the potential for archaeology is investigated as appropriate;</u></p>	To clarify the policy requirements in terms of archaeology and acknowledge the potential for there to be the archaeological interest and consequential further investigation.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	<p>Delete criteria 5 and 6 of policy JPA30 as follows:  <del>5. Take an integrated and co-ordinated approach to infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development;-</del>  <del>6. Ensure that architecture is innovative and creative, while respecting and integrating into the surrounding landscape, creating a high quality and contemporary employment location;</del></p>	Requirements of the criteria are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change

Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Delete criteria 8, 10, 12 and 13 of policy JPA30 as follows: <del>8. Provide developer contributions towards transport and other infrastructure as deemed appropriate;</del> <del>10. Provide access into the site from the A6140 Lord Sheldon Way;</del> <del>12. Ensure proposals create a safe, walkable and green development;</del> <del>13. Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways;</del> and replace with the following new criterion: <u>Make provision for vehicular access into the site from the A6140 Lord Sheldon Way and for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure, having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u>	Requirements of these criteria are addressed by the new criterion which makes reference to the new Appendix D of the Plan, or other policies elsewhere in the Plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Delete criterion 9 of policy JPA30 as follows: <del>9. Ensure employment, education and training opportunities are available for residents within the local area both through construction phases and upon completion;</del>	Requirements of the criterion are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Replacement of criterion 11 of policy JPA30 with a new criterion as follows: <del>11. Ensure highways are designed to accommodate access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to potentially accommodate provision for a future rail station;</del> <u>Ensure the masterplan layout is designed so as not to preclude highway access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to accommodate provision for a potential future rail station.</u>	To clarify that the whole site layout, rather than just the highways, are designed to accommodate the potential for a new rail station.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Replacement of criterion 14 of policy JPA30 with a new criterion as follows: <del>14. Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features, including trees, watercourses and ponds;</del> <u>Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of priority species, and ecological features in accordance with policy JP-G 9.</u>	To clarify and ensure the policy is consistent with JP-G 9.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Delete criterion 15 of policy JPA30 as follows: <del>15. Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting;</del>	Requirements of the criterion are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Modify criterion 16 of policy JPA30 as follows: 16. Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes existing public rights of way <u>including that between the community of Littlemoss to the north and the Metrolink stop at Ashton Moss to the south</u> and the active travel route along the site's western edge;	To clarify and provide locally specific guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Delete criterion 17 of policy JPA30 as follows: <del>17. Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality alongside key assets such as: schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services and Daisy Nook Country Park; and</del>	To avoid unnecessary duplication as locally specific guidance has been incorporated into a modified criterion 16.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Delete criterion 18 of policy JPA30 as follows: <del>18. Set aside land for a range of public open spaces, such as: natural space and amenity space, in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review</del>	Requirements of the criterion are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Insert a new criterion into policy JPA30 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G 2;</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with JP-G 2.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Insert a new criterion into policy JPA30 as follows: <u>Use suitable construction techniques to ensure that any impact on the carbon storage function of deep peat is minimised; and</u>	To acknowledge the presence of peat within the underlying geological strata of the site and its carbon storage function.	Significant level of change

Tameside	JPA30	Ashton Moss West	MMTa2	Insert a new criterion into policy JPA30 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Replace criteria 1 and 2 of policy JPA31 with a new criterion as follows: <del>1. Construct around 2,350 new homes;</del> <del>2. Deliver homes across a range of types and tenures in accordance with the Council's most up-to-date Housing Needs Assessment;</del> <u>Make provision for around 2,350 new homes across a range of types and tenures and having regard to the Council's most up-to-date Housing Needs Assessment. Affordable housing will be provided in accordance with the Council's affordable housing requirements;</u>	To clarify that development will be required to deliver housing across a range of type and tenures, including affordable housing.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Modify criterion 4 of policy JPA31 as follows: 4. Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, phasing strategy and design code approved by the Local Planning Authority for the whole site, developed through engagement with the local community, Council and other appropriate stakeholders, <u>in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u>	To clarify the requirements of the policy by making reference to policy JP-D1 and to ensure consistency across the plan.	Minor level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Modify criterion 5 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>5. Be informed by an assessment of-</del> <u>Employ methods throughout the development process that will ensure the potential for archaeology is investigated as appropriate;</u>	To clarify the policy requirements in terms of archaeology and acknowledge the potential for there to be the archaeological interest and consequential further investigation.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criteria 6 and 7 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>6. Take an integrated and co-ordinated approach to infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development;</del> <del>7. Ensure that architecture is innovative and creative, while respecting and integrating into the surrounding landscape, creating aspirational and desirable communities in which to live;</del>	Requirements of the criteria are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Replace criterion 9 of policy JPA31 with a new criterion as follows: <del>9. Establish two connected villages, each with their own distinct identity and served by a local hub offering flexible workspace opportunities and a range of community, retail, cultural and leisure uses, separated by Godley Brook as a central landscape feature;</del> <u>Establish two connected villages, each with their own distinct identity and separated by Godley Brook as a central landscape feature. Each village should be served by a local hub offering flexible workspace opportunities and a range of appropriate community, retail, cultural and leisure uses required to serve local needs;</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is effective, unambiguous and provides clear guidance in relation to the local hubs and the requirement to serve local needs.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Modify criterion 10 of policy JPA31 as follows: 10. Deliver higher density residential development around Hattersley train station <u>in accordance with JP-H 4, and around the village hubs;</u>	To clarify, making reference to and ensuring the policy is consistent with JP-H 4.	Minor level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criteria 11 and 13 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>11. Provide developer contributions towards education, health, transport and other infrastructure as deemed appropriate;</del> <del>13. Set aside land to accommodate additional education provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be accommodated off site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated;</del> and replace with the following new criterion: <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development and, where appropriate, set aside land within the allocation to facilitate the expansion of Alder High School in accordance with policy JP-P5, to be completed in an early phase of development;</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is effective and unambiguous in identifying the need for education provision, and to acknowledge the requirement to specifically set aside land for secondary provision.	Significant level of change

Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criterion 12 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>12. Ensure employment, education and training opportunities are available for residents within the local area, both through construction phases and upon completion;</del>	Requirements of the criterion are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criteria 14, 15, 17 and 18 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>14. Provide access into the site from the A560 Mottram Old Road including improved cycling and pedestrian facilities along the site's frontage;</del> <del>15. Ensure highways are designed to accommodate bus routing and provision of services are sought within the early phases of development;</del> <del>17. Ensure neighbourhoods are green, walkable and safe places;</del> <del>18. Ensure active travel is promoted through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways;</del> and replace with the following new criterion: <u>Make provision for vehicular access into the site from the A560 Mottram Old Road, and for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure, having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C 7;</u>	Requirements of these criteria are addressed by the new criterion which makes reference to the new Appendix D of the Plan, or other policies elsewhere in the Plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Modify criterion 16 of policy JPA31 as follows: 16. Provide, in the <del>an</del> early phase of development, a pedestrian, cycle, equine (multi-user and accessible to all) bridge connecting to Hattersley and be designed and located to encourage use of public transport services at Hattersley train station;	To clarify the phasing of the new bridge.	Minor level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Amend criterion 19 of policy JPA31 as follows: 19. Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes, existing public rights of way <del>and</del> , the Trans Pennine Trail, and linkages to local assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, public transport services and Werneth Low Country Park;	To clarify and provide locally specific guidance, whilst avoiding duplication within criterion 20.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criterion 20 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>20. Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality, alongside key assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services and Werneth Low Country Park;</del>	To avoid unnecessary duplication as locally specific guidance has been incorporated into a modified criterion 19.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criteria 21 and 22 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>21. Protect and enhance the designated Sites of Biological Importance of Werneth Brook and Brookfold Wood;</del> <del>22. Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features, including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, eloughs, watercourses and ponds;</del> and replace with the following new criterion: <u>Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of the designated Sites of Biological Importance of Werneth Brook and Brookfold Wood, priority species and ecological features in accordance with policy JP-G 9;</u>	To clarify and ensure the policy is consistent with JP-G 9.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Delete criteria 23 and 24 of policy JPA31 as follows: <del>23. Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting; and</del> <del>24. Set aside land for a range of public open spaces, such as: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments, in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.</del>	Requirements of the criteria are adequately addressed elsewhere in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Insert new criterion into policy JPA31 as follows: <u>Mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs with reference to JP-G 5, criterion 7 (c);</u>	To clarify, ensuring the effectiveness of policy JPA31 in mitigating the recreation disturbance impacts of the allocation on European designated habitats and ensure consistency with JP-G 5.	Significant level of change

Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Insert new criterion into policy JPA31 as follows: <u>Strengthen the boundary of the Green Belt along the A560 such that it will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent</u>	To clarify, enhancing the effectiveness of Policy JPA31 in ensuring the Green Belt boundaries around the allocation are clearly defined, recognisable and likely to be permanent.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Insert new criterion into policy JPA31 as follows: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt within the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G 2; and</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with JP-G 2.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA31	Godley Green Garden Village	MMTa4	Insert new criterion into policy JPA31 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Replacement of Policy JPA32 criterion 1 and 2: 1. <del>Construct around 440 new homes;</del> 2. <del>Deliver homes across a range of types and tenures in accordance with the Council's most up to date Housing Needs Assessment;</del>  With new criterion: <u>Make provision for around 440 new homes, across a range of types and tenures having regard to the Council's most up-to-date Housing Needs Assessment. Affordable housing will be provided in accordance with the Council's affordable housing requirement;</u>	To clarify that development will be required to deliver housing across a range of type and tenures, including affordable housing.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by deleting Policy JPA32 criterion 3: <del>3. Ensure Garden City principles are enshrined throughout;</del>	Deleted as not justified to include this policy requirement for a site of this scale.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify criterion 4 of policy JPA32 as follows: 4. Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, phasing strategy and design code approved by the Local Planning Authority for the whole site, developed through engagement with the local community, Council and other appropriate stakeholders, <u>in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u>	Additional wording referring to JP-D1 to improve the plan's consistency.	Minor level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by deleting Policy JPA32 criterion 5: <del>5. Take an integrated and co-ordinated approach to infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development;</del>	Deleted as policy requirements are adequately addressed by other policies.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by deleting Policy JPA32 criterion 6: <del>6. Ensure that architecture is innovative and creative, while respecting and integrating into the surrounding landscape, creating aspirational and desirable communities in which to live;</del>	Deleted as policy requirements are adequately addressed by other policies.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA32 as follows: 9. Deliver lower density residential development as the site elevation increases toward its eastern most extent at Lord Derby Road, <u>having regard to the Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment within the plan's evidence base, and the guidance and opportunities identified within the Pennine Foothills (Dark Peak) character type;</u>	Modified policy to take into account the guidance in the LUC Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify criterion 10 of policy JPA32 as follows: 10. <del>Sustain and enhance both designated and nondesignated</del> <u>Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets, and their settings, including the Grade II* listed Apethorn Farmhouse and Grade II Pole Bank Hall, in accordance with policy JP-P2. This shall include delivery of the sensitive restoration and long-term future use of the Grade II* listed Apethorn Farmhouse within an early phase of development so as to facilitate its removal from the Heritage at Risk Register</u>	For clarity and consistency criterion 10 has been modified taking into account the advice in IN32 and IN36.	Significant level of change

Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Amendment to part of criterion 11 of Policy JPA32 as follows: 11. Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Historic Impact Assessment(s) submitted as part of the planning application process;	Amended as policy requirement is adequately addressed by other policies.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Delete criterion 12 of policy JPA32: <del>12. Deliver the sensitive restoration and long term future use of the Grade II* listed Apethorn Farmhouse and complex within an early phase of development together with its removal from the Heritage at Risk Register</del>	Criterion deleted as it has been incorporated into the modified criterion 10 after taking into account the advice in IN32 and IN36.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify criterion 13 of Policy JPA32 as follows: <del>13. Make financial Provide developer contributions towards education, health, transport and other infrastructure as deemed appropriate for offsite additional primary and/or secondary provision to meet needs generated by the development in accordance with JP-P5;</del>	Policy criterion modified to provide clarity regarding the provision of education contributions	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by deleting Policy JPA32 criterion 14: <del>14. Ensure employment, education and training opportunities are available for residents within the local area through the construction phases;</del>	Deleted as policy requirements are adequately addressed by other policies.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by replacing Policy JPA32 criterion 15, 16 and 17 with a new criterion: <del>15. Provide access to each east and west parcel from the A560 Stockport Road; 16. Ensure neighbourhoods are green, walkable and safe places; 17. Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways;</del>  <u>Make provision for vehicular access into the east and west parcels from the A560 Stockport Road, and for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure, having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u>	Deleted as policy requirements are adequately addressed by other policies or new JPA32 policy criterion.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Combined criterion 18 with part of criterion 19 (criterion 19 deleted) of Policy JPA32 as follows: 18. Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes, existing public rights of way, including the Cown Edge Way and linkages to other recreational assets, including the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park; <del>19. Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality, alongside key assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services, the Peak Forrest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park;</del>	Criterion combined to reduce unnecessary duplication.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by replacing Policy JPA32 criterion 20 and 21 with a new criterion as follows: <del>20. Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank Sites of Biological Importance; 21. Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, eloughs, watercourses and ponds;</del> <u>Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of the designated Pole Bank Site of Biological Importance, priority species and ecological features in accordance with policy JP-G 9;</u>	Deleted as criterion 20 and 21 are combined into a new criterion covering the natural environment for clarity.	Potentially significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by deleting Policy JPA32 criterion 22: <del>22. Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting; and</del>	Deleted as policy requirements are adequately addressed by other policies.	Significant level of change



Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by deleting Policy JPA32 criterion 23: <del>23. Set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.</del>	Deleted as policy requirements are adequately addressed by other policies.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by inserting new Policy JPA32 criterion: <u>Define and strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt between the eastern development parcel and the adjoining retained Green Belt to the south such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent;</u>	To clarify, enhancing the effectiveness of policy JPA32 in ensuring the Green Belt boundaries around the allocation are clearly defined, recognisable and likely to be permanent	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by inserting new Policy JPA32 criterion: <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G 2;</u>	Clarification that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt.	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by inserting new Policy JPA32 criterion: <u>Make provision for flood risk and drainage, having regard to the findings of, and indicative mitigation identified in, the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment within the plan's evidence base and prepare a site-wide drainage strategy; and</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the findings of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	Significant level of change
Tameside	JPA32	South of Hyde	MMTa7	Modify, by inserting new Policy JPA32 criterion: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	AM	Modify by inserting new text above criterion 1 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <u>Subject to all relevant policies in this Plan, development of this site will be required to:</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 1. Be in accordance with a masterplan or <del>Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</del> that has been <u>developed in consultation with the local community, and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The masterplan must include a phasing and delivery strategy as required by policy JP-D1. Central to the masterplan shall be the consideration of opportunities to restore habitats, strengthen ecological networks, and manage the carbon and hydrological implications of development, having regard to the presence of peat on parts of the site. It should also have regard to the anticipated Hynet North West Hydrogen pipeline (as relevant). The masterplan will be prepared in partnership with key stakeholders to ensure the site whole allocation is planned and delivered in a coordinated and comprehensive manner, with proportionate contributions to fund necessary infrastructure;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the preparation and content of the masterplan, including a phasing and delivery strategy.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	New criterion under criterion 1 added to Policy JPA33 as follows: <u>Have regard to the relevant Health and Safety Executive's consultation zones and Land Use Planning advice;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the Health and Safety Executive's consultation zones	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 2. Deliver around 5,000 units, <del>of which 4,300 will be delivered in the plan period at Carrington / Partington and Sale West; , in the following distinct character areas, as set out on the Allocation Policy Plan: Carrington Village — approximately 600 units Sale West — approximately 1,450 units East Partington — approximately 2,600 units Warburton Lane — approximately 420 units</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the total number of homes at New Carrington.  Deleted reference to the indicative requirements for each 'character area'.	Potentially significant level of change

Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 3 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <del>3. Deliver high quality residential units which are accessible, integrated with the existing community and well designed to create a genuine sense of place;</del>	To clarify, this is adequately covered by thematic policy JP-H3	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 4 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <del>4. Demonstrate how the constraints on land to the west of Warburton Lane can be addressed. Planning applications will need to demonstrate how the site will: i. Integrate into and reflect the character of the historic landscape; avoiding areas of the highest heritage significance; ii. Integrate successfully into Partington and the wider New Carrington allocation, both in terms of physical linkages and the ability to understand the wider area as a distinct place. Development proposals which do not address these constraints will not be accepted;</del>	To clarify and avoid duplication with other JPA33 policy requirements and policies in the Plan.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 5 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <del>5. Deliver a range of house types, sizes, layouts and tenures through a place led approach based on each of the Character Areas in the New Carrington Masterplan / SPD and the Trafford Local Plan;</del>	To clarify, this is adequately covered by thematic policy JP-H3	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 6 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 6. Deliver residential development at the following average densities, recognising the distinct characteristics of each area (as set out on the Indicative Allocation Plan (Picture Map 11.48): <u>Central Carrington Village</u> – average 35 dph <u>Sale West</u> – average 40 dph <u>East Partington East</u> – average 35 dph, increasing to an average of 40 dph in areas close to the existing Partington urban area. Higher density development at an average of 55 dph will be appropriate close to the local centre; <u>Warburton Lane</u> – average 25 dph	Consequential change as a result of the change in AP132 to the Indicative Allocation Plan. Reference added to Picture 11.48 for clarity.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA33, as follows: <del>7. Provide Make provision for a minimum of 15% affordable housing across the New Carrington allocation to be provided in accordance with local policy requirements; In determining appropriate affordable housing provision regard should be had to the distinct Character Areas within the New Carrington site which each reflect different housing markets. The New Carrington Masterplan / SPD and the Trafford Local Plan will provide additional guidance on appropriate affordable housing contributions for each of the Character Areas</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance with regard to the provision of affordable housing.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 8. Make <u>appropriate specific</u> provision for self-build/custom-build plots, subject to local demand as set out in the Council's self-build register;	To clarify that the Councils self build register does not set specific requirements for the provision of self-build plots	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA33, as follows: Deliver around 350,000 sqm (gross) of employment opportunities for <u>B2 / B8 uses</u> ; <del>industry and warehousing as set out on the Allocation Policy Plan</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the employment land.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criteria 10 and 11 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <del>10. Create legible streets and spaces within the employment area with attractive buildings that respond positively to the landscape and provide accessible linkages to residential areas and local / neighbourhood centres;</del> <del>11. Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a Local Labour Agreement in accordance with Local Plan policies;</del>	Policies are adequately covered by JP-J1.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criteria 12 and 13 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <del>12. Coordinate the phasing of development with the delivery of infrastructure on the site, ensuring sustainable growth at this location;</del> <del>13. Make a proportionate contribution, by means of an equalisation mechanism, to infrastructure delivery. Detailed requirements will be set out in the masterplan/SPD;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance. These criteria are now covered by the modified criterion 1	Potentially significant level of change

Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Modify criterion 14 of Policy JPA33 as follows:  <del>14. Deliver accessible streets which prioritise cycling, walking and public transport over the private car;</del>  <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Modify criterion 15 of Policy JPA33, as follows:  Deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation <u>and linking to surrounding areas, including</u> utilising the Carrington rides, improving the Trans Pennine Trail and creating new/enhancing existing Public Rights of Way and bridleways;</p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation cycling and walking routes.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Modify criterion 16 of Policy JPA33, as follows:  16. Deliver connected neighbourhoods which successfully link with existing communities at Carrington, Partington and Sale West, overcoming barriers such as the Red Brook <u>and the disused railway line between Timperley and Irlam</u>, to successfully integrate development;</p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Modify criterion 17 of Policy JPA33, as follows:  17. <u>Provide an east / west Utilise the route of the disused railway line through the site as a strategic sustainable transport corridor across the site from the Manchester Ship Canal to Sale to link with providing links from New Carrington to the wider area as part of the Carrington Greenway scheme which includes reinstatement of the Cadishead viaduct for pedestrians and cyclists, as well as contributing to future improved east/west public transport linkages;</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the Carrington Greenway.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Modify criterion 18 of Policy JPA33, as follows:  18. <u>Contribute to new / enhanced bus services and Deliver bus priority infrastructure within the site and, where appropriate, on bus routes linking to the site;</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the provision of bus services and infrastructure.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Modify criterion 19 of Policy JPA33, as follows:  19. <u>Facilitate delivery of Deliver and directly contribute to the Carrington Relief Road to provide an alternative route to the A6144 and a strategic link through Carrington, incorporating provision for pedestrians, cyclists and bus priority measures. Other highway links to access development parcels will also be required, including: i. Southern link – connecting the A6144 Warburton Lane and the A6144 Manchester Road (via either Moss Lane or Broadway), crossing the Red Brook and providing a local route around the Partington urban area ii. Eastern link – connecting development parcels in the East Partington area to Sherwood Road, crossing the rail line and linking through the employment parcels iii. Sale West link – from the Carrington Relief Road and extending south through the Sale West development parcel and linking to Firs Way.</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the Carrington Relief Road. Ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	<p>Delete criterion 20 of Policy JPA33 as follows:  20. <u>Make the necessary improvements to the Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks including the following key new / upgraded junctions to enable the proposed level of development and mitigate the impact of increased vehicle numbers: i. Carrington Spur widening on the approach to M60, J8 (approx. 500m) ii. Carrington Relief Road widening between Isherwood Road and the Carrington Spur iii. Upgrades to the Flixton Road junction iv. Upgrades to the Carrington Relief Road / Banky Lane / Carrington Spur junction v. Upgrade Isherwood Road</u></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D – covered by the amended Criterion 14.	Potentially significant level of change

Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 21 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 21. <del>Provide</del> <u>Create a new local centre comprising a range of small shops with convenience shopping facilities and services providing up to in the region of 2,500 sqm of retail floorspace, within the East Partington East development area at a scale to serve the needs of the proposed communities and improve the sustainability of the wider Partington and Carrington area</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the local centre requirements and to ensure consistency with the indicative allocation plan in relation to the Character Areas.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 22 of Policy JPA33, as follows: Provide a <del>new</del> <u>Neighbourhood Centres at in the Central Carrington Village and Sale West character areas</u> to provide local services and community facilities <u>to meet local needs</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the Neighbourhood Centre requirement. Consequential change to reflect the updated Character Areas on Picture 11.48.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 23 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 23. <del>Provide and contribute to the provision of</del> <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development and, where appropriate, make provision for a new primary school on site, in accordance with JP-P5; places. Extensions will be required to primary and secondary schools in Partington and Sale West;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the education contributions	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 24 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 24. <del>Provide and contribute to new health facilities to support the new community;</del>	To clarify, the policy is adequately addressed by JP-P6.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 25 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 25. Provide a significant green corridor through the site which remains in the Green Belt and provides an area of protected, high quality, <u>publicly</u> accessible green infrastructure;	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 26 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 26. <del>Create defensible</del> <u>Define and/or strengthen the boundaries of the Green Belt around/within the site such that they will comprise physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent; boundaries utilising, where appropriate, existing landscape features</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the strengthening of Green Belt boundaries.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 27 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 27. <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to Mitigate any impact and improve the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt land within and/or in the vicinity of the site in accordance with policy JP-G2;</u>	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan and reflecting a proposed modification to Policy JP-G2 with regards to compensatory improvements in the Green Belt.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 28 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 28. Provide significant areas of open and <u>publicly</u> accessible green space throughout the allocation as part of the wider strategic green infrastructure network. <u>Creating</u> <del>These will provide</del> important multi-functional recreational spaces and active travel routes, linking different areas within and beyond the site;	To clarify, ensuring consistency across the Plan	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 29 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 29. <del>Retain, create and enhance wildlife corridors and steppingstone habitats within the development areas to support nature recovery networks, provide ecosystem services and accessible green infrastructure</del>	Criterion now covered by amended Criterion 33.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 30 of Policy JPA33, as follows: Provide a range of types and sizes of open space <del>within the allocation boundary in accordance with local planning policy requirements the Council's open space and outdoor sports policies,</del> including local open space; natural and semi-natural greenspace; equipped and informal play and youth provision; outdoor sports facilities and allotments, ensuring arrangements for their long-term maintenance;	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance.	Minor level of change

Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 31 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 31. <del>Protect the</del> <u>Provide strategic green spaces at Sale West identified broadly in the locations identified on the Indicative Allocation Policy Plan (Picture 11.48) and promote their use as an accessible green infrastructure area.</u> These areas <u>will be protected from development and proposals will need to demonstrate how they and will deliver improved green infrastructure and access (including new/or improved public rights of way), to these parcels to mitigate the impacts of development</u>	Consequential change to reflect updates to Picture 11.48 and to provide clarity.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 32 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 32. <del>Protect and enhance natural environment assets within the site and the surrounding area, Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of including Brookheys Covert Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), eight local Sites of Biological Importance (SBIs), and local nature conservation sites and features including woodland and hedgerows both within and adjacent to the allocation, in accordance with Policy JP-G9;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous and is consistent with policies JP-G2 and JP-G9 .	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	New criterion under criterion 32 added to Policy JPA33 as follows:  <u>Undertake hydrological and ground investigations as necessary to inform the comprehensive masterplan and use of suitable construction techniques to ensure any loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitat, and adverse impacts on the hydrology of undeveloped areas, is minimised. Where loss or deterioration is unavoidable, a suitable compensation strategy should be identified and delivered, including the potential restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats elsewhere within the site;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to peat.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 33 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 33. Achieve enhanced delivery of ecosystem services through the restoration and creation of <u>wildlife corridors, steppingstone habitats and areas of wetland within the site, commensurate with the identified high potential of the area in this regard and the role of the allocation site in the context of the Local Nature Recovery Network for Greater Manchester and, in accordance with policy JP-G2. These areas will seek to deliver the objectives of the Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area;</u>	To clarify the JPA33 policy requirements and to include reference to the Great Manchester NIA.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 35 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 35. Protect and enhance the habitats and corridors along Sinderland Brook, the River Mersey and the Manchester Ship Canal as part of the catchment-based approach for the Upper Mersey to improve the existing water quality <del>and seek to achieve 'good' status as required under the North West River Basin Management Plan (2019);</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance. The North West River Basin Management Plan is not a statutory plan.	Potentially significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 36 of Policy JPA33 and paragraph , as follows: 36. <del>Provide a project specific Habitats Regulation Assessment for planning applications of over 50 residential units or 1,000 sqm employment floorspace;</del>	To clarify that as part of the updated HRA, it is considered that this requirement and associated justification is no longer necessary in JPA33 as the matter is appropriately addressed through modifications to JP-G9 (see PfE response to IN9, Q9.10).	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 38 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 38. <u>Reflect and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of the Mossland and Lowland Farmland landscape character type in accordance with Policy JP-G1, Conserve and enhance local landscape character, including particularly as regards layout and design that respects the settings of the Dunham Massey estate, Warburton Village and Warburton Deer Park;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the Landscape Character type.	Significant level of change

Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 39 of Policy JPA33, as follows: Provide appropriate landscape buffers across the site, including a substantial landscape buffer along the southern boundary of the Warburton Lane development parcels to mitigate the impact on the rural landscape to the south of the allocation area	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 40 of Policy JPA33, as follows: Ensure new development is place-led, <del>creative and contextual in its response,</del> respecting the local character, <u>heritage</u> and positive local design features of the area;	To clarify and to recognise the importance of heritage assets and ensure the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P2 and Policy JP-P7	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete criterion 41 of Policy JPA33, as follows: <del>41. Be in accordance with the Council's adopted Design Guide embracing strategic design principles, including creating connected communities, redefining streets, delivering inclusive characterful design and responding to heritage;</del>	Policy is adequately addressed by other policies.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 43 of Policy JPA33 and replacement with the following text, as follows: <del>43. Conserve and enhance the historic environment in line with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated HIA submitted as part of the planning application process</del> <u>Take appropriate account of relevant heritage assets and their settings, including the Warburton Deer Park, listed buildings and areas of high archaeological potential in the south west of the site, in accordance with Policy JP – P2;</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to heritage assets and to ensure the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P2.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Delete Criterion 44 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <del>44. Positively conserve archaeological features and, where appropriate, carry out archaeological evaluation in the form of geophysics, field walking and trial trenching for areas specified in the New Carrington Historic Environment Assessment 2020 to establish where especially significant archaeology should be preserved in situ;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to heritage assets.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Deletion of criteria 45, 46, 47, and 48 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 45. <del>Mitigate the impacts of climate change and utilise the most energy and water-efficient technologies to achieve zero carbon by 2028;</del>  46. <del>Explore and deliver the most appropriate solutions to providing decentralised, low-carbon heat and energy as part of new residential and employment development. This will include exploring the potential for the development of district heat, cooling and energy networks, energy centres, the implementation of renewable and low carbon heat and energy technologies in design and the co-location of potential heat and energy customers and suppliers;</del>  47. <del>Ensure new development maximises on-site renewable energy measures in line with the energy hierarchy, for example via solar PV and other low carbon technologies, linked to the provision of and supply to electric vehicle charging infrastructure;</del>  48. <del>Make provision for necessary infrastructure such as utilities, full fibre broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant Places for Everyone or Local Plan policies;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is consistent with policies JP-S2 and JP-S3.	Significant level of change

Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 49 of Policy JPA33 as follows: 49. Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues, both within and beyond the site, <del>including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with an allocation wide a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy, which will form part of the Masterplan/delivery strategy (Criterion 1); The allocation-wide drainage strategy should be prepared after having fully assessed site-topography, flood risk, existing water features and naturally occurring flow paths to identify where water will naturally accumulate. The strategy will demonstrate how each phase interacts with other phases of development and further detail will be set out in the Masterplan / SPD;</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the requirement for a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Deletion of criteria 50 and 51 of Policy JPA33, as follows: 50. <del>Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water runoff. Planning applications will be expected to apply the full surface-water hierarchy and ensure water is managed close to where it falls by mimicking the natural drainage solution;</del> 51. <del>Demonstrate that development proposals will not adversely affect existing water supply infrastructure that passes through the site. This will include consideration of any changes in ground levels and management of the construction process;</del>	To clarify, this is adequately covered by thematic policy JP-S5	Significant level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify criterion 53 of Policy JPA33 as follows: Incorporate appropriate noise and air quality mitigation particularly along major transport corridors, <del>including HS2,</del> and in relation to existing and new businesses, facilities and employment uses, including existing operational wastewater treatment works;	To clarify, the HS2 Golborne Link is no longer part of the HS2 Phase 2B Hybrid Bill and it is therefore not necessary to reference it in Policy JPA33.	Minor level of change
Trafford	JPA33	New Carrington	MMTr3	Modify by inserting new text below criterion 54 of Policy JPA33 as follows: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to Mineral Safeguarding Areas.	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA34, as follows: "Be in accordance with a <u>comprehensive</u> masterplan that is agreed <del>with</del> <u>by</u> the council, <del>and is effectively informed by detailed site investigations and other constraints</del> <u>This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with Policy JP-D1;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify Policy JPA34 by adding a new criterion, as follows: " <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify Policy JPA34 by deleting criterion 4, as follows: " <del>Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkley and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing;</del> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA34, as follows: " <u>Incorporate high quality landscaping within the site and along sensitive site boundaries to minimise its visual impact on the wider area, including the A49 road frontage, the remaining Green Belt to the north, and around each building within the site, in accordance with Policy JP-G2;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify Policy JPA34 by adding a new criterion, as follows: " <u>Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site, in accordance with Policy JP-G2;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change

Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA34, as follows: "Ensure that the site layout will not preclude the provision of a future <del>Provide an internal</del> road connection with Wheatlea Industrial Estate;"	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify Policy JPA34 by deleting criterion 8, as follows: " <del>Provide easements for the significant utilities infrastructure running through the site;</del> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA34, as follows: " <u>Safeguard land within the allocation</u> Allow for the provision of an all-ways junction at M6 Junction 25 <del>and the ability for more direct access from the motorway once provided, subject to agreement by Highways England.; and</del> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA34	M6 Junction 25	MMW2	New criterion: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA35, as follows: "Be in accordance with a <u>comprehensive</u> masterplan that is agreed by the Council, <del>and is effectively informed by detailed site investigations, an archaeological assessment, the presence of priority habitats and other constraints</del> This will include the need for an <u>infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA35, as follows: "Deliver around 1,100 new homes, <u>including affordable housing in accordance with local policy requirements,</u> with higher densities close to existing and new bus stops on the Leigh-Salford-Manchester (LSM) Guided Busway, <u>as applicable;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance with regard to the provision of affordable housing	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA35, as follows: " <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure, having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7. This includes</u> <del>Provide an additional stop on the busway and/or new/improved pedestrian and cycle links to existing busway stops, and proportionate contributionse proportionally and meaningfully to increaseding passenger capacity on the busway at peak times, subject to full detailed busway service analysis being undertaken in conjunction</del> with Transport for Greater Manchester;"	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA35, as follows: "Ensure that good quality road access is provided into the site, including from Mort Lane, Bridgewater Road, <u>City Road</u> and Silk Mill Street, <u>Any access arrangements from Silk Mill Street should ensure good quality pedestrian and cycle linkages into the rest of the site with at least two choices of connection into and out of the site for residents to the north of the guided busway and for residents to the south of the guided busway, with exceptions only where a small extension of an existing cul-de-sac is appropriate;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Potentially significant level of change



Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>Modify by deleting criteria 5 and 6 of Policy JPA35, as follows:</p> <p>Criterion 5 <del>“Deliver necessary highway capacity improvements to mitigate the impact of the full development, including at the junction of the A577 Mosley Common Road and the A580 East Lancashire Road, B5232 Bridgewater Road and B5232 Newearth Road, and A5082 Armitage Avenue and the A6, as applicable;”</del></p> <p>Criterion 6 <del>“Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D.	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA35, as follows:</p> <p><del>“Provide new community and health facilities on-site, potentially in a suitably accessible location close to a future additional stop on the guided busway as part of a new local centre, or an equivalent financial contribution as appropriate, to meet additional demand generated by the development;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA35, as follows:</p> <p>“Provide new primary education facilities on-site, as a new school and/or as an expansion to St John’s Mosley Common Primary School, unless it is determined by the council that it is not needed; and <del>make provide</del> a financial contribution <u>for offsite additional secondary school provision</u> to meet <u>needs the demand</u> generated by <del>secondary school pupils</del> the development, in accordance with Policy JP-P5;”</p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P5	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>Modify Policy JPA35 by adding a new criterion, as follows:</p> <p><del>“Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of areas of priority habitat, which include watercourses, ponds, hedgerows and areas of woodland, in accordance with Policy JP-G9;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G9	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>Modify Policy JPA35 by adding a new criterion, as follows:</p> <p><del>“Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2; and”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>Modify by deleting criterion 11 of Policy JPA35, as follows:</p> <p><del>“Provide necessary easements for the gas pipeline that crosses the western part of the site;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA35	North of Mosley Common	MMW4	<p>New criterion:</p> <p><u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u></p>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	<p>Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA36, as follows:</p> <p><del>“Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that is agreed with by the Council and is effectively informed by an archaeological assessment and other constraints. This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with Policy JP-D1;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	<p>Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA36, as follows:</p> <p>“Safeguard a north-south corridor towards the west of the site allocation for the <u>potential construction of High Speed 2 Rail;</u>”</p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the safeguarded HS2 route	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	<p>Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA36, as follows:</p> <p><del>“Deliver around 600 homes; including affordable housing in accordance with local policy requirements, including a</del> Around 75 of these homes <u>should be</u> to the west of the <del>proposed safeguarded HS2 route on land accessed from Rowan Avenue;”</del></p>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance with regard to the provision of affordable housing	Significant level of change

Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA36, as follows: "Deliver around 15,000 sqm of <u>E(g), B2 and/or B8</u> employment floorspace on land to the west of the <del>proposed safeguarded</del> HS2 route accessed from Newton Road;"	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the appropriate employment uses and for the safeguarded HS2 route	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA36, as follows: "Deliver <del>or contribute effectively to the delivery of</del> a new road through the site from A579 Atherleigh Way to A572 Newton Road (via Enterprise Way), including a new bridge over the HS2 rail line <del>as if necessary, that is of a design quality to accommodate bus services, safeguarding the route for future construction where applicable;</del> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and for the safeguarded HS2 route	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify Policy JPA36 by adding a new criterion, as follows: " <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development, in accordance with policy JP-P5.</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P5	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify criterion 6 of Policy JPA36, as follows: " <del>Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport infrastructure, having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA36, as follows: " <u>Protect and enhance the environs of Carr Brook through the creation of a green infrastructure corridor, in accordance with Policy JP-G2;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify Policy JPA36 by adding a new criterion, as follows: " <u>Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of areas of priority habitat, which include ponds, hedgerows and areas of broad-leaved woodland on and/or around the site, in accordance with policy JP-G9;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G9	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA36	Pocket Nook	MMW6	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA36, as follows: " <u>Take appropriate account of heritage assets on or around the site, and their settings, including</u> <del>Ensure that the heritage setting of the Grade II Listed Fair House Farmhouse on Pocket Nook Lane, in accordance with Policy JP-P2 is retained or enhanced. Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment(s) submitted as part of the planning application process.</del> "	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P2	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA37, as follows: " <u>Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that is agreed by the Council, and is effectively informed by detailed site investigations, an archaeological assessment and other constraints. This will include the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with policy JP-D1;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 2 of Policy JPA37, as follows: " <u>Deliver around 500 new homes, including affordable housing in accordance with local policy requirements;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance with regard to the provision of affordable housing	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 3 of Policy JPA37, as follows: " <u>Deliver around 45,500 sqm of <u>E(g), B2 and/or B8</u> employment floorspace in the south-east of the allocation on land in the southern part of the site, as a logical extension to the existing Gibfield Park Industrial Area;</u> "	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance in relation to the appropriate employment uses and the location for this	Minor level of change

Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 4 of Policy JPA37, as follows: "Ensure good quality road access is provided into the site, including through an extension of Gibfield Park Way northwards. A route for the continuation of Gibfield Park Way further northwards <u>towards</u> the rail line should be safeguarded <u>within the site</u> , to connect into potential future road infrastructure to be provided in Bolton;"	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA37, as follows: <del>"Provide highway improvement measures at the junction of the A577 and Gibfield Park Way, and make effective contributions towards highway improvement measures including at Platt Lane and/or Chequerbent roundabout / Junction 5 of the M61 in Bolton, necessary to mitigate the development</del> <u>Make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with policy JP-C7;"</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 6 of Policy JPA37, as follows: "Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists <u>within the site towards</u> local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, <del>and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable;"</del>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-C7 and the new Appendix D	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify Policy JPA37 by adding a new criterion, as follows: <u>Make financial contributions for offsite additional primary and/or secondary school provision to meet needs generated by the development, in accordance with policy JP-P5</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-P5	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 7 of Policy JPA37, as follows: "Provide a high quality, landscaped corridor along Gibfield Park Way, <u>including its extension northwards within the allocation</u> , through the planting of street trees and other strategic landscaping;"	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA37, as follows: "Provide a <del>substantive</del> <u>substantial</u> accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangement for its maintenance, agreed with the Council;"	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Minor level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify criterion 9 of Policy JPA37, as follows: <del>"Make Ensure appropriate provision is made to sufficiently mitigate the impact of development on for great crested newts sufficient to mitigate the development;"</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify Policy JPA37 by adding a new criterion, as follows: " <u>Make provision for biodiversity, including taking appropriate account of Sites of Biological Importance and areas of priority habitat, which include ponds, watercourses, dry heath/acid grassland, hedgerows and areas of woodland on and/or around the site in accordance with policy JP-G9;"</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G9	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify Policy JPA37 by deleting criterion 10, as follows: <del>"Provide easements for the significant utilities infrastructure running through the site; and"</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Potentially significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify Policy JPA37 by adding a new criterion, as follows: <u>"Make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt in the vicinity of the site in accordance with Policy JP-G2; and"</u>	To clarify, ensuring the policy is consistent with Policy JP-G2	Significant level of change
Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	Modify Policy JPA37 by deleting criterion 11, as follows: <del>"Safeguard the amenity of existing and future homes from the employment-development, through appropriate screening and landscaping."</del>	To clarify, ensuring that the policy is effective and provides clear and unambiguous guidance	Significant level of change

Wigan	JPA37	West of Gibfield	MMW8	New criterion: <u>Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).</u>	To clarify, setting out an unambiguous policy framework for these allocations.	Significant level of change
-------	-------	------------------	------	--	--	-----------------------------